# Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey



# **2024 State Report**





**Executive Office** of the Governor

## 2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey







This survey was funded by a Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant to the State of Florida.

Prepared by: Rothenbach Research and Consulting, LLC, in consultation with the Florida Department of Children & Families Office of Substance Abuse & Mental Health

#### Acknowledgements

The twenty-fifth annual administration of the *Florida Youth Survey* was completed in February and March of 2024. The Florida Departments of Children and Families, Health, and Education worked together to ensure the success of this project.

We were extremely fortunate to have more than 44,000 students from 652 schools complete the 2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey (FYSAS). We are grateful to the remarkable young people who joined this survey effort, and would like to thank their parents for allowing them to participate. The information obtained as a result of their honesty has proven to be invaluable. This knowledge will lead and guide our efforts to ensure that Florida's students, their parents, and their communities receive the tools they need to prevent alcohol, tobacco, or other drug use and related problem behaviors, as well as establishing effective substance abuse treatment services.

We are grateful and appreciate those school district and school building administrators and their staff who provided access to students. Clearly, their commitment to the well-being of students was demonstrated in their enthusiasm, promptness, and dependability in completing the survey. We also greatly appreciate the County Health Department and School District Coordinators for being instrumental in handling the administrative details of the survey. Their hard work and dedication were critical in ensuring that the survey was administered in a precise and efficient manner.

A great deal of thanks is owed to the outstanding leadership of this survey effort: Governor Ron DeSantis; Manny Diaz, Jr., Commissioner of Education; Joseph Ladapo, Florida Surgeon General; and Shevaun Harris, Secretary of Children and Families. It is their tireless commitment to science-based research that made this effort possible. We look forward to constructing a genuine picture of substance abuse among adolescents including why they use, how to prevent this use, and the best methods of intervention.

Special thanks to ICF, Inc., for their statistical sampling and weighing, coordination, and effective oversight of the survey administration process. We also recognize the efforts of Rothenbach Research and Consulting, LLC, for their data analysis and report preparation work.

Each representative of the many agencies involved brought their knowledge and expertise to bear towards the success of this effort. We are very pleased at the level of cooperation and sharing of information, time, funds, and effort.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

he Florida Legislature's 1999 Drug Control Summit recommended the establishment of a multi-agency-directed, county-level, statewide substance abuse survey. The *Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey (FYSAS)* is undertaken annually based on that recommendation. In 2024, three state agencies—the Department of Children and Families, the Department of Health, and the Department of Education—collaborated to administer the *Florida Youth Tobacco Survey* and the *FYSAS*. This high level of interagency collaboration is significant and has become known as the "Florida Model" for other states to follow in planning and implementing their own surveys.

The *FYSAS*, the focus of this report, was administered to 44,755 students, in grades 6 through 12, in February and March of 2024. Across Florida, 346 middle schools and 306 high schools supported the *FYSAS* by providing access to their students. The results of this survey effort supply a valuable source of information to help reduce and prevent the use of alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs by school-aged youth.

### More than Drug Use Prevalence Rates

The *FYSAS* is based on the *Communities That Care Youth Survey*, developed from the nationally recognized work of Dr. J. David Hawkins and Dr. Richard F. Catalano. Dr. Hawkins and Dr. Catalano are experts in identifying risk factors related to alcohol, tobacco, other drug (ATOD) use and delinquent behavior—and in identifying protective factors that guard against these behaviors. By administering the *FYSAS*, Florida can determine the levels of risk and protective factors factors faced by its youth and correlate those levels to ATOD use rates. Thus, those factors that contribute to or protect against drug use can be more accurately identified. A complete explanation of risk and protective factors is provided in the body of this report.

### Key Survey Results

While the 2024 FYSAS generated a range of valuable prevention planning data—including the "strengths to build on" and "opportunities for improvement" highlighted below—seven sets of findings are especially noteworthy:

- 1. Florida students have reported dramatic long-term reductions in alcohol and cigarette use. Between 2012 and 2024, the prevalence of past-30-day alcohol use declined by 14.4 percentage points, binge drinking declined by 6.9 percentage points, and past-30-day cigarette use declined by 5.5 percentage points.
- 2. While alcohol use is down, high-risk drinking behavior is still too common, with 5.9% of high school students reporting binge drinking and 9.1% reporting blacking out from drinking on one or more occasions.
- 3. Between 2019 and 2024, past-30-day prevalence rates for nicotine vaping and marijuana vaping have declined 5.9 and 2.8 percentage points, respectively.
- 4. Unlike alcohol and cigarettes, the reduction in marijuana use didn't start until after 2014. But between 2014 and 2024, past-30-day marijuana use dropped from 12.4% to 6.3%.
- 5. In addition to the long-term decline in alcohol use, cigarette use, vaping, and marijuana use, Florida students have reported long-term reductions in the use of illicit drugs other than marijuana. Past-30-day use of *any illicit drug other than marijuana* dropped from 8.2% in 2012 to 3.7% in 2024.
- 6. Past-30-day rates of use for substances other than alcohol, cigarettes, and marijuana are very low, ranging from 1.6% for inhalant use to 0.1% for heroin use.
- 7. A high level of trauma, as indicated by the presence of four or more adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), was reported by 18.0% of Florida high school students. Students with four or more ACEs are more likely to report problematic behavior, including higher levels of substance use.

#### Strengths to Build on

- Among the survey's 13 measures of past-30-day substance use for which long-term trend data are available, all have shown reductions in prevalence of use from 2012 to 2024.
- Florida youth have reported a remarkable reduction in alcohol use over an extended period. Among the combined sample of middle school and high school students, past-30-day alcohol use has declined from 24.6% in 2012 to 10.2% in 2024.
- The prevalence of binge drinking has declined over the long-term as well, falling from 11.3% in 2012 to 4.4% in 2024 for the overall middle school and high school sample.
- Florida students have reported impressive reductions in cigarette use. In 2012, 21.3% had tried smoking cigarettes and 6.6% reported past-30-day use. By 2024, the lifetime use rate dropped to 6.3% and past-30-day use fell to 1.1%.
- The vaping epidemic peaked in 2019 and has been in decline. Looking at the combined sample between 2019 and 2024, past-30-day nicotine vaping dropped from 12.5% to 6.6%, and past-30-day marijuana vaping dropped from 8.3% to 5.5%.
- After a period of relative stability, marijuana use among Florida students began to decline after 2014, with the overall past-30-day prevalence rate dropping from 12.4% in 2014 to 6.3% in 2024.
- Among high school students, past-30-day prevalence rates for inhalants, prescription pain relievers, over-the-counter drugs, club drugs, hallucinogens (LSD, PCP, or mushrooms), cocaine or crack cocaine, methamphetamine, prescription depressants, prescription amphetamines, and heroin are 1.0% or less.
- Substantially fewer Florida students are initiating the use of alcohol, cigarettes, and marijuana at a young age. The number of high school students reporting early initiation of alcohol experimentation (age 13 or younger) decreased from 25.4% in 2012 to 13.2% in 2024. Early initiation of cigarette use decreased from 14.5% in 2012 to 4.3% in 2024, and early initiation of marijuana use dropped from 11.7% in 2012 to 5.1% in 2024.
- Student awareness of the risk of harm associated with binge drinking and vaping has increased. Between 2014 and 2024, students assigning a "great risk" of harm to binge drinking increased from 54.6% to 59.1%. Between 2019 and 2024, the rate for "great risk" of harm for vaping nicotine climbed from 37.5% to 50.3%, and for vaping marijuana, it climbed from 36.5% to 48.2%.
- The percentage of students who believe it would be either "wrong" or "very wrong" to use cigarettes is 94.5%, followed by vaping nicotine (90.0%), vaping marijuana (88.3%), smoking marijuana (85.2%), and drinking alcohol regularly (83.8%). Disapproval of other illicit drug use ("LSD, cocaine, amphetamines or another illegal drug") was even higher at 97.4%.
- Florida students reported higher rates of protection for several factors. In particular, 57% reported an elevated level of protection for *School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement* and 56% reported elevated protection for *Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement*.
- Florida students reported low rates of risk for several factors. In particular, 14% reported an elevated level of risk for *Early Initiation of Drug Use*, 20% reported elevated risk for *Perceived Availability of Drugs*, 24% reported elevated risk for *Favorable Attitudes toward ATOD Use*, and 27% reported elevated risk for *Perceived Availability of Handguns*.
- Among both middle school and high school students, three risk factor scales show long-term patterns of declining risk: *Perceived Availability of Drugs, Early Initiation of Drug Use,* and *Favorable Attitudes toward ATOD Use.*

#### Opportunities for Improvement

- Alcohol continues to be the most commonly used drug among Florida students. Across all seven surveyed grades, 26.9% reported lifetime use and 10.2% reported past-30-day use.
- High-risk alcohol use is still too common, with 5.9% of Florida high school students reporting one or more occasions of binge drinking (defined as the consumption of five or more drinks in a row) in the last two weeks. Among high school students who drank, 19.0% reported consuming five or more drinks per day on the days they drank.
- Among high school students, 9.1% reported one or more occasions of blacking out after drinking.
- After alcohol, students reported vaping nicotine (16.2% lifetime and 6.6% past-30-day) as the most commonly used drug. Marijuana use (13.2% lifetime and 6.3% past-30-day) is the third highest substance use category.
- Among high school students, 12.4% reported riding in a vehicle within the past 30 days driven by someone who had been drinking alcohol. Riding in a vehicle within the past 30 days driven by someone who had been using marijuana was even more prevalent, at 14.8%.
- Among high school students, 2.4% and 4.4% reported driving in the past 30 days when they had been drinking alcohol or using marijuana, respectively.
- Some alcohol use and drug use occur at school. Among Florida high school students, 7.9% reported smoking marijuana and 3.4% reported drinking alcohol before or during school within the past 12 months.
- As with other youth health behavior surveys, substantial percentages of Florida students reported symptoms of depression, with 39.3% agreeing that "at times I think I am no good at all" and 40.7% agreeing that in the past year they have "felt depressed or sad on most days."
- Between 2012 and 2024, the risk factor scale *Lack of Commitment to School* increased 28 percentage points among middle school students and 22 percentage points among high school students. Also, within the school domain, the protective factor *School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement* decreased seven percentage points among middle school students and four percentage points among high school students.
- About one out of five (18.0%) Florida high school students reported four or more adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), which is considered a high level of trauma.
- Among the ten ACEs measured by the 2024 FYSAS, students were most likely to report Parents Separated or Divorced (38.7%), Mental Illness in the Household (27.7%), and Emotional Neglect (25.1%).
- Among all survey Florida students, 13.4% reported "seriously considering" attempting suicide and 10.9% reported making a suicide plan. One or more suicide attempts within the past 12 months was reported by 7.3% of students, with the rate for female students (10.1%) being more than twice that of male students (4.4%).

These key findings illustrate the complexity of drug use and antisocial behavior among Florida's youth and the possible factors that may contribute to these activities. While some of the findings compare favorably to the national findings, Florida youth are still reporting drug use and delinquent behavior that will negatively affect their lives and our society. The *FYSAS* data will enable Florida's planners at the local, regional, and state levels to learn which risk and protective factors to target for their prevention, intervention, and treatment programs.

## Table of Contents

SECTION 1: METHODOLOGY	
The Survey	
QUESTIONNAIRES	
SAMPLING	
PARTICIPATION RATES	
WEIGHTING	4
SURVEY ADMINISTRATION	5
SURVEY VALIDATION	5
Confidence Intervals	6
DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF SURVEYED YOUTH	6
SECTION 2: ALCOHOL, TOBACCO AND OTHER DRUG USE	
KEY ATOD FINDINGS	9
SUBGROUP ANALYSES	
Alcohol	
CIGARETTES	
VAPING	
Marijuana or Hashish	
INHALANTS	
CLUB DRUGS	
Other Illicit Drugs	
DRUG COMBINATION RATES	
SECTION 3: OTHER ANTISOCIAL BEHAVIORS	
Carrying a Handgun	
Selling Drugs	23
ATTEMPTING TO STEAL A VEHICLE	23
Being Arrested	
TAKING A HANDGUN TO SCHOOL	
GETTING SUSPENDED	
ATTACKING SOMEONE WITH INTENT TO HARM	25
USING DRUGS BEFORE OR DURING SCHOOL	25
SECTION 4: RISK AND PROTECTIVE FACTORS	
THE SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY	
Measurement	
PREVENTION PLANNING WITH RISK AND PROTECTIVE FACTOR DATA	
PROTECTIVE FACTORS—DETAILED RESULTS	
RISK FACTORS— DETAILED RESULTS	
SECTION 5: SPECIAL TOPICS	
EARLY INITIATION OF ATOD USE	
Perceived Risk of Harm	
Personal Disapproval	
PEER DISAPPROVAL	40
PARENT DISAPPROVAL	41
DISAPPROVAL OF PARENTAL ATOD USE	41
Extracurricular Activities	41
BULLYING BEHAVIOR	
ATOD USE AND DRIVING	
SYMPTOMS OF DEPRESSION	44
Adverse Childhood Experiences	
OTHER BEHAVIORS AND ACTIVITIES	46
SUICIDAL IDEATION AND ATTEMPTED SUICIDE	47

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

APPENDIX A: COUNTY-LEVEL RESULTS	
CONFIDENCE INTERVALS	49
APPENDIX B: DETAILED TABLES	77
APPENDIX C: THE SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY	
APPENDIX D: REFERENCES	

## Section 1 Methodology

he survey effort was sponsored by the Florida Department of Children and Families (DCF), and directed by a multi-agency workgroup that included the Departments of Education and Health. The participation of local schools across the state of Florida was critical to the success of this project. This report was prepared by Rothenbach Research and Consulting, LLC. The survey data were collected in February and March of 2024. A digital version of this report as well as previous *FYSAS* reports can be accessed at this website:

#### myflfamilies.com/services/samh/florida-youthsubstance-abuse-survey

The 2024 survey represents the twenty-fifth datacollection wave of the project. The *FYSAS* was previously administered to Florida students in December and January of 2000, in March and April of 2001-2010, and in January, February, and March of 2011-2022. In 2023, administration was delayed until April and May.

Detailed findings for the previous 24 survey efforts can be found in the annual *FYSAS* reports. While the questionnaire has been updated over this period, these changes have been designed to maintain methodological consistency across survey years. As a result, the present report includes both current survey results and comparisons with previous waves of the *FYSAS*.

#### The Survey

The Communities That Care Youth Survey served as the basis for the 2024 FYSAS. The Communities That Care Youth Survey is based on the work of Dr. J. David Hawkins and Dr. Richard F. Catalano. It was developed to provide scientifically sound information to state-level and community-level prevention planners and policy makers. It assesses the current prevalence of problem behaviors such as alcohol, tobacco, and other drug (ATOD) use and other delinquent behaviors in the surveyed population. The survey also measures the degree to which risk and protective factors exist in the community, family, school, and peer and individual environments. This information is essential to support needs assessment, prevention planning, and intervention planning at the state and local levels. Risk and protective factors are characteristics of the community, family, school, and peer environments, as well as individual

characteristics of the students themselves, that are known to predict drug use, delinquency, and gang involvement (Hawkins, Catalano & Miller, 1992).

