Florida

UNIFORM APPLICATION FY 2025 Mental Health Block Grant Report

COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES BLOCK GRANT

OMB - Approved 06/15/2023 - Expires 06/30/2025 (generated on 11/26/2024 11.37.30 AM)

Center for Mental Health Services Division of State and Community Systems Development

A. State Information

State Information

State Unique Enti Unique Entity ID	ty Identification GKB5R3B9JGE4		
	be the Grantee for the Block Grant		
Agency Name	Department of Children and Families		
Organizational Unit	Office of Substance Abuse and Mental Health		
Mailing Address	2415 North Monroe St, Suite 400		
City	Tallahassee		
Zip Code	32303-4190		
II. Contact Person First Name	for the Grantee of the Block Grant Nikki	1	
Last Name	Wotherspoon		
Agency Name	Florida Department of Children and Families		
Mailing Address	2415 North Monroe Street Suite 400		
City	Tallahassee		
Zip Code	32303-4190		
Telephone	(850)717-4323		
Fax			
Email Address	Nikki.Wotherspoon@myflfamilies.com		
III. State Expendit	ure Period (Most recent State exependiture	e period that is closed out)	
From	7/1/2023		
То	6/30/2024		
IV. Date Submitte	d		
iv. Date Submitte	u		
NOTE: This field will be a	tomatically populated when the application is submitted.		
Submission Date			
Revision Date			
V. Contort Druce	Deepensible for Deposit Cubricsian		
V. Contact Person First Name	Responsible for Report Submission Kim		
Last Name	Brown		
Telephone	850-717-4410		
Fax			
Email Address	Kimberley.Brown@myflfamilies.com		
0930-0168 Approved:	06/15/2023 Expires: 06/30/2025		
Footnotes:	•		
	Responsible for Submission:		

Printed: 11/26/2024 11:37 AM - Florida - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/15/2023 Expires: 06/30/2025

B. Implementation Report

MHBG Table 1 Priority Area and Annual Performance Indicators - Progress Report

	1		
ority Area: N	Mobile Response Team Diversions		
iority Type:			
opulation(s):	BHCS		
oal of the priority area	:		
Ensure Mobile Respons	se Teams maintain prompt res	ponse times for acute call responses.	
bjective:			
ncrease number of MR	RT providers who meet respon	se time target values for acute call responses.	
rategies to attain the g	goal:		
The Department will m performance standards		going basis and offer training and technical assistance resources as needed to maintain	
dit Strategies to attain	the objective here:		
f needed)			
-Annual Performa	nce Indicators to measu	re goal success	
Indicator #:		1	
Indicator:		The percentage of MRT providers that meet the target values for average response time for calls requiring an acute response.	
Baseline Measu	rement:	In FY 22-23, 86.7% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time.	
	rement: :/outcome measurement:	In FY 22-23, 86.7% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. At least 87% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time.	
First-year target			
First-year target Second-year targ	t/outcome measurement:	At least 87% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. At least 88% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time.	
First-year target Second-year targ	:/outcome measurement: get/outcome measurement:	At least 87% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. At least 88% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time.	
First-year target Second-year targ New Second-yea Data Source:	:/outcome measurement: get/outcome measurement:	At least 87% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. At least 88% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time.	
First-year target Second-year targ New Second-yea Data Source:	z/outcome measurement: get/outcome measurement: ar target/outcome measurem re Data tracking spreadsheet.	At least 87% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. At least 88% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time.	
First-year target Second-year targ New Second-yea Data Source: MRT Cumulativ	z/outcome measurement: get/outcome measurement: ar target/outcome measurem re Data tracking spreadsheet. ze(<i>if needed</i>):	At least 87% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. At least 88% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time.	
First-year target Second-year target New Second-year Data Source: MRT Cumulativ New Data Source Description of D The numerator	t/outcome measurement: get/outcome measurement: ar target/outcome measurem re Data tracking spreadsheet. te(if needed): Data:	At least 87% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. At least 88% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. eent(if needed):	
First-year target Second-year target New Second-year Data Source: MRT Cumulativ New Data Source Description of D The numerator providers response	<pre>c/outcome measurement: get/outcome measurement: ar target/outcome measurem re Data tracking spreadsheet. se(if needed): Data: is the number of providers measurement is the number of providers measurement is the number of providers measurement.</pre>	At least 87% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. At least 88% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. eent(if needed):	
First-year target Second-year target New Second-year Data Source: MRT Cumulativ New Data Source Description of D The numerator providers response	<pre>k/outcome measurement: get/outcome measurement: ar target/outcome measurement: re Data tracking spreadsheet. re(if needed): Pata: is the number of providers m onding to calls requiring an action </pre>	At least 87% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. At least 88% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. eent(if needed):	
First-year target Second-year target New Second-year Data Source: MRT Cumulativ New Data Source Description of D The numerator providers response	<pre>k/outcome measurement: get/outcome measurement: ar target/outcome measurement: re Data tracking spreadsheet. re(if needed): Pata: is the number of providers m onding to calls requiring an action </pre>	At least 87% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. At least 88% of MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. ent(if needed):	

First	Year	Target

Reason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:

How first year target was achieved (optional):

The first-year actual is 94.3% which exceeds the target of 87%. Thirty-three (33) of the thirty-five MRT providers met the target value for acute call response time. The Florida Legislature provided funding that allowed MRT providers to increase the number of teams and expand the number of existing teams. The average wait time statewide was 41 minutes.

Priority #:	2
Priority Area:	Intensive Team-Based Services
Priority Type:	MHS
Population(s):	SMI, SED

Goal of the priority area:

Expand intensive, team-based services to children with SED and adults with SMI.

Objective:

Increase the number of children served by Community Action Treatment (CAT) teams and adults served by Florida Assertive Community Treatment (FACT) teams.

Strategies to attain the goal:

Department representatives will educate various community partners on the eligibility, goals, approach to treatment, and location of current CAT teams to help generate more referrals.

The Department recently implemented a statewide requirement for FACT teams to administer the Assertive Community Treatment Transition Readiness Scale (ATR). The ATR is a standardized measure developed to identify individuals receiving Assertive Community Treatment services who may be ready to transition to less intensive care. Use of the ATR could increase overall capacity for intensive services by transitioning individuals to community-based settings, when appropriate.

Edit Strategies to attain the objective here:

(if needed)

inual Performance Indicators to measure goal success		
Indicator #:	1	
Indicator:	The number of children served by Community Action Treatment (CAT) Teams	
Baseline Measurement:	In FY 22-23, 3,576 children were served by CAT teams.	
First-year target/outcome measurement:	The number of children served by CAT teams increased by 50 compared with the number served in FY 22-23.	
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	The number of children served by CAT teams increased by 50 compared with the number served in FY 23-24.	
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(<i>if needed</i>):	
Data Source:		
The data source is the CAT team monthly sup	pplemental data reports.	
New Data Source(if needed):		
Description of Data:		

Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
None.	
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target:	_
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target:
	AT Teams. The number served was short of the target (3626) and less than the previous fiscal
for services. In an effort to address performa	e inability to maintain full compliance for staffing affected the number of clients admitted ance deficits, the state has implemented increased engagement with the managing entities on policy and procedures and that programmatic issues are addressed earlier.
How first year target was achieved (optional)	
Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	The number of adults served by FACT teams.
Baseline Measurement:	In FY 22-23, 3,627 adults were served by FACT teams
First-year target/outcome measurement:	The number of adults served by FACT teams increased by 35 compared with the number served in FY 22-23.
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	The number of adults served by FACT teams increased by 35 compared with the number served in FY 23-24.
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
Quarterly contract reports.	
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
The Department collects a quarterly contract served will be calculated on an annual basis	t report with a total number of individuals served, as well as performance data. The total , based on admissions per team.
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
None.	
	e measures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment

Printed: 11/26/2024 11:37 AM - Florida - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/15/2023 Expires: 06/30/2025

Priority #:

Priority Area:	Pregnant Women and Women with Dependent Children
Priority Type:	
Population(s):	PWWDC

Population(s):

Goal of the priority area:

Improve services for pregnant women receiving substance use treatment services.

Objective:

Increase the rate of successful treatment.