The *Communities That Care Youth Survey* was developed from research funded by the Center for Substance Abuse Prevention of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. This student survey measures the following items:

- the prevalence and frequency of drug use,
- the prevalence and frequency of other antisocial behaviors, and
- the degree to which risk and protective factors exist that can predict ATOD use, delinquency, gang involvement and other problem behaviors in adolescents.

When the survey was originally developed, data were collected in five states: Kansas, Maine, Oregon, South Carolina, and Washington. Over 72,000 students participated in these statewide surveys, and analysis of the collected data contributed to the development of the survey. Three articles (Pollard, Hawkins & Arthur, 1999; Arthur, Hawkins, Pollard, Catalano & Baglioni, 2002; Glaser, Van Horn, Arthur, Hawkins & Catalano, 2005) describe the *Communities That Care Youth Survey*, its uses, and its ongoing development.

National normative data for the *Communities That Care Youth Survey* come from a series of surveys conducted in 2000, 2001, and 2002. This combined dataset includes responses from 280,000 students in grades 6 through 12. (See Section 4 for additional information.)

#### Questionnaires

In 2008, two versions of the questionnaire were administered to Florida students. High school students received a questionnaire identical to the one used in the 2006 FYSAS. Middle school students received a shortened version of the questionnaire. This new questionnaire made it easier for students with weaker reading skills to complete the survey within a standard classroom period. As a result, eight risk factor scales and four protective factor scales deemed less critical for prevention planning were no longer included in middle school *FYSAS* data. Also, several ATOD items with very low prevalence rates were either removed or aggregated.

For the 2010 FYSAS, the length of the middle school questionnaire was further reduced. Eleven items that provided limited value to state-level and county-level prevention planning efforts were removed. These included questions about adults in students' neighborhoods, questions about antisocial behavior among siblings and other family members, and questions about peer antisocial behavior. These changes resulted in a more compact set of six protective factors and 15 risk factors.

Also in 2010, the high school questionnaire received an extensive update. This year, high school students received the same questionnaire as Florida middle school students, with the addition of items addressing bullying behavior, gang activity in schools and alcohol use. The new, shorter high school questionnaire eased the survey administration burden in classrooms and boosted completion rates.

In 2011, the *FYSAS* middle school questionnaire was unchanged. The high school questionnaire added two items addressing the use of synthetic marijuana, an item assessing parental disapproval of youth alcohol use, and an item addressing peer approval of gang membership.

In 2012, the *FYSAS* middle school questionnaire remained unchanged. The high school questionnaire added four items addressing ATOD use and vehicle safety and one item addressing the risk associated with prescription drug abuse. A block of items addressing bullying location were removed.

In 2013, a number of updates were incorporated into both the middle school and high school questionnaires:

- Items assessing peer approval of substance use were replaced with four items that measure friends' disapproval.
- The perceived risk of ATOD use item set was changed, with two new items and one revised item.
- Three items measuring ATOD use before and after school were added.
- The parental disapproval of ATOD use item set was changed, with one new item and one revised item.

- Five items addressing gang activity at school were removed from the high school questionnaire.
- A multiple-response item assessing sources of synthetic marijuana was added to the high school questionnaire.
- Several other small changes to the questionnaires are documented in the 2013 FYSAS dataset dictionary.
- The number of risk factor scales was reduced to 12.

In 2014, four items were added to the middle school questionnaire addressing student disapproval of parents using ATODs, and one item was added to the high school questionnaire addressing blacking out after drinking.

In 2015, both questionnaires received new items for disapproval of synthetic marijuana use, family members in jail, and friends in trouble because of ATOD use. The two gambling items were also removed from both surveys.

In 2016, items measuring the use of electronic vapor products were added to both questionnaires. The high school questionnaire received new items assessing the use of the synthetic stimulant flakka and the use of a needle to inject illegal drugs. An item about fear and worry associated with bullying was removed from both questionnaires.

In 2017, items measuring school arrival and departure times, impulsiveness, unstructured/unsupervised time, hours of sleep on a school night, and talking with parents about prescription drug abuse were added to both questionnaires. A number of items with limited utility for prevention planning were removed to make room for the new items.

In 2018, an item measuring student awareness of Florida's 911 Good Samaritan Law was added to the high school questionnaire. The bullying, prescription depressants, and unsupervised time items were modified. And the gang age of initiation item was removed.

In 2019, both the middle school and high school questionnaires were updated with items that distinguish between nicotine vaping and marijuana vaping. In addition to rates of use, these new items addressed student and peer attitudes. Two new items measuring rates of digital self-harm were also added. Items addressing gang membership, school arrival and departure times, the 911 Good Samaritan Law, disapproval of synthetic marijuana use, and flakka and steroid use were removed.

In 2020, 15 items measuring 10 adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) were added to the high school questionnaire. (After analysis of the ACEs measurement model, one of the 15 items was removed in 2021.) The digital self-harm items, the unsupervised time item, and nine items associated with the *Community Disorganization* and *Transitions and Mobility* risk factor scales were removed.

In 2021, two items were added to both questionnaires to assess the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on lives of Florida students. The first question addressed job loss and reduced hours of adults in students' homes. The second question asked about changes in emotional health.

In 2022, a question about parent/guardian active-duty military service was added to the demographic block. Two items addressing suicidal ideation were added. For the item measuring hours of sleep on a school night, the number of response categories was increased.

In 2023, new items were added to the high school questionnaire to measure awareness of and experimentation with Delta-8 and Delta-10 THC and kratom. Both the middle school and high school questionnaires received new items addressing awareness of the "One Pill Can Kill" prevention message and student likelihood of using the 988-suicide crisis hotline. The two suicide items added in 2022 were replaced by five items covering suicidal ideation and suicidal behavior. The items related to the COVID-19 pandemic were removed.

In 2024, no new items were added to either *FYSAS* questionnaire, and no items were removed. The lifetime and past-30-day prescription pain reliever use items were modified to include drug names that better reflect what doctors are currently prescribing.

### Sampling

The goal of the 2024 FYSAS was to produce both statelevel statistical estimates that are representative of individual grades, and county-level statistical estimates that are representative of middle school (grades 6-8) and high school (grades 9-12) grade aggregates. To accomplish this, a stratified, two-stage cluster sample of students attending public middle schools and high schools in Florida was used. The sample was stratified by county. In the first selection stage, separate groups of middle schools (grades 6-8) and high schools (grades 9-12) were randomly selected within each of Florida's 67 counties. All public middle and high schools were included in the sampling frame for each county, with the exception of adult education, correctional, and special education schools.

The probability of selection for each school was proportional to the size of the school's enrollment. Accordingly, larger schools had a higher chance of being selected than smaller schools. Using this methodology, 405 middle schools and 356 high schools were selected to participate.

For the second sampling stage, survey coordinators were instructed on how to randomly select classrooms to fulfill the survey quota for each school. Because ESOL (English for speakers of other languages) classes could not be used in the survey, they were not included in the classroom selection list for each school.

This sample design, which is similar to the one used in previous even survey years, is different from the design used in odd-year administrations. In odd-numbered years, the goal of the survey is to produce results that are representative at the state level only, but not at the county level. Consequently, sample sizes are much smaller in those years, usually between 8,000 and 12,000 respondents.

In this report, historical results are only presented for even-numbered years, starting with the 2012 FYSAS. This is done because statistical estimates from these larger samples are more precise than estimates produced by the smaller samples from odd-numbered years. Historical data from 2000 to 2010 were omitted because of limited space in report data tables. Please see previous FYSAS reports for data from these years.

#### **Participation Rates**

Participation rates were calculated separately for both schools and students as a ratio of the number participating divided by the number selected. A combined participation rate consists of the two separate school and student participation rates multiplied by each other.

#### Middle School:

School Participation: 346 / 405 = 85.4%

Student Participation: 24,379 / 32,264 = 75.6%

Overall Participation: 64.6%

#### **High School:**

School Participation: 306 / 356 = 86.0% Student Participation: 23,973 / 36,365 = 65.9% Overall Participation: 56.7%

While an overall participation rate for the combined middle school and high school sample of about 60% is strong for statewide, school-based health behavior surveys, it is lower than the *FYSAS* participation rates from almost every previous survey cycle, which were generally 70% or higher.

The primary cause of lower participation in this survey cycle is district-level refusal. Seven counties—Clay, Lee, Nassau, Pinellas, Saint Johns, Sarasota, and Wakulla instructed their schools to not join the survey effort. Union County participated at the middle school level but did not survey high schools. This level of district refusal is the highest in the past 23 years. The lack of a statelevel mandate and the burden placed on school personnel were two reasons given for district-level refusals.

A secondary reason for lower *FYSAS* participation in 2024 is the adoption of active parent consent by Brevard and Highlands counties. This was different from 2022 when all counties utilized opt-out parent permission. This change dramatically reduced student-level participation within these two counties, as typically happens due to the low number of parent permission forms returned to the schools. It should be noted that while low participation within Brevard and Highlands counties has a limited impact on state-level data quality, county-level data quality for these two counties will be substantially degraded.

#### Weighting

Before analysis, a set of statistical weights was applied to the 2024 FYSAS dataset. The application of the weights served three purposes:

- First, weighting compensates for certain elements of the sample design—such as the sampling of students in clusters—so that the sample selection probability for each student was equal.
- Second, weighting adjusts for nonresponse at both the school and classroom levels.
- Third, weighting adjusts the distribution of the sample across grade levels, gender groups and counties to match the distribution across the full population of Florida public school students. Through this process, responses from the grades,

gender groups and counties that were underrepresented relative to the population are given more weight in the data analysis, while responses from the grades, gender groups and counties that were overrepresented are given less weight. This creates a sample that proportionately matches student enrollments across grade, gender and county. The step, called post-stratification, is important because variations in participation across grade levels are common with statewide, school-based survey projects like the *FYSAS*. Post-stratification makes the sample more representative of the population and improves the comparability of samples over time.

A number of factors were involved in the calculation of the weights. Students were asked to provide their grade and gender. If grade was left blank, and age was known, the grade was imputed based on the most likely age for that grade. Where the grade was still missing, the grade was imputed by sorting students by their survey booklet's serial number and assigning the student to the grade of the previous student who had been assigned a grade. State totals for grade and gender categories were obtained from the Florida Department of Education. The weight of a respondent was the product of eight adjustments:

 $\mathbf{W}_1$  = Inverse of the probability of selection of the school and level.

 $W_2$  = Adjustment for school nonresponse. This was obtained after dividing the schools into enrollment groups and adjusting for the number of schools in each group refusing.

 $W_3$  = Sampling interval. This was obtained by dividing the enrollment by the target sample for the school.

 $W_4$  = Adjustment for class nonresponse (entire class not responding). If *n* classes were selected in the school and *k* participated in the survey,  $W_4$  = (n/k).

 $W_5$  = Adjustment for the number of different surveys administered.

 $W_6$  = Adjustment to class size. This was the number of students enrolled in a class divided by the number of students completing the survey.

 $W_7 =$  Adjustment for post-stratification.

 $W_8$  = Adjustment for trimming (setting weights greater than twice the median for LEA /level to twice the median and adjusting to obtain the same totals.).  $W_8$  is the sum of the uncapped weights divided by the sum of the capped weights.

#### Weight = $W_1 \times W_2 \times W_3 \times W_4 \times W_5 \times W_6 \times W_7 \times W_8$

#### Survey Administration

In 2024, for the sixth year, Florida counties were given the opportunity to choose between administering the survey with paper booklets or an internet-based system. Forty-six counties administered the *FYSAS* with the internet-based system, with the other 14 participating counties selecting the traditional paper booklet data collection system. Across all surveyed counties, 75.1% of student responses were collected with the internetbased system and 24.9% with paper booklets.

To ensure that the survey administration mode would have minimal impact on student responses, the internetbased system was designed to match the booklets as closely as possible. With this goal in mind, special filters and skip patterns were not programmed into the internetbased questionnaires.

For schools using the internet-based system, teachers were provided with cards for each student with the survey website address and a unique student access code. Typically, students in these schools have individual Chromebooks/laptops, or each classroom has a designated set of Chromebooks/laptops. While using this access code system is more complex than distributing an open survey link to students, it prevents unauthorized access to the survey.

For schools using the booklet system, administration procedures were the same as those used in previous waves of the *FYSAS* and were standardized throughout the state. Each teacher received an appropriate number of surveys and survey collection envelopes. Teachers reviewed the instructions with their students and asked them to complete the survey. Students had one class period to complete the surveys.

A passive consent procedure was used by all but two school districts for this survey administration. That is, students were given the consent notification and were asked to give it to their parents. It was then up to the parents to notify the school if they did not want their child to participate in the survey.

Students were asked to complete the survey but were also told that they could skip any question that they were not comfortable answering. Additionally, both the teacher and the instructions at the start of the survey assured students that participation was voluntary, and that the answers students gave would be anonymous and confidential.

There were no known irregularities in survey administration within the schools. All aspects of the survey protocol appeared to have been appropriately implemented, including all protections of student confidentiality.

#### Survey Validation

For the 2024 FYSAS, a total of 48,352 records from scanned booklets and internet respondents formed the initial dataset. At this stage of the data preparation process, survey records were subjected to five response validation tests. The first two tests eliminated students who appeared to exaggerate their drug use and other antisocial behavior. The third test eliminated students who reported use of a fictitious drug. The fourth test eliminated the surveys of students who repeatedly reported logically inconsistent patterns of drug use. The fifth test eliminated students who answered less than 25% of the questions on the survey.

In the first test, surveys from students who reported a combined average of four or more daily uses for illicit drugs other than marijuana were eliminated from the survey dataset. This strategy removes surveys that are not taken seriously.

The second test supplements the drug use exaggeration test by examining the frequency of five other antisocial behaviors: *Attacking Someone with Intent to Harm*, *Attempting to Steal a Vehicle, Being Arrested, Getting Suspended* and *Taking a Handgun to School*. Respondents who reported an unrealistically high frequency of these behaviors—more than 120 instances within the past year—were removed from the analysis.

In the third test, students were asked if they had used a fictitious drug, Derbisol, in the past 30 days or in their lifetimes. If students reported the use of Derbisol for either of these time periods, their surveys were not included in the analysis of the findings.

The fourth test was used to detect logical inconsistencies among responses to the drug-related questions. Students were identified as inconsistent responders in the following circumstances only: (1) if they were inconsistent on two or more of the following four drugs: alcohol, cigarettes, smokeless tobacco, and marijuana; or (2) if they were inconsistent on two or more of the remaining drugs. An example of an inconsistent response would be if a student reported that he or she had used alcohol three to five times in the past 30 days but had never used alcohol in his or her lifetime.

For the fifth test, students who answered less than 25% of the questions on the survey were removed from the analysis. This test is used to identify students who did not take the survey seriously or were incapable of fully participating.

Florida students were cooperative and produced a high percentage of valid surveys. All but 3,341 students (6.9%) completed valid surveys. Of the 3,341 records identified and eliminated by one or more of the five strategies described above, 595 exaggerated drug use (strategy 1), 346 exaggerated other antisocial behavior (strategy 2), 1,033 reported the use of the fictitious drug (strategy 3), 658 responded in a logically inconsistent way (strategy 4) and 1,976 answered fewer than 25% of the questions on the survey (strategy 5). The elimination total produced by these five tests equals more than 3,341 because some respondents were identified by more than one strategy.