3

Strategies to attain the goal:

The Department will monitor discharges on an ongoing basis in coordination with regional Department representatives, Managing Entities, and Neonatal Abstinence Syndrome/Substance Exposed Newborn (NAS/SEN) Care Coordinators, and headquarters subject matter experts. Obstacles to successful completion will be described and analyzed. The Department will also identify and promote relevant training materials designed to improve retention and completion rates. The Women's Services Coordinator is responsible for reviewing data submitted by the Managing Entities, addressing discrepancies, completing quarterly reports, and sharing resources. Additionally, the Statewide NAS/SEN Care Coordinator is responsible for overseeing a statewide coordinated response across programs for families at risk of or with infants born substance exposed and for providing guidance to six regional NAS/SEN Care Coordinators. The Department also continues to contract with the Florida Association of Alcohol and Drug Abuse and the Florida Certification Board to provide online trainings and resources on evidence-based practices and treatment specific to pregnant women.

Edit Strategies to attain the objective here: (if needed)

Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success

Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	The percent of successful discharges from substance use treatment services among pregnant women.
Baseline Measurement:	In FY 22-23, 41.5% of discharges from substance use treatment services among pregnant women were successful.
First-year target/outcome measurement:	In FY 23-24, the percentage of successful discharges from substance use treatment services among pregnant women increased by 2 points compared to the previous year.
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	In FY 24-25, the percentage of successful discharges from substance use treatment services among pregnant women increased by 2 points compared to the previous year.
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(if needed):
Data Source:	
The Department's Financial and Services Acco	ountability Management System (FASAMS)
New Data Source <i>(if needed)</i> : Description of Data:	
The numerator is the number of pregnant we denominator is the total number of pregnar	omen discharges reflecting successful completion of substance use services. The nt women discharges.
The following discharge reasons are conside successfully completed transfer to another p	ered successful and included in the numerator: successfully completed treatment, program/facility.
The following discharge reasons are exclude funding source, agency closure, or client mo	ed from the calculation of this performance indicator: death, changes of eligibility or oved and transferred to another provider.

Printed: 11/26/2024 11:37 AM - Florida - 0930-0168 Approved: 06/15/2023 Expires: 06/30/2025

New Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	
None.	sures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	_
First Year Target: 🖉 Achiev	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional)	
to serving the PPW population and accurate	ed the target of 43.5%. The Managing Entities and Providers have made several adjustments ely capturing the data. Some of the adjustments included providing outreach for individuals fering technical assistance on data collection to providers.
Priority #: 4	
Priority Area: Coordinated Specialty Care for	r Early Serious Mental Illness (CSC-ESMI) and First Episodes of Psychosis (FEP)
riority Type:	
Population(s): SED, ESMI	
Goal of the priority area:	
Improve functioning or symptom severity among ind	lividuals served by Coordinated Specialty Care for Early Serious Mental Illness programs.
Dbjective:	
Achieve a high percent of individuals served that exp	perience improvements in functioning or symptom severity.
trategies to attain the goal:	
The Department will monitor progress, periodically o inadequate progress.	consult with the teams regarding obstacles, and secure any training/TA needed to address
Edit Strategies to attain the objective here: (if needed)	
	re goal success
Indicator #:	1
Indicator:	The percent of individuals served by CSC-ESMI teams that experience improvements in functioning or symptom severity.
Baseline Measurement:	77.9% of individuals served by CSC for ESMI programs experienced improvements in functioning or symptom severity (FY 22-23).
First-year target/outcome measurement:	At least 80% of individuals served by CSC for ESMI in FY 21-22 experience improvements in functioning or symptom severity.
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	At least 80% of individuals served by CSC for ESMI in FY 22-23 experience improvements in functioning or symptom severity.
New Second-year target/outcome measurem Data Source:	ent(<i>if needed</i>):

Data is reported by the CSC-ESMI teams and based on various instruments measuring functional improvement, including the Brief

New Data	Source(if needed):
Description	n of Data:
	erator is the unduplicated number of the most recent subsequent assessments showing improvements in functioning or severity. The denominator is total number of most recent subsequent assessments conducted during the time period.
New Descr	iption of Data:(if needed)
Data issues	s/caveats that affect outcome measures:
None.	
New Data	ssues/caveats that affect outcome measures:
New Data	issues/caveats that arrect outcome measures:
Report	of Progress Toward Goal Attainment
First Year	Target: Image: Achieved Image: Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason wh	y target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:
How first y	ear target was achieved (optional):
	4, 81.6% of individuals served by CSC for ESMI experienced improvements in functioning or symptom severity. This exceeded
the target	
y #:	5
y #: y Area:	
-	5
y Area: y Type:	5 Infectious Disease Control
y Area: y Type: ation(s):	5 Infectious Disease Control MHS EIS/HIV, TB
y Area: y Type: ation(s): f the priority	5 Infectious Disease Control MHS EIS/HIV, TB
y Area: y Type: ation(s): of the priority re the cost-e	5 Infectious Disease Control MHS EIS/HIV, TB
y Area: y Type: ation(s): of the priority re the cost-e of contracting	5 Infectious Disease Control MHS EIS/HIV, TB r area: Iffectiveness of services and prevent the spread of infectious diseases through screening of individuals in substance use treatmen
y Area: y Type: ation(s): of the priority re the cost-e of contracting tive:	5 Infectious Disease Control MHS EIS/HIV, TB / area: ffectiveness of services and prevent the spread of infectious diseases through screening of individuals in substance use treatmen g infectious diseases, such as HIV and tuberculosis.
y Area: y Type: ation(s): of the priority re the cost-e of contracting tive:	5 Infectious Disease Control MHS EIS/HIV, TB r area: Iffectiveness of services and prevent the spread of infectious diseases through screening of individuals in substance use treatment
y Area: y Type: ation(s): of the priority re the cost-e of contracting tive:	5 Infectious Disease Control MHS EIS/HIV, TB r area: ffectiveness of services and prevent the spread of infectious diseases through screening of individuals in substance use treatment g infectious diseases, such as HIV and tuberculosis.

Edit Strategies to attain the objective here:

(if needed)

Annual Performance Indicators to measure goal success		
Indicator #:	1	
Indicator:	The percent of HIV tests that are positive among providers reporting at least one positive test.	

regarding opportunity to convey behavioral health resources and training opportunities.

Baseline Measurement:	In FY 22-23, the percent of HIV-tests that were positive among providers reporting at least one positive test was 1.47%.
First-year target/outcome measurement:	In FY 23-24, the percent of HIV-tests that are positive among providers reporting at least one positive test is at least 0.10%.
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	In FY 24-25, the percent of HIV-tests that are positive among providers reporting at least one positive test is at least 0.10%.
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(<i>if needed</i>):
Data Source:	
EIS/HIV service data reported on the Manag	ing Entity Block Grant Data Reporting Template 2.
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
reporting at least one positive test. Of the 14	/ tests, and the denominator is the total number of tests administered by providers 4,897 tests conducted with EIS/HIV funds in FY 22-23 by providers reporting at least one ng in a 1.47% positivity rate for the baseline measurement.
New Description of Data:(if needed)	
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
None.	
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
First Year Target: C Achiev	ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	anges proposed to meet target:
How first year target was achieved (optional)	
	HIV tests out of 15,120 tests conducted, resulting in a positivity rate of .68%. This exceeded
Indicator #:	2
Indicator:	The TB case rate per 100,000.
Baseline Measurement:	In FY 22-23, Florida's TB case rate is 2.4 per 100,000.
First-year target/outcome measurement:	In FY 23-24, Florida's TB case rate is 2.5 per 100,000 or lower.
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	In FY 24-25, Florida's TB case rate is 2.5 per 100,000 or lower.
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	ent(<i>if needed</i>):
Data Source:	
Tuberculosis cases per 100,000 come from t	he Florida Department of Health and are published at www.flhealthcharts.com.
New Data Source(<i>if needed</i>):	
Description of Data:	
The purpose of this indicator is to maintain a	a tuberculosis case rate at or below a specific threshold (i.e., 2.5 per 100,000). For the or is 535 tuberculosis cases, and the denominator is 22,329,178 individuals, yielding a rate of

New Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>)	New Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>)				
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:				
None.					
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:					
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment				
First Year Target:	red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)				
Reason why target was not achieved, and cha	anges proposed to meet target:				
2.4 per 100,000, the Department continues t	was 2.8 per 100,000. Although the tuberculosis rate increased slightly from the baseline of to ensure that providers follow the policies related to communicable diseases through s. The Department also continues to collaborate with the Florida Department of Health to ning opportunities.				
How first year target was achieved (optional)					
Priority #: 6					
Priority Area: Primary Drug Prevention					
Priority Type:					
Population(s): PP					
Goal of the priority area:					
Reduce accidental deaths caused by fentanyl and fe	ntanyl analogs through prevention activities.				
Objective:					
Prevent nonmedical prescription drug misuse by inci	reasing perceived risk of harm.				
Strategies to attain the goal:					
	ons, and other stakeholders to increase awareness of the dangers of taking prescription drugs				
Edit Strategies to attain the objective here: (if needed)					
Annual Performance Indicators to measu	re goal success				
Indicator #:	1				
Indicator:	The percentage of middle and high school students that perceive great risk of harm in taking a prescription drug without a doctor's orders.				
Baseline Measurement:	In FY 22-23, 67.8% of middle and high school students in Florida perceived great risk of harm in taking a prescription drug without a doctor's orders.				
First-year target/outcome measurement:	In FY 23-24, at least 69% of middle and high school students in Florida perceived great risk of harm in taking a prescription drug without a doctor's orders.				
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	In FY 24-25, at least 70% of middle and high school students in Florida perceived great risk of harm in taking a prescription drug without a doctor's orders.				
New Second-year target/outcome measurem Data Source:	ent(<i>if needed</i>):				

Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey (FYSAS)

Ne	ew Data Source(<i>if needed</i>):
Tł	escription of Data: he FYSAS is an annual survey administered to Florida's middle and high school students each spring. Surveys are administered to a tatewide sample of students.
Ne	ew Description of Data:(<i>if needed</i>)
Dat	ata issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:
N	lone.
Ne	ew Data issues/caveats that affect outcome measures:
De	aport of Progress Toward Goal Attainment
	eport of Progress Toward Goal Attainment
Fir	rst Year Target: Achieved / Achieved / Not Achieved (<i>if not achieved,explain why</i>)
Rea	eason why target was not achieved, and changes proposed to meet target:
nc O' su cc Th in	he FY 23-24 actual is 67.4%, a slight drop from the baseline of 67.8%. While the target for this risk factor was not met, there were conetheless continued improvements with respect to reductions in the ultimate outcome of the prevalence of prescription drug misuse. Of the students surveyed in 2024, 1.7% used prescription pain relievers at least once in their lifetime, compared to 2.4 % of the students urveyed in 2023. For Past 30-Day Prevalence in 2024, .6% of surveyed Florida students reported the use of prescription pain relievers ompared to .9% in 2023. Here was a similar decrease in amphetamine use. Of the students surveyed in 2024, 1.8% used prescription amphetamines at least once in their lifetime, compared to 2.3 % of the students surveyed in 2023. For Past 30-Day Prevalence in 2024, .6% of surveyed Florida tudents reported the use of prescription amphetamines compared to .8% in 2023.
Ho	ow first year target was achieved <i>(optional)</i> :
Priority #:	7
Priority Are	rea: Recovery Support Services and Recovery Oriented Systems of Care
Priority Typ	pe: MHS
Population	n(s): SMI, SED, ESMI, PWWDC, PWID, Other
Goal of the	e priority area:
	an integrated, value-based Recovery Oriented System of Care where recovery is expected and achieved through meaningful partnerships and ecision making.
Objective:	
1) Develor monitorin	p and pilot a statewide provider-level tracking system for recovery domain scores obtained during Recovery-Oriented Quality Improvement ng visits.
	sh a baseline score for each of the following domains of recovery from a sample of direct service providers: Strengths-Based Approach, ation and Choice, Opportunity to Engage in Self-Determination, Network Supports/Community Integration, and Recovery Focus.
-	e and publish a report on the use of recovery support service data codes to identify variations in use among network service providers and Iiable, accurate use.
	te the Recovery management Practices Guidance Document 35 and publish a report describing the document's effectiveness with a focus on es related to communication and integration of service requirements.
	e training from NAS/SEN Care Coordinators to RCOs on linking pregnant women with SUD receiving peer recovery services with resources for C with NAS/SEN.

Strategies to attain the goal:

The Department's Statewide Coordinator of Integration and Recovery Services will collaborate with system partners on each of the objectives.