In addition to the 3,341 records removed by the validation testing, a further 256 records were removed because the survey questionnaire was administered at the wrong grade level. That is, either a middle school questionnaire was used in a high school classroom, or a high school questionnaire was used in a middle school classroom. As a final data cleaning step, the grade levels reported by students were compared to school and classroom information. For some inconsistencies, an imputed grade level was assigned. The final *2024 FYSAS* dataset, after these steps, includes 44,755 response records from 22,739 middle school students and 22,016 high school students.

#### **Confidence** Intervals

The maximum 95% confidence intervals for grade-level estimates range from a low of  $\pm 1.6$  percentage points for the 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, and 8<sup>th</sup> grade subsamples, to a high of  $\pm 2.2$  percentage points for the 12<sup>th</sup> grade subsample. For the middle school and high school subsamples, maximum confidence intervals are  $\pm 0.9$  percentage points. Estimates for the overall sample have maximum confidence intervals of  $\pm 0.7$  percentage points. Confidence intervals are larger for demographic groups with smaller sample sizes.

Note that these confidence intervals are for prevalence rates of 50%. For less prevalent behaviors, such as heroin use and taking a handgun to school, the confidence interval narrows substantially. Also note that the variance estimates used for these confidence interval calculations include a design effect of 2.0 to adjust for the complex design of the 2023 FYSAS sample. A finite population adjustment was omitted from the formula to make the calculation more conservative.

#### Demographic Profile of Surveyed Youth

The survey measures a variety of demographic characteristics. The first two data columns of Table 1 describe the demographic profile of the sample before weights were applied.

Middle school students constituted 50.8% of the final sample. This high number of middle school respondents relative to their lower enrollment is due to two factors. First, middle schools tend to produce higher rates of student survey participation than high schools. Second, as discussed previously, the middle school *Florida Youth Survey* sample was divided between two survey questionnaires while the high school sample was divided among three questionnaires.

A slightly higher percentage of the respondents were female (50.0% female versus 49.3% male). Most students identified themselves as White, non-Hispanic (37.6%), followed by Other/Multiple ethnic backgrounds (21.6%), Hispanic/Latino (20.0%), and African American (15.3%). The rest of the ethnic breakdown ranges from 0.2% for Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander to 2.3% for Asian students. Throughout this report, data are reported only on the three largest (after weighting) ethnic groups: White, non-Hispanic, African American and Hispanic/Latino, as the sample sizes for the other ethnic categories were insufficient to generate reliable estimates.

A parent, stepparent, or guardian currently serving in a branch of the U.S. military (Army, Navy, Marines, Air Force, Space Force, Coast Guard, National Guard, or Reserves) was reported by 14.4% of Florida students.

The second set of data columns in Table 1 presents the demographic profile information after the weighting formula has been applied. Note that the distribution across key demographic categories, including grade level, now matches the population parameters provided by the Florida Department of Education (42.3% middle school and 57.7% high school).

## Section 2 Alcohol, Tobacco and Other Drug Use

lcohol, tobacco and other drug (ATOD) use is measured by a set of 37 items. While most of these items are identical to those used in the previous waves of the survey, several key changes have been made as the *FYSAS* questionnaires have been updated over time.

Starting in 2001, the survey included items measuring: (a) the use of so-called "club drugs" such as Ecstasy, GHB, ketamine and Rohypnol, (b) the use of hallucinogenic mushrooms, and (c) the use of amphetamines, including Ritalin and Adderall, without a doctor's orders. In addition, the use of marijuana and the use of hashish were combined into a single item, and the use of "LSD and other psychedelics" was reworded to read "LSD or PCP." Also starting in 2001, a parenthetical mentioning the street names "ice" and "crystal meth" was added to the methamphetamine item. In 2002, the prescription drug Xanax was added to the list of examples given in the "depressants and downers" item, and the "other narcotics" item was replaced by a new question measuring the use of "prescription pain relievers" without a doctor's orders.

Three changes were made to the ATOD section in 2002: (a) a new item measuring the use of OxyContin without a doctor's orders, (b) the prescription drug Xanax was added to the list of examples given in the "depressants and downers" question, and (c) the "other narcotics" item was replaced by a new question measuring the use of "prescription pain relievers" without a doctor's orders. On the 2006 questionnaire, OxyContin was removed as an individual item and added to the list of examples included in the prescription pain reliever item. Also, the question for GHB was changed to include a more up-todate set of slang or street names for the drug.

In 2008, the questionnaire administered to high school students remained unchanged, but the ATOD section of the middle school questionnaire reduced the number of items by asking broader categories of ATOD use rather than only asking about individual drugs. The updated middle school questionnaire also introduced an important new category of ATOD use to the *FYSAS*. A description of these changes is below:

Items for smokeless tobacco were removed.



- Items for the club drugs Ecstasy, GHB, ketamine and Rohypnol were replaced by single items that ask about the use of "club drugs such as Ecstasy, Rohypnol, GHB or ketamine."
- Items for LSD/PCP and hallucinogenic mushroom use were combined into a pair of single items that ask about all three drugs.
- Items for cocaine and crack cocaine use were combined into a pair of single items that ask about both drugs.
- Items that measure the use of over-the-counter drugs in order to get high were added.

For 2010, the ATOD prevalence section of the middle school questionnaire remained unchanged. The high school questionnaire, however, adopted all of the middle school ATOD prevalence items. In addition to facilitating comparisons between middle school and high school ATOD results, these changes improved completion rates by shortening the length of the high school questionnaire.

In 2011, two items measuring the use of synthetic marijuana were added to the high school questionnaire. The middle school questionnaire remained unchanged.

In 2014, a new item about blacking out was added to the high school questionnaire, which asked students on how many occasions in their lifetime they woke up after a night of drinking and did not remember the things they did or the places they went.

In 2016, items measuring the use of electronic vapor products were added to both questionnaires. The high school questionnaire received new items assessing the use of the synthetic stimulant flakka and the use of a needle to inject illegal drugs.

In 2018, the depressants items were modified with wording that explicitly references non-medical use of prescription "depressants or tranquilizers." Care should be exercised when comparing 2018 depressants data with previous years.

In 2019, the vaping/e-cigarette items were replaced with new questions that distinguish between vaping nicotine and vaping marijuana. Also, items measuring flakka use and unprescribed steroid use were removed. Prevalence rates for these substance use categories were extremely low.

In 2023, new items were added to the high school questionnaire to measure awareness of and experimentation with Delta-8 and Delta-10 THC and kratom.

In 2024, the two items measuring unauthorized use of prescription pain relievers were updated with drug categories and trade names that better represent contemporary opioid prescriptions.



Tables 3 through 30 and Table 59 in Appendix B show the use of ATODs by students in Florida. In addition to results from this year's survey, data are also presented for the 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018, 2020, and 2022 FYSAS. There are two ways in which data that depict student involvement in ATOD use are provided.

First, prevalence rates are used to illustrate the percentage of students who reported using a drug at least once in a specified time period. These results are presented for both lifetime and past-30-day prevalenceof-use periods. Lifetime prevalence of use (whether the student has ever used the drug) is a good measure of student experimentation. Past-30-day prevalence of use (whether the student has used the drug within the last month) is a good measure of current use. Prevalence-ofuse rates are also presented for five combinations of licit and illicit drugs. In addition to the standard lifetime and past-30-day prevalence rates for alcohol use, binge drinking behavior (five or more drinks in a row within the past two weeks) is also measured.

Second, frequency tables are used to illustrate the number of occasions that students reported using a specific drug in the past 30 days. Please note that when the prevalence rate is quite low (e.g., less than 2%), larger sample sizes are required to reliably estimate the prevalence rate as well as the frequency of use. Therefore, frequency tables are shown only for the most prevalent drug categories.

### Key ATOD Findings

Tables 3 and 4 and Graphs 1 to 4 summarize the ATOD results from the current survey. Comparisons between the current data and results from previous waves of the survey are also presented in Tables 5 to 30. A review of several key findings and trends in this year's survey will provide a better understanding of the specific drug findings. The selected findings presented below are those that are probably of most interest to the greater survey audience.

#### 2024 FYSAS Results

- With overall prevalence rates of 26.9% for lifetime use and 10.2% for past-30-day use, alcohol continues to be the most commonly used drug among Florida's middle school and high school students.
- Just more than one out of 20 Florida high school students (5.9%) reported binge drinking (defined as the consumption of five or more drinks in a row in the last two weeks), making this dangerous behavior more prevalent than almost all other past-30-day measures on the survey.
- High school students were asked how many times in their lifetime they blacked out after using alcohol. In 2024, 9.1% reported blacking out after drinking.
- After alcohol, students reported the highest prevalence rates for vaping nicotine (e-cigarettes,



#### 2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

vape pens, JUUL). Overall, in 2024, 16.2% of students reported lifetime use, and 6.6% reported past-30-day use, rates substantially higher than those reported for cigarettes. Additionally, vaping marijuana was reported by 11.7% for lifetime use and 5.5% for past-30-day use.

- Marijuana was the third most commonly used substance among Florida students. Overall, 13.2% reported lifetime use and 6.3% reported past-30-day use.
- The prevalence of past-30-day use of all illicit drugs other than marijuana *combined* (3.7%) is less than the past-30-day use of alcohol (10.2%)and marijuana (6.3%). It is also lower than the prevalence of binge drinking (4.4%).
- While relatively few students reported inappropriate over-the-counter drug use (1.9% lifetime and 0.7% past-30-day), those rates are higher than for nearly all other illicit drugs on the survey.
- Past-30-day prevalence rates for club drugs, hallucinogenic drugs (LSD, PCP, and mushrooms), cocaine or crack cocaine, methamphetamine, depressants, heroin, prescription pain relievers, and prescription amphetamines are less than 1.0%.

#### Changes Over Time: 2022-2024

- Between 2022 and 2024, Florida high school students reported reductions for past-30-day alcohol (1.6 percentage points) and marijuana (2.0 percentage points) use.
- High school students also reported continuing reductions in high-risk alcohol use, with blacking out after drinking dropping 1.9 percentage points, driving after drinking dropping 0.9 percentage points, and binge drinking dropping 1.2 percentage points.
- Between 2022 and 2024, Florida middle school students reported a 1.8 percentage point drop in past-30-day nicotine vaping. High school students reported at 4.0 percentage point drop.
- High school students reported their lowest rate of past-30-day marijuana use in 2024 at 9.1%, a 3.1 percentage point drop from 2022.
- For the overall category of illicit drugs other than marijuana both middle school and high school students reporting reductions in use (0.6 and 1.3 percentage points, respectively).

#### Changes Over Time: 2012-2024

Florida students reported reductions in past-30-• day use for all substance use categories with trend data extending back to 2012.



#### 2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

- Most notably, past-30-day alcohol use, binge drinking, and cigarette use declined 14.4, 6.9 and 5.5 percentage points, respectively. These changes represent dramatic improvements in the health behavior of Florida youth.
- After a period of relative stability, marijuana use among Florida students began to decline after 2014, with the overall past-30-day prevalence rate dropping from 12.4% in 2014 to 6.3% in 2024.
- Florida students also reported long-term reductions in use for illicit drugs other than marijuana. These changes are summarized by the multi-item indicator past-30-day use of *any illicit drug other than marijuana*, which decreased from 8.2% in 2012 to 3.7% in 2024.
- The reductions in use reported by Florida students have been particularly impressive for two illicit drug (other than marijuana) categories. Between 2012 and 2024, synthetic marijuana rates declined 11.1 percentage points for lifetime use and 3.6 percentage points for past-30-day use. Between 2012 and 2024, prescription pain reliever rates declined 4.7 percentage points for lifetime use and 1.7 percentage points for past-30-day use.
- Analysis of the trend line for vaping is made more challenging by the revision to the item set in 2019. Even after taking these methodological changes into consideration, the vaping epidemic appears to have peaked, with past-30-day rates for both vaping nicotine and vaping marijuana decreasing from 2019 to 2024 (5.9 and 2.8 percentage points, respectively).

#### Subgroup Analyses

In addition to grade-level reporting, the data tables in Appendix B report prevalence by age, sex, and ethnicity. As might be expected, age differences closely approximate grade differences.

Across the highest prevalence rate substance categories, female students reported higher rates of use compared to male students. The largest past-30-day gender differences were for vaping nicotine (8.4% among females versus 4.9% among males) and alcohol use (11.8% among females versus 8.6% among males). For alcohol, this is not a new pattern. In most *FYSAS* data waves female respondents reported higher rates of past-30-day alcohol use.

Typical of many studies, the 2024 FYSAS revealed a pattern of differences in drug use prevalence rates across ethnic groups. Across the majority of ATOD categories, White, non-Hispanic students reported the highest prevalence of use, followed by Hispanic/Latino students, with African American students reporting the lowest rates, sometimes by a substantial margin. Ethnic differences are particularly pronounced for past-30-day alcohol use (12.8% among White, non-Hispanic respondents, 8.9% among Hispanic/Latino respondents and 6.5% among African American respondents) and vaping nicotine (8.5% among White, non-Hispanic respondents, 5.6% among Hispanic/Latino respondents and 4.3% among African American respondents). For past-30-day marijuana use and vaping marijuana, White, non-Hispanic respondents reported the highest rate (7.4% and 6.5%), followed by African American respondents (6.4% and 4.8%) and Hispanic/Latino respondents (4.2% and 4.1%).

### Alcohol

Alcohol, including beer, wine, and hard liquor, is the drug used most often by adolescents today. Findings from *Monitoring the Future* (Miech et al., 2024), a national drug use survey administered annually by the University of Michigan, highlight the pervasiveness of alcohol use among middle and high school students today. In 2023, the percentages of 8<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup> graders who reported using alcohol in the past 30 days were 5.9%, 13.7% and 24.3%, respectively. These numbers represent substantial reductions from the higher national rates reported in the late 1990s and early 2000s.

A variety of findings for alcohol use by Florida students are presented in Tables 5 to 7. These tables include 2012-2024 data for lifetime and past-30-day prevalence, the frequency of past-30-day alcohol use, as well as the prevalence of binge drinking and blacking out after drinking.

<u>Lifetime Prevalence</u>. Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 26.9% have used alcohol on at least one occasion in their lifetimes. Lifetime prevalence rates for alcohol use range from a low of 14.2% for 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 40.4% for 12<sup>th</sup> graders. This corresponds to an overall rate of 19.3% for middle school students and 32.5% for high school students.

<u>Past-30-Day Prevalence</u>. In 2024, 10.2% of surveyed Florida students reported the use of alcohol in the past 30 days, with grade-level results ranging from a low of 3.9%for 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 18.2% for 12<sup>th</sup> graders. These averages translate into overall rates of 6.2% for middle school students and 13.1% for high school students.

11



*Frequency of Use*. The frequency of alcohol use in the past 30 days is summarized in Table 6. This table shows the percentage of students who reported using alcohol on a specific number of occasions in the past 30 days. Note that for this table, the number of occasions of use has been aggregated into seven categories: 0 occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions and 40 or more occasions. For instance, 8.6% of high school students indicated that they had used alcohol 1-2 times in the past month.

<u>Binge Drinking</u>. Findings on binge drinking (defined as consuming five or more drinks in a row within the past two weeks) are likely to be among the most important findings related to alcohol use. As Table 7 shows, 4.4% of Florida students reported binge drinking. The prevalence rate for binge drinking ranges from a low of 1.6% for 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 7.9% for 12<sup>th</sup> graders,

with averages of 2.4% for middle school students and 5.9% for high school students.

<u>Blacking Out</u>. In 2014, a new item was added to the *FYSAS* that asked high school students on how many occasions in their lifetime they woke up after a night of drinking and did not remember the things they did or the places they went. As Table 7 shows, 18.9% of high school students reported blacking out on one or more occasions in 2014. This number has been decreasing since 2014, with a new low of 9.1% in 2024.



2012-2024 Trend. As Table 5 and Graph 5 show, overall past-30-day alcohol use has decreased 14.4 percentage points since 2012. Over the last several survey cycles, reductions in alcohol use have been more consistent among high school students compare to middle school students. As Table 7 and Graph 6 show, results for binge drinking among Florida students reveal a similar pattern of change over time.

*Source of Alcohol.* Starting in 2012, the *FYSAS* high school questionnaire included a new item asking respondents to report where they usually get their alcohol (within the past 30 days). As Table

52 shows, "Someone gave it to me" was the most common reported source (41.1%), followed by "Some other way" (19.2%) and "bought in a store" (13.4%). Family members, restaurants, and public events were less common sources of alcohol for high school students.

Drinking Location. Starting in 2012, the FYSAS high school questionnaire included a new item asking respondents to report where they usually drank alcohol (within the past 30 days). As Table 53 shows, "My home" was the most common response (43.3%), followed by "Another person's home" (30.3%) and "Some other place" (9.0%). Other response options, such as "Car or other vehicle" and "School property" were selected by very few students.

<u>Drinks per Day</u>. Starting in 2012, the FYSAS high school questionnaire included a new item asking respondents to



*Lifetime Prevalence*. Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 6.3% have smoked a cigarette on at least one occasion in their lifetime. Lifetime prevalence rates for cigarette use range from a low of 3.0% for 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 8.9% for 12<sup>th</sup> graders. This corresponds to an overall rate of 4.4% for middle school students and 7.7% for high school students.

<u>Past-30-Day Prevalence</u>. In 2024, 1.1% of surveyed Florida students reported smoking cigarettes in the past 30 days, with grade-level results ranging from a low of 0.4% for 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 1.7% for 11<sup>th</sup> graders. These averages

report how many drinks they usually have on days when they drink (within the past 30 days). As Table 54 shows, *among students who drank*, 19.0% of surveyed high school students reported usually having "5 or more" drinks on the days they drink alcohol, 7.0% reported usually having four drinks, and 17.8% reported usually having three drinks. These results also show that among the minority of students who report drinking within the past 30 days, a substantial portion is engaging in risky, binge-style drinking behavior.

#### Cigarettes

This section of the report discusses the prevalence of tobacco use as measured by the 2024 FYSAS. Another survey, the 2024 Florida Youth Tobacco Survey (Florida Department of Health) was administered simultaneously with the 2024 FYSAS and was specifically tobacco related. That survey is Florida's official source for youth tobacco use information. The results of the 2024 FYSAS were largely consistent with the findings reported in the 2024 Florida Youth Tobacco Survey.

Throughout the 1990s, tobacco (including cigarettes and smokeless tobacco) was the second most commonly used drug among adolescents. National smoking rates, however, have declined substantially in the past two and a half decades. According to data from the *Monitoring the Future* study, between 1991 and 2023 past-30-day cigarette use declined from 14.3% to 1.1% among 8<sup>th</sup> graders, from 20.8% to 2.3% among 10<sup>th</sup> graders, and from 28.3% to 2.9% among 12<sup>th</sup> graders. A variety of findings for cigarette use by Florida students are presented in Table 8 and Graph 7. These include 2012-2024 data for lifetime and past-30-day prevalence of cigarette use.

translate into overall scores of 0.7% for middle school students and 1.4% for high school students.

<u>2012-2024 Trend</u>. As Graph 7 shows, the past-30-day prevalence rate for cigarettes has been declining since 2012. Between 2012 and 2024, the rate for past-30-day use dropped from 6.6% to 1.1%.

#### Vaping

In 2016, new items were added to the *FYSAS* asking students about their use of electronic vaporizers, such as e-cigarettes. In 2019, the vaping/e-cigarette items were replaced with new questions that distinguish between vaping nicotine and vaping marijuana.

*Monitoring the Future* reported that "increases in adolescent vaping from 2017 to 2018 were the largest ever recorded in the past 43 years for any adolescent substance use outcome in the U.S." (Press release, December 17, 2018) The prevalence of teenage vaping increased again in 2019, before declining slightly in 2020 and more substantially in 2021. In 2023, national past-30-day rates for vaping nicotine were 7.0% among 8<sup>th</sup> graders, 11.9% among 10<sup>th</sup> graders, and 16.9% among 12<sup>th</sup> graders. For vaping marijuana, the 2023 national rates were 4.2% among 8<sup>th</sup> graders, 8.5% among 10<sup>th</sup> graders.

Findings for electronic vapor product use by Florida students are presented in Tables 9 through 11 and Graphs 8 and 9.

<u>Lifetime Prevalence</u>. Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 16.2% have vaped nicotine on at least one occasion in their lifetimes. Lifetime prevalence rates for vaping nicotine range from a low of 6.6% for 6<sup>th</sup> graders

13



to a high of 23.6% for  $12^{\text{th}}$  graders. This corresponds to an overall rate of 10.9% for middle school students and 20.1% for high school students. Rates for vaping marijuana were lower, with 11.7% of students reporting lifetime use. Lifetime prevalence rates range from a low of 3.0% for 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 20.9% for 12<sup>th</sup> graders. This corresponds to an overall rate of 5.5% for middle school students and 16.3% for high school students.

<u>Past-30-Day Prevalence</u>. In 2024, 6.6% of surveyed Florida students reported vaping nicotine in the past 30 days, with grade-level results ranging from a low of 2.2% for 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 10.8% for 12<sup>th</sup> graders. These averages translate into overall rates of 3.7% for middle school students and 8.7% for high school students. Rates for vaping marijuana were lower, with 5.5% of students reporting past-30-day use. Past-30-day prevalence rates

range from a low of 1.1% for 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 9.7% for 12<sup>th</sup> graders. This corresponds to an overall rate of 2.2% for middle school students and 7.8% for high school students.

2019-2024 Trend. Because the vaping items were modified in 2019, it is impossible to make a direct comparison with the 2016 to 2018 survey results. That said, the data for 2019 through 2024 show that among high school students, past-30-day nicotine vaping declined 8.7 percentage points and past-30-day marijuana vaping declined 4.5 percentage points. Vaping rates among middle school students showed less change over this period. It should be noted, however, that past-30-day nicotine vaping among middle school students decreased 2.7 percentage points between 2021 and 2024, while past-30-day marijuana vaping decreased 0.3 percentage points.

#### Marijuana or Hashish

During the 1990s, there were major changes in trends of marijuana use throughout the United States. Results from the *Monitoring the Future* study show dramatic increases in both lifetime and past-30-day prevalence rates through the

early and mid-1990s. For 8<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> graders the past-30day rates more than doubled during this period. Since 1996 and 1997, when marijuana use peaked, rates declined slightly through the mid to late 2000s. Starting in 2008 and 2009, this trend reversed, with rates in the late 2010s once again reaching the levels reported in the mid-1990s. Adding another shift to this complex trend line, marijuana use rates have declined since 2019. In 2023, national survey results show past-30-day rates of 4.7% among 8<sup>th</sup> graders, 10.3% among 10<sup>th</sup> graders and 18.4% among 12<sup>th</sup> graders.

A variety of findings for marijuana or hashish use by Florida students is presented in Tables 12 to 14 and Graph 10. These include 2012-2024 data for lifetime and past-30-day prevalence. Results for vaping marijuana are presented in the previous section.





*Lifetime Prevalence.* Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 13.2% have used marijuana or hashish on at least one occasion in their lifetimes. Lifetime prevalence rates range from a low of 2.9% for 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 24.9% for 12<sup>th</sup> graders. This corresponds to an overall rate of 5.9% for middle school students and 18.6% for high school students.

<u>Past-30-Day Prevalence</u>. In 2024, 6.3% of surveyed Florida students reported the use of marijuana or hashish in the past 30 days, with grade-level results ranging from a low of 1.0% for 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 12.5% for 12<sup>th</sup> graders. These averages translate into overall rates of 2.4% for middle school students and 9.1% for high school students.

*Frequency of Use*. The frequency of marijuana or hashish use in the past 30 days is summarized in Table 13. This table shows the percentage of students who reported using marijuana or hashish on a specific number of occasions in the past 30 days. Note that for this table, the number of occasions of use has been aggregated into seven categories: 0 occasions, 1-2 occasions, 3-5 occasions, 6-9 occasions, 10-19 occasions, 20-39 occasions and 40 or more occasions. For instance, 4.2% of 12<sup>th</sup> grade students indicated that they had used marijuana or hashish 1-2 times in the past month.

<u>2012-2024 Trend</u>. As Graph 10 and Table 12 show, from 2012 to 2014, the overall past-30-day rate of marijuana use remained unchanged. Since 2014, middle school students have reported a 1.8 percentage point decrease. Among high school students, past-30-day marijuana use has declined 9.5 percentage points since 2014.

<u>Synthetic Marijuana</u>. Blends of herbs and synthetic chemical compounds designed to produce a marijuana-like high have become more popular in recent years. Often marketed as "herbal incense" under brand names like "K2" and "Spice," synthetic marijuana can be purchased legally in many states. While little is known about the risks associated with synthetic marijuana, the medical community has issued warnings about health and behavior problems associated with its use.

As Table 14 shows, 1.9% of Florida high school students reported using synthetic marijuana on at least one occasion in their lifetimes. Lifetime

prevalence rates range from a low of 1.7% among 9<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 2.3% among 12<sup>th</sup> graders. High school students reported a past-30-day prevalence rate of 0.7%, with a low of 0.6% among 11<sup>th</sup> graders and a high of 0.9% among 9<sup>th</sup> graders. Both lifetime and past-30-day use declined significantly between 2012 and 2024 (from 13.0% to 1.9% and 4.3% to 0.7%, respectively).

#### Inhalants

After alcohol, tobacco and marijuana, the most commonly used drug among Florida students is inhalants. Inhalant use is measured by the survey question, "On how many occasions (if any) have you used inhalants (whippets, butane, paint thinner, or glue to sniff, etc.)?" Inhalant use is more prevalent with younger students, perhaps because it is often the easiest drug for them to obtain. The negative consequences of inhalant use can be substantial; one of them being that it is associated with the use of other illicit drugs later in life. According to national results from the *Monitoring the Future* study, the prevalence rate of past-30-day inhalant use in 2023 was 2.6% among 8<sup>th</sup> graders, 0.9% among 10<sup>th</sup> graders and 1.2% among 12<sup>th</sup> graders.

A variety of findings for inhalant use by Florida students is presented in Table 15 and Graph 11. These include 2012-2024 data for lifetime and past-30-day prevalence.

*Lifetime Prevalence*. Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 4.9% have used inhalants on at least one occasion in their lifetimes. Grade-level results indicate, however, that inhalant use does not follow the typical pattern of increasing with age and grade level. Lifetime inhalant use peaks among 7<sup>th</sup> graders at 7.6%, before reaching a low among 12<sup>th</sup> graders of 2.2%. This

15



corresponds to a rate of 6.9% for middle school students and 3.5% for high school students.

<u>Past-30-Day Prevalence</u>. Overall, 1.6% of surveyed Florida students reported the use of inhalants in the past 30 days. Similar to lifetime prevalence, past-30-day prevalence of use peaks in the 6<sup>th</sup> grade at 2.8% before reaching a low of 0.6% in the 12<sup>th</sup> grade. These averages translate into overall scores of 2.5% for middle school students and 0.9% for high school students.

2012-2024 Trend. At the beginning of the last decade, a number of prevention agencies warned of increasing rates of inhalant use among youth. Data from the *FYSAS* indicate that this dangerous trend was stopped and then pushed back to a low in 2016. As Graph 11 and Table 15 show, between 2012 and 2016, past-30-day inhalant use declined from 3.8% to 2.2% among middle school students, and from 1.6% to 1.2% among high school students. Results for 2018 and 2020 show an increase in inhalant use among middle schoolers, followed by a decline in 2022 to 2.3% and a small increase in 2024 to 2.5%. Rates of use among high school dropped from 1.6% in 2012 to 0.9% in 2024.

#### Club Drugs

Club drugs are a broad category of illicit substances that are classified together because their use began at dance clubs and "raves," not because they are of a similar chemical class (like amphetamines). Their use, however, has expanded beyond these settings.

For 2024, both the middle school and high school *FYSAS* questionnaires include two items that ask students about "club drugs such as Ecstasy, Rohypnol, GHB, or ketamine."

Ecstasy (also known as MDMA), a form of methamphetamine, has both stimulant and hallucinogenic effects. GHB (gammahydroxybutyrate) is generally an odorless, colorless liquid that is taken orally. When combined with alcohol, it can be used to induce unconsciousness and has been involved in sexual assaults. It also has been used to enhance bodybuilding. Ketamine, also known as "Special K," is a tranquilizer most often used by veterinarians. However, its hallucinatory effects, which are similar to those of LSD and PCP, have made it another drug of choice at dance clubs and raves. Rohypnol,

also known as "roofies" and "the date rape drug," is a sedative in the same family as Valium, and is the trade name for flunitrazepam. It is as much as 10 times more potent than Valium. Rohypnol is often taken with other drugs in an effort to either enhance their effects or buffer the withdrawal symptoms.

Findings for lifetime and past-30-day club drug use by Florida students are presented in Table 16.

<u>Lifetime Prevalence</u>. Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 0.6% have used club drugs on at least one occasion in their lifetimes. Lifetime prevalence rates range from a low of 0.2% for  $6^{th}$  graders to a high of 0.9% for  $11^{th}$  and  $12^{th}$  graders. This corresponds to an overall rate of 0.4% for middle school students and 0.8% for high school students.

<u>*Past-30-Day Prevalence*</u>. In 2024, just 0.2% of surveyed Florida students reported the use of club drugs in the past 30 days.

<u>2012-2024 Trend</u>. Both lifetime and past-30-day prevalence rates for club drug use decreased between 2012 and 2024 (2.8 and 0.9 percentage points, respectively).

#### Other Illicit Drugs

The 2024 FYSAS also measured the prevalence of use of a variety of other illicit drugs among Florida students. This includes student use of the following: LSD, PCP, or hallucinogenic mushrooms; cocaine or crack cocaine; methamphetamine; depressants; heroin; prescription pain relievers; illicit use of over-the-counter drugs; amphetamines; Delta-8 and Delta-10 THC; and kratom. Results for these substance categories are presented in Tables 17 through 24 and Table 59.

As is typical of adolescent populations, the prevalenceof-use rates reported by Florida students for these other illicit drugs are much lower than the rates for alcohol, vaping, marijuana, and inhalants, and tend to be concentrated in the upper grades. Please note that trend analysis is not presented for substance use categories with very low prevalence rates.

#### LSD, PCP or Hallucinogenic Mushrooms

Table 17 summarizes the lifetime and past-30-day prevalence rates of LSD, PCP, or hallucinogenic mushroom use among Florida students.

<u>Lifetime Prevalence</u>. Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 2.2% have used LSD, PCP, or hallucinogenic mushrooms on at least one occasion in their lifetimes. Lifetime prevalence rates range from a low of 0.6% for  $6^{th}$  graders to a high of 3.9% for  $12^{th}$  graders. This corresponds to an overall rate of 1.0% for middle school students and 3.0% for high school students.