Edit Strategies to attain the objective here:

(if needed)

ndicator #:	1
ndicator:	The number of objectives achieved.
Baseline Measurement:	In FY 22-23, zero objectives were achieved.
irst-year target/outcome measurement:	FY 23-24, at least 1 of the 5 objectives is achieved.
Second-year target/outcome measurement:	In FY 24-25, at least 3 of the 5 objectives are achieved.
New Second-year target/outcome measurem	nent(if needed):
Data Source:	
All information regarding the completion of and Recovery Services.	each objective will be reported by the Department's Statewide Coordinator of Integration
New Data Source(if needed):	
Description of Data:	
•	ut it includes published reports, published analyses, and RCO development phase reports.
Data issues/caveats that affect outcome mea	sures:
New Data issues/caveats that affect outcome	e measures:
Report of Progress Toward Go	al Attainment
	E
First Year Target: Achiev	Ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
First Year Target:	Ved Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why)
First Year Target: Achiev Reason why target was not achieved, and ch	red Interved (if not achieved, explain why) anges proposed to meet target:
Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The first-year target was achieved by compl recovery from a sample of direct service pro -Determination, Network Supports/Commun	red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: : eting objective 2 which is to establish a baseline score for each of the following domains of viders: Strengths-Based Approach, Customization and Choice, Opportunity to Engage in Self nity Integration, and Recovery Focus.
First Year Target: Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and chan How first year target was achieved (optional) The first-year target was achieved by comple- recovery from a sample of direct service pro -Determination, Network Supports/Commun Thirty-nine (39) Community Behavioral Health establish baseline scores for five recovery do Improvement Monitoring for Recovery-Orien	red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: eting objective 2 which is to establish a baseline score for each of the following domains of viders: Strengths-Based Approach, Customization and Choice, Opportunity to Engage in Self nity Integration, and Recovery Focus. th Providers were assessed using the Recovery Oriented Monitoring (ROM) protocol to omains. As part of evolving statewide ROSC initiatives, a uniquely emerged – Quality need Systems of Care. This innovative program uses evidence-based measures of recovery
First Year Target: Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and ch How first year target was achieved (optional) The first-year target was achieved by comple recovery from a sample of direct service pro -Determination, Network Supports/Commun Thirty-nine (39) Community Behavioral Health establish baseline scores for five recovery do Improvement Monitoring for Recovery-Orier principles and applies these measures to ser	red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: :: eting objective 2 which is to establish a baseline score for each of the following domains of viders: Strengths-Based Approach, Customization and Choice, Opportunity to Engage in Self nity Integration, and Recovery Focus. th Providers were assessed using the Recovery Oriented Monitoring (ROM) protocol to omains. As part of evolving statewide ROSC initiatives, a uniquely emerged – Quality nted Systems of Care. This innovative program uses evidence-based measures of recovery vice provider organizations. A recovery-oriented quality improvement component was
First Year Target: Achieved Reason why target was not achieved, and che How first year target was achieved (optional) The first-year target was achieved by comple- recovery from a sample of direct service pro -Determination, Network Supports/Commun Thirty-nine (39) Community Behavioral Healt establish baseline scores for five recovery do Improvement Monitoring for Recovery-Orier principles and applies these measures to ser added to the State's traditional quality impr	red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: eting objective 2 which is to establish a baseline score for each of the following domains of viders: Strengths-Based Approach, Customization and Choice, Opportunity to Engage in Self hity Integration, and Recovery Focus. th Providers were assessed using the Recovery Oriented Monitoring (ROM) protocol to omains. As part of evolving statewide ROSC initiatives, a uniquely emerged – Quality inted Systems of Care. This innovative program uses evidence-based measures of recovery vice provider organizations. A recovery-oriented quality improvement component was ovement monitoring practices for contracted mental health and substance use provider