<u>*Past-30-Day Prevalence*</u>. In 2024, just 0.5% of surveyed Florida students reported the use of LSD, PCP, or hallucinogenic mushrooms in the past 30 days.

#### Cocaine or Crack Cocaine

Table 18 summarizes the lifetime and past-30-day prevalence rates of cocaine or crack cocaine use among Florida students.

<u>Lifetime Prevalence</u>. Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 0.5% have used cocaine or crack cocaine on at least one occasion in their lifetimes. Lifetime prevalence rates range from a low of 0.3% for  $6^{th}$  graders to a high of 0.8% for 12<sup>th</sup> graders. This corresponds to an overall rate of 0.5% for middle school students and 0.6% for high school students.

<u>*Past-30-Day Prevalence*</u>. In 2024, just 0.2% of surveyed Florida students reported the use of cocaine or crack cocaine in the past 30 days.

#### Methamphetamine

Table 19 summarizes the lifetime and past-30-day prevalence rates of methamphetamine use.

<u>Lifetime Prevalence</u>. Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 0.6% used methamphetamines on at least one occasion in their lifetimes.

<u>*Past-30-Day Prevalence*</u>. In 2024, just 0.3% of surveyed Florida students reported the use of methamphetamines in the past 30 days.

#### **Prescription Depressants**

The use of prescription depressants was measured by asking: "On how many occasions (if any) have you used prescription depressants or tranquilizers, such as Xanax or Valium, without a doctor's orders, in your lifetime?" and "... in the past 30 days?" Table 20 summarizes the lifetime and past-30-day prevalence rates for this question.

This item set was modified in 2018 to more clearly focus on the non-medical use of prescription depressants. As a result, caution should be exercised when comparing older waves of depressants data with results generated by the modified items.

*Lifetime Prevalence.* Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 1.5% have used depressants on at least one occasion in their lifetimes. Lifetime prevalence rates range from a low of 0.8% for 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 1.9% for 12<sup>th</sup> graders. This corresponds to an overall rate of 1.2% for middle school students and 1.6% for high school students.

<u>*Past-30-Day Prevalence.*</u> In 2024, 0.4% of surveyed Florida students reported the use of depressants in the past 30 days.

<u>2012-2024 Trend</u>. Past-30-day depressant use declined from 2012 to 2014. However, from 2014 to 2016, the past-30-day prevalence rate increased 0.3 percentage points. Past-30-day use then declined 1.4 percentage points from 2016 to 2024.

#### Heroin

Heroin use in a school population is extremely rare. Nationally, no lifetime prevalence rate for heroin has exceeded 2.4% in the 8<sup>th</sup>, 10<sup>th</sup>, or 12<sup>th</sup> grades in the past two decades (Miech et al., 2024). Very low prevalence rates for heroin use among adolescents have also been observed in Florida. Table 21 summarizes the lifetime and past-30-day prevalence rates for heroin use.

<u>Lifetime Prevalence</u>. Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 0.3% have used heroin on at least one occasion in their lifetimes.

<u>*Past-30-Day Prevalence*</u>. In 2024, just 0.1% of surveyed Florida students reported the use of heroin in the past 30 days.

17



#### Using a Needle to Inject Illegal Drugs

In recent years, communities around the country have faced a public health challenge involving increasing rates of opioid addiction and opioid overdoses. While this crisis appears to be concentrated in the adult population, drug abuse prevention agencies are moving to increase surveillance of youth populations as a preemptive action.

With this goal in mind, the 2016 FYSAS added an item asking high school students whether they had ever used a needle to inject an illegal drug. As Table 25 shows, 0.6% of high school students reported using a needle to inject an illegal drug in 2024.

#### **Prescription Pain Relievers**

On the 2024 questionnaire, the use of prescription pain relievers was measured with an updated question: "On how many occasions (if any) have you used prescription opioid pain relievers such as Hydrocodone (e.g., Lortab, Vicodin, and Norco), Oxycodone (e.g., Percocet and Oxycontin) and Codeine—without a doctor's orders, in your lifetime?" and "... in the past 30 days?" Table 22 summarizes the lifetime and past-30-day prevalence rates for this question.

<u>Lifetime Prevalence</u>. Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 1.7% have used prescription pain relievers on at least one occasion in their lifetimes. Lifetime prevalence rates range from a low of 1.2% for  $6^{th}$  graders to a high of 2.1% for 11<sup>th</sup> graders. This corresponds to an overall rate of 1.6% for middle school students and 1.8% for high school students. <u>*Past-30-Day Prevalence*</u>. In 2024, 0.6% of surveyed Florida students reported the use of prescription pain relievers in the past 30 days.

2012-2024 Trend. As Graph 12 shows, prescription pain reliever use among Florida students has declined over this time period, with lifetime prevalence decreasing 4.7 percentage points and past-30-day prevalence decreasing 1.7 percentage points.

#### Illicit Use of Over-The-Counter Drugs

The illicit use of over-the-counter (OTC) drugs was measured by

asking: "On how many occasions (if any) have you used drugs that can be purchased from a store without a prescription—such as cold and cough medication—in order to get high in your lifetime?" and "... in the past 30 days?"

Table 23 and Graph 12 summarize the lifetime and past-30-day prevalence rates for this question.

*Lifetime Prevalence*. Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 1.9% have used OTC drugs on at least one occasion in their lifetimes. Lifetime prevalence rates range from a low of 1.2% for 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 2.5% for 11<sup>th</sup> graders. This corresponds to an overall rate of 1.7% for middle school students and 2.0% for high school students.

<u>*Past-30-Day Prevalence*</u>. In 2024, 0.7% of surveyed Florida students reported the use of OTC drugs in the past 30 days.

<u>2012-2024 Trend</u>. The illicit use of OTC drugs by Florida students has decreased since 2012, with reductions of 3.6 percentage points for lifetime use and 1.5 percentage points for past-30-day use.

#### **Prescription Amphetamines**

The use of prescription amphetamines is measured on the *FYSAS* with the questions: "On how many occasions (if any) did you use amphetamines (including Ritalin, Adderall, etc.) without a doctor's orders in your lifetime?" and "... in the past 30 days?" Table 24 summarizes the lifetime and past-30-day prevalence rates for prescription amphetamines.

<u>Lifetime Prevalence</u>. Of the students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 1.8% have used prescription amphetamines on at least one occasion in their lifetimes. Lifetime prevalence rates range from a low of 0.9% for 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 2.4% for  $12^{th}$  graders. This corresponds to an overall rate of 1.5% for middle school students and 2.0% for high school students.

<u>*Past-30-Day Prevalence*</u>. In 2024, 0.5% of surveyed Florida students reported the use of prescription amphetamines in the past 30 days.

## Delta-8 and Delta-10 THC and Kratom

New items addressing awareness of and use of Delta-8 THC, Delta-10 THC, and kratom were added to the 2023 *FYSAS* high school questionnaire. Because Delta-8 THC and Delta-10 THC come from hemp plants instead of marijuana plants, products such as gummies and vape pens that contain Delta-8 THC and Delta-10 THC can be legally sold in Florida.

Kratom is an herbal product that can produce both stimulative and sedative effects. It is marketed as a medicinal product at vitamin and supplement stores, or as an energy booster at convenience stores and gas stations. As the availability of kratom has increased, the public health community has issued warnings about the risk of addiction and overdose.

<u>Delta-8 THC and Delta-10 THC</u>. Among Florida high school students, 27.3% reported having heard about products that contain Delta-8 THC and Delta-10 THC. A smaller number, 8.7%, reported having used these products on one or more occasions.

<u>Kratom</u>. Awareness and use of kratom are lower, with 8.7% of high school students having heard of kratom products, and just 1.1% having used them.

#### **Drug Combination Rates**

Prevalence-of-use rates for combinations of drugs provide a helpful summary of drug use behavior. Tables 26 to 30 and Graphs 13 and 14 provide lifetime and past-30-day prevalence rates for the use of one or more drugs from a set of illicit drugs. This includes the illicit use of prescription drugs and over-the-counter drugs. Illicit drugs are substances that are illegal for adults to use, so they include all drugs on the survey except alcohol, cigarettes, and vaping nicotine. Five types of drug combination rates are presented here:

Any illicit drug – Use of at least one illicit drug

**Any illicit drug other than marijuana** – Use of at least one illicit drug other than marijuana

Alcohol only - The use of alcohol and no illicit drugs

Alcohol or any illicit drug – Use of alcohol or at least one illicit drug

**Any illicit drug but no alcohol** – Use of at least one illicit drug, without any use of alcohol

While changes to the *FYSAS* ATOD item set have been designed to promote comparability across survey waves, these changes should be considered when interpreting the trend results for these drug combination rates. These questionnaire changes are summarized at the beginning of Section 2.

#### Any Illicit Drug

<u>2024 Results</u>. As Table 26 shows, 20.2% of surveyed Florida students in grades 6 through 12 reported at least one use of *any illicit drug* in their lifetimes, while 9.7% reported use in the past 30 days. Grade-level findings for lifetime prevalence ranged from 12.2% in the 6<sup>th</sup> grade to 29.6% in the 12<sup>th</sup> grade. For past-30-day use, findings ranged from 5.1% in the 6<sup>th</sup> grade to 14.5% in the 12<sup>th</sup> grade.

<u>Subgroup Analysis</u>. Females reported higher rates than males for both lifetime (23.3% and 17.2%, respectively) and past-30-day (11.2% and 8.3%, respectively) use. Ethnic group differences are relatively minor, with White, non-Hispanic students reporting the highest prevalence of past-30-day *any illicit drug* use (10.6%), followed by African American (9.9%) and Hispanic/Latino (7.3%) students.

<u>2012-2024 Trend</u>. Changes in *any illicit drug* use over time are presented in Table 26 and Graph 13. The past-30-day rate declined from 17.2% in 2012 to 14.3% in 2018. In 2020, the rate increased to 14.8%, and declined to a new low of 9.7% in 2024.

It should be noted that changes in the rate of marijuana use have a dominant effect on this measure because marijuana has the highest prevalence of all the illicit drugs included in the composite measure. Also, the increase in 2020 is partially due to the addition of marijuana vaping to the composite measure.



#### Any Illicit Drug Other than Marijuana

The purpose of this drug combination rate is to provide prevention planners with an overall indicator of so-called "hard" drug use.

2024 Results. As shown in Table 27, 9.9% of surveyed Florida students reported at least one use of any illicit drug other than marijuana in their lifetimes, while 3.7% reported use in the past 30 days. Grade-level findings for lifetime prevalence ranged from 8.9% in the 10<sup>th</sup> grade to 10.9% in the 8th grade. For past-30-day use, findings ranged from 2.3% in the 12th grade to 4.6% in the 7th and 8<sup>th</sup> grade. Past-30-day use of any illicit drug other than marijuana is highest in the middle grades due to inhalant use.

These data provide the opportunity to compare total "hard" drug use to the prevalence rates of more commonly used drugs. The prevalence of past-30-day use of all illicit drugs other than marijuana *combined* (3.7%) is less than the prevalence of past-30-day use of alcohol (10.2%) and marijuana (6.3%), as well as the prevalence of binge drinking (4.4%).

Subgroup Analysis. Females have a higher rate than males for both lifetime (11.0% versus 8.7%, respectively) and past-30-day (4.1% versus 3.2%, respectively) use. Ethnic group differences are

pronounced for lifetime use (from 7.3% for African American students to 11.2% for White, non-Hispanic students) and minor for past-30-day use (from 3.1% for Hispanic/Latino students to 3.8% for White, non-Hispanic students).

2012-2024 Trend. Table 27 and Graph 14 present trend data for any illicit drug other than marijuana. Lifetime prevalence of use has declined from 18.8% in 2012 to 9.9% in 2024. Prevalence of use in the past 30 days shows a similar pattern, dropping from 8.2% in 2012 to 3.7% in 2024.

#### Alcohol Only

2024 Results. Results for alcohol only-which counts respondents who reported the use of alcohol and also reported using no illicit drugs—are presented in Table 28. Overall, 13.4% of surveyed Florida students reported using alcohol and no illicit drugs in their lifetimes, while 6.0% reported use in the past 30 days. Grade-level findings for lifetime prevalence range from 9.1% in the 6<sup>th</sup> grade to 16.7% in the 12<sup>th</sup> grade. For past-30-day use, findings ranged from 2.6% in the 6<sup>th</sup> grade to 10.3% in the 12<sup>th</sup> grade.

Subgroup Analysis. Females were more likely than males to report the use of alcohol and no illicit drugs for past-30-day (6.9% versus 5.2%, respectively) use. White, non-Hispanic students reported the highest prevalence of past-30-day use (7.5%), followed by Hispanic/Latino (5.8%) and African American students (3.4%).



#### Graph Comparison of past-30-day any illicit drug except

<u>2012-2024 Trend</u>. Table 28 presents trend data for alcohol only. Overall, past-30-day use of alcohol and no illicit drugs decreased from 13.7% in 2012 to 6.0% in 2024. Please note that the *alcohol only* trend reflects changes to both the rate of alcohol use and the rate of illicit drug use. Consequently, a decrease in the prevalence rate for this measure can result from either a decrease in alcohol use or an increase in illicit drug use.

#### Alcohol or Any Illicit Drug

<u>2024 Results</u>. Alcohol or any illicit drug use is a summary measure that includes all drugs from the 2024 survey, with the exception of cigarettes. As Table 29 shows, 33.5% of Florida students in grades 6 through 12 reported at least one use of *alcohol or any illicit drug* in their lifetimes, while 15.6% reported use in the past 30 days. Grade-level findings for lifetime prevalence range from 21.1% in the 6<sup>th</sup> grade to 46.0% in the 12<sup>th</sup> grade. For past-30-day use, findings ranged from 7.7% in the 6<sup>th</sup> grade to 24.7% in the 12<sup>th</sup> grade.

<u>Subgroup Analysis</u>. Females reported higher rates than males for lifetime use (37.3% versus 29.8%, respectively) and past-30-day use (17.9% versus 13.4%, respectively). White, non-Hispanic students reported the highest prevalence of past-30-day *alcohol or any illicit drug* use (18.1%), followed by African American (13.2%) and Hispanic/Latino students (13.0%).

<u>2012-2024 Trend</u>. Table 29 presents trend data for alcohol or any illicit drug use. Between 2012 and 2024 the past-30-day rate declined 14.9 percentage points.

#### Any Illicit Drug, but No Alcohol

<u>2024 Results</u>. The final drug combination category measures the use of illicit drugs by students who are not using alcohol. As Table 30 shows, this combination is quite rare. Overall, just 6.8% of surveyed students reported having used illicit drugs in their lifetimes but never having used alcohol. Current use of illicit drugs (within the past 30 days) without the accompanying use of alcohol is also rare (5.6%). For this measure, past-30day prevalence is similar to lifetime prevalence because there are students who have used an illicit drug in the past month, and have used alcohol in their lifetimes, but have *not* used alcohol in the last month.

<u>Subgroup Analysis</u>. Because of the unusual nature of this measure, subgroup differences are difficult to interpret.

<u>2012-2024 Trend</u>. Because of the unusual nature of this measure, changes over time are difficult to interpret.

## Section 3 Other Antisocial Behaviors

he 2024 FYSAS also measures a series of seven other problem or antisocial behaviors—that is, behaviors that run counter to established norms of good behavior. Note that information on antisocial behavior is collected only for a prevalence period of the past 12 months. The survey measured the following antisocial behaviors:

- Carrying a Handgun
- Selling Drugs
- Attempting to Steal a Vehicle
- Being Arrested
- Taking a Handgun to School
- Getting Suspended
- Attacking Someone with Intent to Harm

Each question is specifically described below. Note that for all seven questions, possible responses include: Never, 1 or 2 times, 3 to 5 times, 6 to 9 times, 10 to 19 times and 20+ times. Tables 31-34 provide the prevalence rates of all of the delinquent behaviors by sex, ethnic group, age, and grade. Graph 15 provides a summary of how these measures have changed over time.

#### Carrying a Handgun

This behavior is surveyed by the question, "How many times in the past year (12 months) have you carried a handgun?"

In 2024, 5.9% of surveyed students reported having carried a handgun in the past year. Over time, rates for this measure range from a low of 4.4% in 2012 to a high of 6.1% in 2020 (see Table 31), making it the only *Other Antisocial Behavior* to increase more than a percentage point during this period. White, non-Hispanic students reported the highest rate (7.6%), followed by African American students (4.9%) and Hispanic/Latino students (3.7%). Males (8.2%) reported a higher rate of this behavior than females (3.5%). Twelfth-grade students reported the lowest rate of carrying a handgun (4.2%),

while all other grade levels reported rates between 5.6% and 6.9%.

#### Selling Drugs

Selling drugs is surveyed by the question, "How many times in the past year (12 months) have you sold illegal drugs?" Note that the question asks about, but does not define or specify, "illegal drugs."

In 2024, 1.6% of surveyed students reported having sold illegal drugs in the past year. This rate is notably lower than the 5.0% reported in 2012 (see Table 31). The prevalence rate for this behavior generally increases with age and grade. As can be seen in Table 31, 1.0% of middle school students reported selling illegal drugs in 2024 compared to 2.0% of high school students. Males reported a higher rate of this behavior than females (2.0% versus 1.1%, respectively).

White, non-Hispanic students reported the highest rate (1.8%), followed by African American students (1.7%) and Hispanic/Latino students (1.0%).

# Attempting to Steal a Vehicle

Vehicle theft is surveyed by the question, "How many times in the past year (12 months) have you stolen or tried to steal a motor vehicle such as a car or motorcycle?"

In 2024, 1.1% of surveyed students reported having stolen or attempted to steal a motor vehicle in the past year. Over time, the prevalence of this behavior ranges from a high of 1.8% in 2012 to a low of 1.1% in 2024 (see Table 32). Across grades, reports of this behavior range from a low of 0.8% among  $12^{th}$  graders to a high of 1.3% among  $8^{th}$  graders. African American students reported the highest rates for attempting to steal a motor vehicle (1.6%), followed by White, non-Hispanic students (1.0%) and Hispanic/Latino students (0.7%). Males (1.4%) reported a higher rate of involvement compared to females (0.8%).

23

## Being Arrested

Student experience with being arrested is surveyed by the question, "How many times in the past year (12 months) have you been arrested?" Note that the question does not define "arrested." Rather, it is left to the respondent to define. Some young people may define any contact with police as an arrest, while others may only consider an official arrest as justifying a positive answer to this question.

In 2024, 1.7% of surveyed students reported having been arrested in the past year. Over time, the prevalence of this behavior ranges from a high of 3.4% in 2012 to a low of 1.7% in 2024 (see Table 32). Males (2.1%) reported a higher rate of involvement compared to females (1.3%). African American students reported the highest arrest rate (2.9%), followed by White, non-Hispanic students (1.4%) and Hispanic/Latino students (1.2%). Across grade levels, rates range from a low of 1.2% among 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 2.6% among 8<sup>th</sup> graders.

### Taking a Handgun to School

This behavior is surveyed by the question, "How many times in the past year (12 months) have you taken a handgun to school?"

In 2024, 0.5% of surveyed students reported having taken a handgun to school in the past year (see Table 33).

Because the rate of involvement with this behavior is so low, comparisons over time and across the sexes and ethnic groups are unreliable.

## Getting Suspended

Suspension is surveyed by the question, "How many times in the past year (12 months) have you been suspended from school?" Note that the question does not define "suspension." Rather, it is left to the individual respondent to define. It should also be noted that school suspension rates are difficult to interpret because school suspension policies vary substantially from district to district. Therefore, these rates should be interpreted with caution. However, differences by grade, age, sex, and ethnic group are often interesting, as changes in these rates are revealed over time.

In 2024, 13.5% of surveyed students reported having been suspended in the past year. Over time, rates for this measure dropped from 11.9% in 2012 to a low of 9.5% in 2018, before rising to this year's rate of 13.5% (see Table 33).

Across grades, suspension rates peak in grades 6, 7, and 8 (14.7%, 16.6%, and 19.3%, respectively) before reaching a low of 7.2% in the 12<sup>th</sup> grade. Findings for gender differ substantially, with 15.8% of male respondents reporting having been suspended compared to 11.2% of female respondents. There were also wide disparities in suspension rates across ethnic groups. Suspension rates were highest among surveyed African American students (23.0%), compared to



Hispanic/Latino (11.8%) and White, non-Hispanic (9.3%) students.

### Attacking Someone with Intent to Harm

The question "How many times in the past year (12 months) have you attacked someone with the idea of seriously hurting them?" was asked in the survey. The question does not ask specifically about the use of a weapon. Therefore, occurrences of physical fighting with or without weapons are captured with this question.

In 2024, 6.0% of surveyed students reported having attacked someone with the intent to harm in the past year. In other years, rates range from a high of 7.9% in 2012 to a low of 6.0% in 2024 (see Table 34).

Differences across grade levels are substantial, with rates ranging from a low of 2.3% among 12<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 8.7% among 7<sup>th</sup> graders. Males were more likely to report attacking someone than females (6.7% versus 5.4%, respectively). It should be noted that the difference between gender groups has become smaller over time, primarily because the rate reported by male students has notably declined since 2012 while the rate reported by female students has declined more slowly.

There were also variations among the ethnic groups, with African American students reporting the highest prevalence for this behavior (9.5%), followed by White, non-Hispanic (4.6%) and Hispanic/Latino (4.2%) students.

#### Using Drugs Before or During School

In 2013, the question about being "drunk or high at school" was removed from the other antisocial behavior item group, and three new items addressing drug use before or during school were added. Table 57 shows the percentage of students who reported drinking alcohol, smoking marijuana, or using another drug before or during school one or more times in the past 12 months.

Marijuana is the drug with the highest prevalence of use before or during school, with nearly one out of ten high school students (7.9%) reported smoking marijuana before or during school. Drinking alcohol before or during school was reported by 3.4% of high schoolers and using another drug was reported by 2.2% of high schoolers.

Prevalence rates for this especially problematic form of ATOD use increase as students get older. For example,

only 1.1% of 6<sup>th</sup> grade students reported smoking marijuana before or during school, compared with 9.6% of 11<sup>th</sup> grade students. Females were more likely than males to report drinking alcohol before or during school (3.7% versus 2.4%, respectively). All other gender and ethnic group differences were small.
# Section 4 Risk and Protective Factors

ust as smoking is a risk factor for heart disease and getting regular exercise is a protective factor for heart disease and other health problems, there are factors that can help protect youth from, or put them at risk for, drug use and other problem behaviors.

**Protective factors**, also known as "assets," are conditions that buffer children and youth from exposure to risk by either reducing the impact of the risks or changing the way that young people respond to risks.

**Risk factors** are conditions that increase the likelihood of a young person becoming involved in drug use, delinquency, school dropout and/or violence. For example, children living in families with poor parental monitoring are more likely to become involved in these problems.

Research during the past 30 years supports the view that delinquency; alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use; school achievement; and other important outcomes in adolescence are associated with specific risk and protective factors in the student's community, school, and family environments, as well as with characteristics of the individual (Hawkins, Catalano & Miller, 1992). In fact, these risk and protective factors have been shown to be more important in understanding these behaviors than ethnicity, income, or family structure (Blum et al., 2000). There is a substantial amount of research showing that adolescents' exposure to a greater number of risk factors is associated with more drug use and delinquency. There is also evidence that exposure to a number of protective factors is associated with lower prevalence of these problem behaviors (Bry, McKeon & Pandina, 1982; Newcomb, Maddahian & Skager, 1987; Newcomb & Felix-Ortiz, 1992; Newcomb, 1995; Pollard et al., 1999).

### The Social Development Strategy

The Social Development Strategy (Hawkins, Catalano & Associates, 1992) organizes these risk and protective factors into a framework that families, schools and communities can use to help children develop healthy behaviors. This strategy, which is graphically depicted in Appendix C, shows how three broad categories of protective factors—healthy beliefs and clear standards,

bonding, and individual characteristics-work together to promote positive youth development and healthy behaviors (Hawkins, Arthur & Catalano, 1995). The Social Development Strategy begins with a goal of healthy behaviors for all children and youth. In order for young people to develop healthy behaviors, adults must communicate healthy beliefs and clear standards for behavior to young people (Catalano & Hawkins, 1996). Bonding (an attached, committed relationship) between a child and an adult who communicates healthy beliefs and clear standards motivates the child to follow healthy beliefs and clear standards. A child who forges a bond with an adult is less likely to threaten the relationship by violating the beliefs and standards held by the adult. Research has identified three conditions for bonding (Catalano & Hawkins, 1996):

- First, children need developmentally appropriate opportunities for meaningful involvement with a positive social group (community, family, school, etc.) or individual.
- Second, children need the emotional, cognitive, social, and behavioral skills to successfully take advantage of opportunities.
- Third, children must be recognized for their involvement. Recognition sets up a reinforcing cycle in which children continue to look for opportunities and learn skills and, therefore, receive recognition.

Certain characteristics that some children come into the world with (positive social orientation, resilient temperament, and high intelligence) can also help protect children from risk. For children who do not have the protective advantages of these characteristics, in order to build strong bonds to family, school and community, it is even more important for community members to:

- make extra efforts to provide opportunities for involvement
- teach the social, emotional, and cognitive skills needed to be successful
- recognize children's efforts as well as their successes

The developmental process outlined in this model has important implications for prevention planning. Programs that seek to change the attitudes young people hold about the pros and cons of ATOD use, for example, may produce an immediate reduction in the prevalence of problem behaviors. The effectiveness of these efforts will be limited, however, by the risk and protective factors that underlie the acquisition of healthy beliefs and clear standards. If young people have weak bonds to prosocial groups and strong bonds to antisocial groups, they will be less receptive to drug abuse prevention messages.

An alternative prevention strategy might involve targeting the risk and protective factors that operate at an earlier point in the developmental process. While programs and policies that increase the opportunities for prosocial involvement in the family, at school and in the community may not yield an immediate reduction in the rates of ATOD use, they will encourage young people to form attachments to sources of positive social influence, thereby building the foundation for healthy behavioral choices in the future.

### Measurement

The 2024 FYSAS assesses 10 risk factors and five protective factors across four domains: Community Domain, Family Domain, School Domain, and Peer and Individual Domain. Each factor is measured by a set of survey items called a scale. As noted in Section 1 of this report, a more compact version of the risk and protective factor model was first used with the 2008 middle school *FYSAS*.

For each risk and protective factor scale a threshold is set above which respondents are considered to have a high level of risk or protection and below which they are considered to have a low level of risk or protection. For each scale, the number of students with high levels of risk or protection can be counted. This approach allows risk and protective factor data to be reported in the same way as ATOD data: as prevalence rates.

Under this system, a score of 60 for the protective factor *School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement* would indicate that 60% of surveyed students reported a high level of protection for this protective factor, while 40% reported a low level of protection. Risk factor scales are scored in the same way. For example, a score of 55 for the risk factor *Favorable Attitudes toward ATOD Use* would indicate that 55% of surveyed students reported a high level of risk for this risk factor, while 45% reported a low level of risk.

Risk and protective factor scale prevalence rates for the overall sample of Florida students, as well as middle school and high school subsamples, are presented in Tables 68 and 69 and Graphs 16 to 19. For trend comparison purposes, risk and protective factor results from the *2012* to *2022 FYSAS* are presented in Tables 72 to 75.

# Calculation of Risk and Protective Factor Thresholds

The high-risk and high-protection thresholds used to calculate the risk and protective factor prevalence rates were calculated using a method recommended by Arthur et al. (2007). For risk factor scales, the high-risk threshold is the normative median—that is the scale's median value in the *Communities That Care* normative database—plus .15 times the mean absolute deviation (a measure of central tendency similar to the standard deviation). In other words, risk factor threshold is the normative median. For protective factor scales, the high-protection threshold is the normative median minus .15 times the mean absolute deviation are set slightly below the normative median.

It is also important to note that risk and protection thresholds are calculated separately for each grade level. For most risk factors, this means that older students must report a higher level of risk before crossing the scoring threshold and being designated as at risk. For most protective factors, this means that older students must report a lower level of protection before crossing the scoring threshold and being designated as protected.

### Normative Comparisons for Risk and Protective Factor Prevalence Rates

Florida prevention planners can gain additional insight by comparing the state's results to the national risk and protective factor norms from the *Communities That Care* normative database. These national risk and protective factor norms are presented in Tables 70 and 71.

The risk factor scale *Early Initiation of Drug Use* provides an example. As shown in Table 69, 14% of the overall sample of Florida students reported scale scores above the high-risk threshold. In other words, 14% of surveyed Florida students are at risk due to early experimentation with drugs. Table 71 shows that across the national *Communities That Care* normative sample, 43% of survey students are at risk due to early experimentation with drugs. Florida's score of 14% is 29 percentage points below the normative score.

### Normative Data

The *Communities That Care* normative database contains survey responses from over 280,000 students in grades 6 through 12. It was compiled by combining the results of selected *Communities That Care Youth Survey* efforts that were completed in 2000, 2001 and 2002. To enhance representativeness, statistical weights were applied to adjust the sample to exactly match the population of U.S. public school students on four key demographic variables: ethnicity, sex, socioeconomic status and urbanicity. Information on the U.S. public school student population was obtained from the Common Core of Data program at the U.S. Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics.

### Prevention Planning with Risk and Protective Factor Data

The analysis of risk and protective factors is the most powerful tool available for understanding what promotes both positive and negative adolescent behavior and for helping design successful prevention programs for young people. To promote positive development and prevent problem behavior, it is necessary to address the factors that predict these outcomes. By measuring these risk and protective factors, specific factors that are elevated can be prioritized in the community. This process also helps in selecting tested-effective prevention programming shown to address those elevated factors and consequently provide the greatest likelihood for success.

### Risk and Protective Factor Prioritization

In general, a prevention strategy that focuses on a relatively narrow set of developmental factors can be more effective than a strategy that spreads resources across a broad set of factors. Risk and protective factor data from the *FYSAS* can provide critical guidance in this prioritization process. That is, prevention planners can use the information gathered by the survey to identify youth development areas where programs, policies and practices are likely to have the greatest positive impact.

#### **Comparisons Across Risk and Protective Factors**

Start the prioritization process by identifying the protective factor scales with the lowest percentage of protected students and the risk factor scales with the highest percentage of at risk students. It may also be helpful to identify scales with particularly high percentages of protected students or low percentages of at risk students. These areas represent strengths that prevention planners in Florida may wish to build on. In addition, it is also important to compare the rates of risk and protection reported by Florida students to the rates reported by students in the national normative sample.