First Year Target: Achieved Reason why target was not achieved, and check How first year target was achieved (optional) The first-year target was achieved by comple- recovery from a sample of direct service pro- -Determination, Network Supports/Community Thirty-nine (39) Community Behavioral Healther establish baseline scores for five recovery do Improvement Monitoring for Recovery-Orier principles and applies these measures to ser added to the State's traditional quality impro organizations. The desired end goal is a fully Management Guidance Document #35, com	red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: eting objective 2 which is to establish a baseline score for each of the following domains of viders: Strengths-Based Approach, Customization and Choice, Opportunity to Engage in Self nity Integration, and Recovery Focus. th Providers were assessed using the Recovery Oriented Monitoring (ROM) protocol to omains. As part of evolving statewide ROSC initiatives, a uniquely emerged – Quality need Systems of Care. This innovative program uses evidence-based measures of recovery vice provider organizations. A recovery-oriented quality improvement component was ovement monitoring practices for contracted mental health and substance use provider operationalized recovery-oriented system of care. Per the Department's Recovery munity provider organizations are expected to score 4 or higher on a 5-point scale.
First Year Target: Achieve Ac	Anges proposed to meet target: anges proposed to meet target: eting objective 2 which is to establish a baseline score for each of the following domains of viders: Strengths-Based Approach, Customization and Choice, Opportunity to Engage in Self hity Integration, and Recovery Focus. th Providers were assessed using the Recovery Oriented Monitoring (ROM) protocol to bomains. As part of evolving statewide ROSC initiatives, a uniquely emerged – Quality hted Systems of Care. This innovative program uses evidence-based measures of recovery vice provider organizations. A recovery-oriented quality improvement component was ovement monitoring practices for contracted mental health and substance use provider or operationalized recovery-oriented system of care. Per the Department's Recovery munity provider organizations are expected to score 4 or higher on a 5-point scale. red 3.67 (moderate integration of individual strengths, needs improvement); Customization
First Year Target: Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and chan How first year target was achieved (optional) The first-year target was achieved by comple- recovery from a sample of direct service pro- -Determination, Network Supports/Commun Thirty-nine (39) Community Behavioral Healther establish baseline scores for five recovery do Improvement Monitoring for Recovery-Orien- principles and applies these measures to ser added to the State's traditional quality impro- organizations. The desired end goal is a fully Management Guidance Document #35, com Key findings: Strengths-Based Approach sco and Choice scored 3.71 (substantial individu	red Not Achieved (if not achieved,explain why) anges proposed to meet target: eting objective 2 which is to establish a baseline score for each of the following domains of viders: Strengths-Based Approach, Customization and Choice, Opportunity to Engage in Self nity Integration, and Recovery Focus. th Providers were assessed using the Recovery Oriented Monitoring (ROM) protocol to omains. As part of evolving statewide ROSC initiatives, a uniquely emerged – Quality need Systems of Care. This innovative program uses evidence-based measures of recovery vice provider organizations. A recovery-oriented quality improvement component was ovement monitoring practices for contracted mental health and substance use provider operationalized recovery-oriented system of care. Per the Department's Recovery munity provider organizations are expected to score 4 or higher on a 5-point scale.
First Year Target: Achieve Reason why target was not achieved, and char How first year target was achieved (optional) The first-year target was achieved by comple- recovery from a sample of direct service pro- -Determination, Network Supports/Commun Thirty-nine (39) Community Behavioral Healther establish baseline scores for five recovery do Improvement Monitoring for Recovery-Orien- principles and applies these measures to ser added to the State's traditional quality impro- organizations. The desired end goal is a fully Management Guidance Document #35, com Key findings: Strengths-Based Approach sco and Choice scored 3.71 (substantial individu 3.62 (reasonable involvement of individuals scored 2.78 (minimal efforts to involve supp	Anges proposed to meet target: anges proposed to meet target: eting objective 2 which is to establish a baseline score for each of the following domains of viders: Strengths-Based Approach, Customization and Choice, Opportunity to Engage in Self hity Integration, and Recovery Focus. th Providers were assessed using the Recovery Oriented Monitoring (ROM) protocol to bomains. As part of evolving statewide ROSC initiatives, a uniquely emerged – Quality hted Systems of Care. This innovative program uses evidence-based measures of recovery vice provider organizations. A recovery-oriented quality improvement component was ovement monitoring practices for contracted mental health and substance use provider or operationalized recovery-oriented system of care. Per the Department's Recovery munity provider organizations are expected to score 4 or higher on a 5-point scale. red 3.67 (moderate integration of individual strengths, needs improvement); Customization valization efforts, but not consistent0; Opportunity to Engage in Self-Determination scored