#### Lowest Protective Factor Scales:

- Of the combined sample of middle school and high school students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 49% reported an elevated level of protection for the protective factor scale *Religiosity*. In the national normative sample, 59% reported an elevated level for *Religiosity*, a difference of 10 percentage points. This means that compared to students from across the country who have participated in the survey, Florida students are less likely to benefit from relationships with prosocial adults and peers, opportunities for prosocial activities, and the teaching of prosocial values that are often part of religious involvement.
- Of the combined sample of middle school and high school students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 51% reported an elevated level of protection for the protective factor scale *Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement*. In the national normative sample, 55% reported an elevated level for this same scale, a difference of four percentage points. Students with lower scores on the *Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement* scale are less likely to receive praise and support from their parents when they accomplish something positive. This lack of feedback, in turn, may weaken the parent-child bond and inhibit the ability of parents to transfer prosocial values to their children.
- Among middle school students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 45% reported an elevated level of protection for the protective factor scale *School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement*. In the national normative sample, 53% reported an elevated level for this same scale, a difference of eight percentage points. Low scores on this scale indicate that students receive less praise and encouragement when they work hard and do well in school. This lack of positive feedback, in turn, may weaken the bonds students form with teachers, coaches and prosocial peers.

#### Highest Risk Factor Scales:

• Of the combined sample of middle school and high school students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 71% reported an elevated level of risk for the risk factor scale *Lack of Commitment to School*. In the national

normative sample, 46% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of 25 percentage points. Students with high scores on the *Lack of Commitment to School* have negative feelings about school and are less likely to report that schoolwork is meaningful or important for their future. Young people who have lost this commitment to school are at higher risk for a variety of problem behaviors.

- Of the combined sample of middle school and high school students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 45% reported an elevated level of risk for the risk factor scale Favorable Attitudes toward Antisocial Behavior. In the national normative sample, 43% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of two percentage points. A high score on this scale indicates that fewer students express disapproval for fighting, skipping school, and other forms of antisocial behavior. During the elementary school years, children usually express anticrime and prosocial attitudes and have difficulty imagining why people commit crimes or drop out of school. However, in middle school, as others they know begin to participate in such activities, their attitudes often shift toward greater acceptance of these behaviors. This acceptance places them at higher risk for antisocial behaviors.
- Of the combined sample of middle school and high school students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 45% reported an elevated level of risk for the risk factor scale *Poor Academic Performance*. In the national normative sample, 47% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of two percentage points. Beginning in the late elementary grades, poor academic performance increases the risk of drug use, delinquency, violence, and school dropout. Children fail for many reasons, but the experience of failure increases the risk of these problem behaviors.

#### Highest Protective Factor Scales:

• Of the combined sample of middle school and high school students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 57% reported an elevated level of protection for the protective factor scales *School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement*. In the national normative sample, 59% reported an elevated level of protection, a difference of two percentage points. Students with high scores on the *School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement* scale have greater opportunities to interact closely with teachers, get involved with special projects and activities in the classroom, and participate in sports, clubs, and other school activities outside of the classroom. The bonds with teachers and prosocial

peers created by these activities help to protect students from engaging in behaviors that violate socially accepted standards.

• Of the combined sample of middle school and high school students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 56% reported an elevated level of protection for the protective factor scale *Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement*. The national normative sample reported the same level of protection. High scores on this scale indicate that activities that promote family attachment—such as family recreation and involvement in family decisions—are available to students. These prosocial activities reinforce family bonds and cause students to more easily adopt the norms projected by their families. For instance, children whose parents have high expectations for their school achievement are less likely to drop out of school.

#### Lowest Risk Factor Scales:

- Of the combined sample of middle and high school students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 14% reported an elevated level of risk for the risk factor scale *Early Initiation of Drug Use*. In the national normative sample, 43% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of 29 percentage points. This means that compared to students from across the country who have participated in the survey, Florida students are more likely to avoid or postpone initiation of alcohol, cigarette, and marijuana use. Young people who experiment with drug use at an earlier age are more likely to engage in frequent use and extend their usage to more dangerous drugs and are less likely to discontinue use as they enter adulthood.
- Of the high school students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 13% reported an elevated level of risk for the risk factor scale *Perceived Availability of Drugs*. In the national normative sample, 45% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of 32 percentage points. This means that compared to students from across the country who have participated in the survey, Florida students find it more difficult to get alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs.
- Of the middle school students surveyed in Florida in 2024, 24% reported an elevated level of risk for the risk factor scale *Perceived Availability of Handguns*. The national normative sample reported the same level of risk. A low score on this scale indicates that it is difficult for students to get a handgun.

### Changes in Risk and Protection

Graphs 16 to 19 and Tables 72 to 75 compare the risk and protective factor scale scores reported by students in the 2012 to 2022 FYSAS. These trends can help Florida prevention planners identify areas where improvements are being made and where problems are intensifying. They also support the findings presented in the previous subsection by showing the association between changes over time and highest and lowest levels of risk and protection.

#### **Risk Factor Changes:**

- The bottom data rows in Tables 74 and 75 show the average risk factor prevalence rate for each wave of the *FYSAS*. Among middle school students, the average risk factor prevalence rate was at 38% in 2012. This average rate remained relatively stable until 2020, when it increased to 41%. The average middle school risk factor rate continued to increase, moving to 42% in 2022 but then decreasing to 40% in 2024. The trend is different among high school students, with the average risk factor prevalence rate dropping from a high of 37% in 2012 to 32% in 2024.
- Across all grades, two scales show strong long-term patterns of declining risk. Between 2012 and 2024, *Perceived Availability of Drugs* declined 10 percentage points among middle school students and 19 percentage points among high school students. *Early Initiation of Drug Use* declined 10 percentage points among middle school students and 19 percentage points among middle school students.

- Across risk factor scales, *Lack of Commitment to School* shows the largest long-term increase. Among middle schoolers, the number of students reporting a high level of risk for *Lack of Commitment to School* increased 28 percentage points between 2012 and 2024. Among high school students, the scale increased 22 percentage points over this period.
- Florida middle school students also reported risk factor scale prevalence rate increases for *Poor Family Management* (four percentage points between 2012 and 2024) and *Favorable Attitudes Toward Antisocial Behavior* (13 percentage points between 2012 and 2024).

#### Protective Factor Changes:

- The bottom data rows in Tables 72 and 73 show the average protective factor prevalence rate for each wave of the *FYSAS*. Among middle school students, the average protective factor prevalence rate remained constant at 53% between 2012 and 2016, before starting a steady decline to 46% in 2022. This rate increased in 2024 to 48%. Among high school students, the average protective factor score was also stable between 2012 and 2016, before starting to decline in 2018. However, in contrast to the middle school pattern, the average high school protective factor rate bottomed out at 53% in 2022 before increasing to 57% in 2024.
- Florida students are reporting less religious involvement. Between 2012 and 2024, the number of students reporting a high level of protection for *Religiosity* decreased seven percentage points among middle school students and five percentage points among high school students. It should be

Graph 16

#### Changes in protective factor prevalence rates, 2012-2024



noted, however, that this long-term pattern switched in 2024, with both middle school and high school students reporting increases in 2024.

• Between 2012 and 2024, middle school students reported notable declines in two protective factor scale prevalence rates: *Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement* (six percentage points) and *School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement* (seven percentage points). High school students reported reductions in protection for *Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement* and *School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement*, but the changes were markedly lower, at two and four percentage points, respectively.

### Protective Factors— Detailed Results

Protective factors are characteristics that are known to decrease the likelihood that a student will engage in problem behaviors. For example, strong positive attachment or bonding to parents reduces the risk of an adolescent engaging in problem behaviors.

The *FYSAS* measures a variety of protective factors across three major domains: Family Domain, School Domain, and Peer and Individual Domain. For each domain, a variety of protective factors are assessed. Below, each protective factor is described and the results for Florida schools are reported. Protective factor scale prevalence rates are reported in Tables 68, 72, and 73. Comparison rates from the national normative sample are presented in Table 70.

### Family Domain

### Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement (3 Items)

When students have the opportunity to make meaningful contributions to their families, they feel closer to their family members and are less likely to get involved in risky behaviors. These opportunities for involvement reinforce family bonds and cause students to more easily adopt the norms projected by their families. For instance, children whose parents have high expectations for their school success and achievement are less likely to drop out of school. This protective factor is surveyed by such items as "My parents ask me what I think before most family decisions affecting me are made."

• In 2024, 56% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of protection for *Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement*. Middle school students

reported rates of 55%, and high school students reported rates of 58%.

• The national normative sample reported the same level of protection.

#### Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement (4 Items)

When family members reward their children for positive participation in activities, it further strengthens the bonds the children feel to their families and helps promote clear standards for behavior. This protective factor is measured by such survey items as "How often do your parents tell you they're proud of you for something you've done?"

- In 2024, 51% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of protection for *Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement* Middle school students reported rates of 49%, and high school students reported rates of 52%.
- In the national normative sample, 55% reported an elevated level of protection, a difference of four percentage points.

### School Domain

### School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement (5 Items)

Giving students opportunities to participate in important activities at school helps to create a feeling of personal investment in their school. This results in greater bonding and adoption of the school's standards of behavior, reducing the likelihood that they will become involved in problem behaviors. This protective factor is measured by survey items such as "In my school, students have lots of chances to help decide things like class activities and rules."

- In 2024, 57% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of protection for *School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement*. Middle school and high school students reported rates of 49% and 62%, respectively.
- In the national normative sample, 59% reported an elevated level of protection, a difference of two percentage points.

#### School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement (4 Items)

Making students feel appreciated and rewarded for their involvement at school further strengthens school bonding and helps to reduce the likelihood of their involvement in drug use and other problem behaviors. This protective factor is measured by such statements as "The school lets my parents know when I have done something well."

- In 2024, 52% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of protection for *School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement*. Middle school and high school students reported rates of 45% and 57%, respectively.
- In the national normative sample, 55% reported an elevated level of protection, a difference of three percentage points.

### Peer and Individual Domain

#### **Religiosity (1 Item)**

Religious institutions can help students develop firm prosocial beliefs. Students who have preconceived ideas about certain activities are less vulnerable to becoming involved with antisocial behaviors because they have already adopted a social norm against those activities. *Religiosity* is measured by the question "How often do you attend religious services or activities?"

- In 2024, 49% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of protection for *Religiosity*. Middle school and high school students reported rates of 43% and 54%, respectively.
- In the national normative sample, 59% reported an elevated level of protection, a difference of 10 percentage points.

### Risk Factors— Detailed Results

Risk factors are characteristics in the community, family, school, and individual's environment that are known to increase the likelihood that a student will engage in one or more problem behaviors. For example, a risk factor in the community's environment is the existence of laws and norms favorable to drug use, which can affect the likelihood that an adolescent will try alcohol, tobacco, or other drugs. In communities where there is acceptance or tolerance of drug use, students are more likely to engage in alcohol, tobacco, and other drug use.

The 2024 FYSAS measures a variety of risk factors across four major domains. Below, each of the risk factors in the Community, Family, School, and Peer and Individual Domains is described, and the results for Florida schools are reported in Tables 69, 74, and 75. Comparison rates from the national normative sample are presented in Table 71.

### **Community Domain**

#### Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use (5 Items)

Students' perceptions of the rules and regulations concerning alcohol, tobacco and other drug use that exist in their neighborhoods are also associated with problem behaviors in adolescence. Community norms—the attitudes and policies a community holds in relation to drug use and other antisocial behaviors—are communicated in a variety of ways: through laws and written policies, through informal social practices and through the expectations parents and other members of the community have of young people. When laws and community standards are favorable toward drug use,

## Graph Changes in Community Domain risk factor prevalence rates, 2012-2024



violence and/or other crime, or even when they are just unclear, young people are more likely to engage in negative behaviors (Bracht and Kingsbury, 1990).

An example of conflicting messages about drug use can be found in the acceptance of alcohol use as a social activity within the community. Drinking at music festivals and street fairs stands in contrast to the zerotolerance messages that schools and parents may be promoting. These conflicting and ambiguous messages are problematic in that they do not have the positive impact on preventing alcohol and other drug use that a clear, consistent, community-level, anti-drug message can have.

This risk factor is measured by five items on the survey, such as "How wrong would most adults in your neighborhood think it was for kids your age to drink alcohol?" and "If a kid smoked marijuana in your neighborhood, would he or she be caught by the police?"

- In 2024, 32% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of risk for *Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use.* Middle school and high school students reported rates of 40% and 25%, respectively.
- In the national normative sample, 42% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of 10 percentage points.

### Perceived Availability of Drugs (4 Items)

The perceived availability of drugs, alcohol and handguns in a community is directly related to the prevalence of delinquent behaviors. In schools where children believe that drugs are more available, a higher rate of drug use occurs.

The *Perceived Availability of Drugs* scale on the survey is designed to assess students' feelings about how easily they can get alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs. Elevation of this risk factor scale may indicate the need to make alcohol, tobacco, and other drugs more difficult for students to acquire. For instance, a number of policy changes have been shown to reduce the availability of alcohol and cigarettes. Minimum-age requirements, taxation and responsible beverage service have all been shown to affect the perception of availability of alcohol.

This risk factor is measured by four items on the survey, such as "If you wanted to get some marijuana, how easy would it be for you to get some?"

- In 2024, 20% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of risk for *Perceived Availability of Drugs*. Middle school and high school students reported rates of 30% and 13%, respectively.
- In the national normative sample, 45% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of 25 percentage points.

### Perceived Availability of Handguns (1 Item)

If students believe that it would be difficult to get a handgun, they are less likely to become involved with the unauthorized and unsupervised use of firearms.

*Perceived Availability of Handguns* is measured by the question "If you wanted to get a handgun, how easy would it be for you to get one?"



- In 2024, 27% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of risk for *Perceived Availability of Handguns*. Middle school and high school students reported rates of 24% and 29%, respectively.
- In the national normative sample, 34% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of seven percentage points.

### Family Domain

#### **Poor Family Management (9 Items)**

The risk factor scale *Poor Family Management* measures two components of family life: "poor family supervision," which is defined as parents failing to supervise and monitor their children, and "poor family discipline," which is defined as parents failing to communicate clear expectations for behavior and giving excessively severe, harsh, or inconsistent punishment. Children who experience poor family supervision and poor family discipline are at higher risk of developing problems with drug use, delinquency, violence, and school dropout.

Sample items used to survey *Poor Family Management* include "Would your parents know if you did not come home on time?" and "My family has clear rules about alcohol and drug use."

- In 2024, 40% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of risk for *Poor Family Management*. Middle school and high school students reported rates of 47% and 35%, respectively.
- In the national normative sample, 45% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of five percentage points.

#### Family Conflict (3 Items)

Bonding between family members, especially between children and their parents or guardians, is a key component in the development of positive social norms. High levels of family conflict interfere with the development of these bonds and increase the likelihood that young people will engage in illegal drug use and other forms of delinquent behavior.

*Family Conflict* is measured by three items on the survey, such as "People in my family often insult or yell at each other."

• In 2024, 34% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of risk for *Family Conflict*. Middle

school and high school students reported rates of 40% and 30%, respectively.

• In the national normative sample, 39% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of five percentage points.