0930-0168 Approved: 06/15/2023 Expires: 06/30/2025

Footnotes:

2025 Covid Mitigation and Testing Report document uploaded to BGas attachments on 10/23/2024.

COVID Mitigation and Testing Report

The report does not apply as Florida opted to not receive these funds.

C. State Agency Expenditure Report

MHBG Table 3 - Set-aside for Children's Mental Health Services

This table provides a report of statewide expenditures for children's mental health services during the last completed SFY States and jurisdictions are required not to spend less than the amount expended in FY 1994.

Reporting Period Start Date: 7/1/2023	Reporting Period End Date: 6/30/2024

Statewide Expenditures for Children's Mental Health Services			
A Actual SFY 1994	B Actual SFY 2023	C Estimated/Actual SFY 2024	Please specify if expenditure amount reported in Column C is actual or estimated
\$39,659,772	\$46,784,261	\$45,541,807	Actual Estimated

If estimated expenditures are provided, please indicate when actual expenditure data will be submitted to SAMHSA:

States and jurisdictions are required not to spend less than the amount expended in FY 1994.

0930-0168 Approved: 06/15/2023 Expires: 06/30/2025

Footnotes:

Please see the attached file Community MH BG MOE and Children's Threshold Report for 2023-24. 11/25/2024 KRG

Community Mental Health Block Grant MOE and Children's Mental Health Threshold Reporting Methodology for SFY 2023-24

Adult Mental Health Services

OCA	OCA Title	SFY 23/24 Expenditures
MH072	ME MH COMM FORENSIC BEDS	\$13,944,896
FCTGR	FACT Team Transfer to AHCA	\$9,192,644
MHMCT	ME MH MOBILE CRISIS TEAMS	\$32,891,794
MH076	ME MH INDIG PSYCH MEDS PROGRAM	\$2,176,236
	Total Adult Services	\$58,205,570

Children's Mental Health Services

OCA	OCA Title	SFY 23/24 Expenditures
32N03	JV RESTORATION-INCMPTNT TO PRO	\$10,340,028
MH071	ME MH PRTS EMOT DISTURB CHILD/YOUTH	\$2,201,779
MHCAT	CAT Teams	\$33,000,000
Total Children's Services		\$45,541,807

Total Adult Services	\$58,205,570
Total Children's Set-Aside	\$45,541,807
Total Mental Health MOE	\$103,747,376

C. State Agency Expenditure Report

MHBG Table 6 - Maintenance of Effort for State Expenditures on Mental Health Services

This table provides a report of expenditures of all statewide, non-Federal expenditures for authorized activities to treat mental illness during the last completed SFY.

Reporting Period Start Date:	Repo 07/01/2023 Date	rting Period End 06/30/2024	
A Perio	d	B Expenditures	C <u>B1 (2022) + B2 (2023)</u> 2
SFY 202 (1)	22	\$101,580,046	
SFY 202 (2)	23	\$104,380,279	\$102,980,163
SFY 202 (3)	24	\$103,747,376	

Are the expenditure amounts reported in Column B "actual" expenditures for the State fiscal years involved?

SFY 2022	Yes	Х	No
SFY 2023	Yes	Х	No
SFY 2024	Yes	Х	No

If estimated expenditures are provided, please indicate when actual expenditure data will be submitted to SAMHSA:

0930-0168 Approved: 06/15/2023 Expires: 06/30/2025

Footnotes:

Please to attached file Community MH BG MOE and Children's Threshold Report for 2023-24. 11/25/2024 KRG

Community Mental Health Block Grant MOE and Children's Mental Health Threshold Reporting Methodology for SFY 2023-24

Adult Mental Health Services

OCA	OCA Title	SFY 23/24 Expenditures
MH072	ME MH COMM FORENSIC BEDS	\$13,944,896
FCTGR	FACT Team Transfer to AHCA	\$9,192,644
MHMCT	ME MH MOBILE CRISIS TEAMS	\$32,891,794
MH076	ME MH INDIG PSYCH MEDS PROGRAM	\$2,176,236
	Total Adult Services	\$58,205,570

Children's Mental Health Services

OCA	OCA Title	SFY 23/24 Expenditures
32N03	JV RESTORATION-INCMPTNT TO PRO	\$10,340,028
MH071	ME MH PRTS EMOT DISTURB CHILD/YOUTH	\$2,201,779
MHCAT	CAT Teams	\$33,000,000
Total Children's Services		\$45,541,807

Total Adult Services	\$58,205,570
Total Children's Set-Aside	\$45,541,807
Total Mental Health MOE	\$103,747,376

F. State General Data Notes

State General Data Notes

Expenditure Period Start Date:

Expenditure Period End Date:

MHBG Table Number	General Data Note
	No Data Available
0930-0168 Approved: 06/15/2023 Expires: 06/30/2025	
Footnotes:	