### School Domain

#### Poor Academic Performance (2 Items)

Beginning in the late elementary grades, poor academic performance increases the risk of drug use, delinquency, violence, and school dropout. Children fail for many reasons, but it appears that the experience of failure increases the risk of these problem behaviors.

*Poor Academic Performance*—students' feelings about their performance at school—is measured with two questions on the survey: "Putting them all together, what were your grades like last year?" and "Are your school grades better than the grades of most students in your class?" Elevated findings for this risk factor scale suggest that students believe that they have lower grades than would be expected, and they perceive they have below-average grades, compared to their peers.

- In 2024, 45% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of risk for *Poor Academic Performance*. Middle school and high school students both reported rates of 45%.
- In the national normative sample, 47% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of two percentage points.

#### Lack of Commitment to School (7 Items)

Nine items on the survey assess *Lack of Commitment to School*—a student's general feelings about his or her schooling. Survey items include "How important do you think the things you are learning in school are going to be for your later life?" and "Now, thinking back over the past year in school, how often did you enjoy being in school?" Elevated findings for this risk factor scale suggest that students feel less attached to, or connected with, their classes and school environments. Lack of commitment to school means the child has ceased to see the role of student as a positive one. Young people who have lost this commitment to school are at higher risk for a variety of problem behaviors.

• In 2024, 71% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of risk for *Lack of Commitment to School*. Middle school and high school students reported rates of 76% and 68%, respectively.

• In the national normative sample, 46% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of 25 percentage points.

### Peer and Individual Domain

### Favorable Attitudes toward Antisocial Behavior (5 Items)

During the elementary school years, children usually express anticrime and prosocial attitudes and have difficulty imagining why people commit crimes or drop out of school. However, in middle school, as others they know participate in such activities, their attitudes often shift toward greater acceptance of these behaviors. This acceptance places them at higher risk for these antisocial behaviors.

These attitudes are measured on the survey by items like "How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to pick a fight with someone?"

- In 2024, 45% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of risk for *Favorable Attitudes toward Antisocial Behavior*. Middle school and high school students reported rates of 54% and 38%, respectively.
- In the national normative sample, 43% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of two percentage points.

#### Favorable Attitudes toward ATOD Use (4 Items)

During the elementary school years, children usually express anti-drug attitudes and have difficulty imagining why people use drugs. However, in middle school, as others they know participate in such activities, their attitudes often shift toward greater acceptance of these behaviors. This acceptance places them at higher risk. This risk factor scale, *Favorable Attitudes toward ATOD Use*, assesses risk by asking young people how wrong they think it is for someone their age to use drugs.

Survey items used to measure this risk factor include "How wrong do you think it is for someone your age to drink beer, wine or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey or gin) regularly?" An elevated score for this risk factor scale can indicate that students see little wrong with using drugs.

- In 2024, 24% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of risk for *Favorable Attitudes toward ATOD Use*. Middle school and high school students reported rates of 27% and 22%, respectively.
- In the normative sample, 42% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of 18 percentage points.

#### Early Initiation of Drug Use (4 Items)

The initiation of alcohol, tobacco or other drug use at an early age is linked to a number of negative outcomes. The earlier that experimentation with drugs begins, the more likely it is that experimentation will become consistent, regular use. Early initiation may lead to the use of a greater range of drugs, as well as other problem behaviors. This scale is measured by survey items that ask when drug use began.

- In 2024, 14% of surveyed students reported an elevated level of risk for *Early Initiation of Drug Use*. Middle school and high school students reported rates of 19% and 11%, respectively.
- In the national normative sample, 43% reported an elevated level of risk, a difference of 29 percentage points.



#### Graph 19 Changes in Peer and Individual Domain risk factor prevalence 19 rates, 2012-2024

# Section 5 **Special Topics**

everal additional analyses were conducted to investigate ATOD results. These include early initiation of ATOD use, attitudes toward ATOD use (perceived risk of harm, personal disapproval, peer disapproval, and disapproval of parental use), and ATOD use and driving. Data are presented for extracurricular activities, bullying behavior, talking to parents about prescription drug abuse, self-control, number of hours of sleep per night, symptoms of depression, and adverse childhood experiences. In 2023, new items were added to measure suicidal ideation and behavior, awareness of the prevention message "One Pill Can Kill," and likelihood of using the 988-crisis counseling line.

### Early Initiation of ATOD Use

Students were asked to report on when they began using alcohol, cigarettes, and marijuana. The 2019 survey also added questions asking students when they began vaping nicotine and/or marijuana. Age of onset for these drugs is of special importance, since they are often precursors to the use of harder drugs, such as methamphetamine and cocaine. The question related to cigarettes is "How old

were you when you first smoked a cigarette, even just a puff?" The question about marijuana is "How old were you when you first smoked marijuana?" Two questions about alcohol were asked, one asking when the student first "had more than a sip or two of beer, wine or hard liquor (for example, vodka, whiskey or gin)" and one asking the student when he or she "began drinking alcoholic beverages regularly, that is, at least once or twice a month." The vaping questions ask students at what age they first "vaped nicotine (e-cigarettes, vape pens, JUUL)" and "vaped marijuana (e-cigarettes, vape pens, JUUL)."

Tables 35 to 37 and Graph 20 present the percentage of high school students, age 14 years or older, who started using alcohol, cigarettes or marijuana, or vaping nicotine or marijuana at age 13 or younger. This percentage is the early initiation rate.

As in past *FYSAS* efforts, the highest rate of early initiation was reported for "more than a sip or two" of alcohol (13.2%), followed by vaping nicotine (8.4%), marijuana use (5.1%), cigarette use (4.3%), vaping marijuana (4.3%), and drinking at least once a month (2.0%).



- Early initiation is one of the best measures on the survey for illustrating the reduction in youth ATOD use that has occurred in Florida. As Graph 20 shows, the percentage of early initiators declined from 2012 to 2024 for the four categories that have long-term trend data. Most notably, early initiation of "more than a sip or two" of alcohol declined from 25.4% in 2012 to 13.2% in 2024, and early initiation of cigarette use declined from 14.5% in 2012 to 4.3% in 2024.
- White, non-Hispanic students reported the highest rate of early initiation for alcohol use and vaping nicotine. Race and ethnic differences are less pronounced for other categories of early use.
- While gender differences for early initiation of ATOD use are relatively small, they were higher for female students in every category, including "more than a sip or two" of alcohol (14.3% female versus 12.1% male) and vaping nicotine (10.7% female versus 6.2% male).

### Perceived Risk of Harm

Perception of risk is an important determinant in the decision-making process young people go through when deciding whether or not to use alcohol, tobacco, or other drugs. Evidence suggests that the perceptions of the risks and benefits associated with drug use sometimes serve as a leading indicator of future drug use patterns in a community (Bachman, Johnston, O'Malley & Humphrey, 1986). Tables 38 through 41 and Graph 21

present the percentage of surveyed Florida students assigning "great risk" of harm to eight drug use behaviors: near daily use of alcohol, smoking one or more packs of cigarettes per day, smoking marijuana once or twice a week, trying marijuana once or twice, taking a prescription drug without a doctor's orders (added to the 2012 high school questionnaire, and added to the middle school questionnaire in 2013), drinking five or more drinks once or twice a week (added in 2013 to the middle and high school questionnaires), vaping nicotine (added in 2019), and vaping marijuana (added in 2019). Five key findings emerge from these data:

- The percentage of students who assigned "great risk" of harm to unauthorized use of prescription drugs was 67.4%, followed by smoking one or more packs of cigarettes per day (64.7%), drinking five or more drinks once or twice a week (59.1%), vaping nicotine (50.3%), near daily use of alcohol (49.7%), vaping marijuana (48.2%), smoking marijuana once or twice a week (39.0%), and trying marijuana once or twice (27.9%).
- Perceptions of harm associated with daily use of alcohol (51.3% in middle school and 48.5% in high school), regular cigarette use (64.2% in middle school and 65.0% in high school), and prescription drug use (67.0% in middle school and 67.7% in high school) are somewhat consistent across grade levels. In contrast, perceptions of harm associated with marijuana use decline as students get older. For example, 48.7% of middle school students reported



a great risk of harm associated with smoking marijuana once or twice a week, compared to 31.9% of high school students. Older students are also less likely to view both forms of vaping as harmful. For example, 54.6% of middle school students reported a great risk of harm associated with nicotine vaping, compared to 47.1% of high school students.

- Gender differences for perception of harm are inconsistent. Female students are somewhat more likely to report a great risk of harm for alcohol, cigarette, and prescription drug use. For example, 47.6% of male students reported that daily use of alcohol poses a great risk of harm compared to 51.8% of female students. In contrast, male students are slightly more likely to report great risk of harm for trying marijuana and vaping. For example, 28.6% of male students reported that trying marijuana poses a great risk of harm compared to 27.3% of female students.
- While there is no consistent pattern of racial and ethnic differences across perceived risk of harm categories, White, non-Hispanic students do report higher rates for cigarettes (69.6% versus 56.5% for African American students and 63.6% for Hispanic/Latino students) and prescription drugs (71.8% versus 59.6% for African American students and 66.7% for Hispanic/Latino students).
- Between 2012 and 2024, the percentage of students assigning a great risk of use has increased 8.1 points

for near daily use of alcohol. Perceived risk of binge drinking, which was added to in 2014, has increased 4.5 percentage points. The vaping perceived risk measures were added in 2019 and show substantial increases in the following four years of 12.8 percentage points for vaping nicotine and 11.7 percentage points for vaping marijuana. Perceived risk of marijuana use shows little overall long-term change, but has increased since 2020.

### Personal Disapproval

In addition to perceptions of risk, personal approval or disapproval of drugs has been linked to the prevalence of ATOD use (Bachman, Johnston & O'Malley, 1996). Personal disapproval was measured by asking students how wrong it would be for someone their age to drink alcohol regularly, smoke cigarettes, smoke marijuana, or use other illicit drugs ("LSD, cocaine, amphetamines or another illegal drug"). In 2019, new questions addressing personal disapproval of vaping nicotine and vaping marijuana were added to the survey. The rates presented in Tables 42 through 44 and Graph 22 represent the percentages of students who thought it would be "wrong" or "very wrong" to use each drug.

• The percentage of students who disapprove of other illicit drug use was 97.4%, followed by smoking cigarettes (94.5%), vaping nicotine (90.0%), vaping marijuana (88.3%), smoking marijuana (85.2%), and drinking alcohol regularly (83.8%).



- While disapproval of cigarette use and other illicit drug use show relatively small reductions as students get older, the other categories show substantial reductions. In particular, the percentage of students who disapprove of smoking marijuana declines from a high of 97.3% among 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a low of 70.5% among 12<sup>th</sup> graders.
- Male students reported higher rates of disapproval than female students for vaping nicotine (91.6% versus 88.3%) and vaping marijuana (89.4% versus 87.2%).
- In contrast to perceptions of harm, ethnic differences in disapproval rates more closely follow ATOD prevalence patterns. As would be predicted from their higher rates of ATOD use, White, non-Hispanic students reported the lowest levels of disapproval in all but one category. The largest difference appears for regular alcohol use (81.3% of White, non-Hispanic students, 85.1% of Hispanic/Latino students and 87.2% of African American students reported the behavior as either "wrong" or "very wrong").
- Disapproval of substance use has increased for all categories measured by the survey. Between 2012 and 2024, the disapproval rate increased 13.4 percentage points for alcohol, 8.9 for cigarettes, and 8.6 for marijuana. Between 2019 and 2024, disapproval increased 9.0 percentage points for vaping nicotine and 8.5 for vaping marijuana. The

disapproval increase for other illicit drugs was small, but that's not surprising given that the rate was already above 95 percentage points.

### Peer Disapproval

In addition to students' own attitudes, social norms—the written and unwritten rules and expectations about what constitutes desirable behavior—shape drug use choices. Since drug-related attitudes and behaviors are often acquired through peer group interactions, expectations of how one's peer group might react have an especially strong impact on whether or not young people choose to use drugs. The data presented in Table 45 to 47 and Graph 23 show the percentage of students who said that their friends think it would be "wrong" or "very wrong" to smoke tobacco, drink alcohol regularly, smoke marijuana, use prescription drugs not prescribed to you, vape nicotine, or vape marijuana.

• The majority of surveyed Florida students reported that their friends would disapprove of drug use: 95.7% said their friends would disapprove of using prescription drugs not prescribed to you, 93.1% said their friends would disapprove of smoking tobacco, 90.7% said their friends would disapprove of regular alcohol use, 86.9% said their friends would disapprove of vaping nicotine, 86.2% said their friends would disapprove of vaping marijuana, and 84.1% said their friends would disapprove of smoking marijuana.



- For using prescription drugs not prescribed to you, rates are high across all grade levels, ranging from 97.7% for 6<sup>th</sup> grade students to 94.6% for 11<sup>th</sup> grade students. Peer disapproval of smoking marijuana (from 97.0% among 6<sup>th</sup> grade students to 70.6% among 12<sup>th</sup> grade students) and vaping marijuana (from 96.7% among 6<sup>th</sup> grade students to 75.9% among 12<sup>th</sup> grade students) show the greatest difference across age groups. Perceived peer disapproval of vaping nicotine also substantially declines with age (from 94.6% among 6<sup>th</sup> grade students).
- Differences in perceptions of peer disapproval between male and female students are small in all categories, with the exception for vaping nicotine and vaping marijuana. The greatest difference is for vaping nicotine, with 84.7% of females reporting peer disapproval compared to 89.0% of males.
- Across most categories, African American students are most likely to report peer disapproval and White, non-Hispanic students are less likely to report it. These ethnic group differences are largest for vaping nicotine, with peer disapproval rates of 84.8% for White, non-Hispanic students, 88.4% for Hispanic/Latino students, and 89.3% for African American students.
- Because these questions were modified in the 2013 survey to ask about peer disapproval rather than approval, the baseline for trend comparisons in this report is 2014 for the four categories that have longer trend data. As Graph 23 shows, a growing number of Florida students believe their peers disapprove of tobacco (plus 5.1 percentage points), alcohol (plus 8.2 percentage points), marijuana (plus 12.6 percentage points), and prescription drug (plus 2.6 percentage points) use. These shifts are noteworthy given that the baseline rates were already quite high. For vaping, the trend line starts in 2019. Over this shorter timeline, peer disapproval has increased 11.2 percentage points for vaping nicotine and 9.6 percentage points for vaping marijuana.

### Parent Disapproval

Florida students were asked how their parents would feel if they drank alcohol regularly, smoked cigarettes, smoked marijuana, or used prescription drugs not prescribed to them. Results from the 2024 survey are presented in Table 48.

• Not surprisingly, most students reported that their parents disapproved of drug use, with the number of

students who said their parents feel drug use is "very wrong" or "wrong" coming in at 98.6% for cigarettes, 98.1% for prescription drugs, 96.7% for regular alcohol use, and 94.3% for smoking marijuana.

 While race/ethnic group and sex do not show noteworthy differences in perceptions of parent disapproval, there are age and grade level differences for marijuana disapproval. For example, 98.9% of 6<sup>th</sup> graders reported parent disapproval of smoking marijuana, compared to 88.7% of 12<sup>th</sup> graders.

# Disapproval of Parental ATOD Use

In 2014, a series of questions were added to the middle school questionnaire, asking students if they think it would be wrong for their parents to drink alcohol regularly, smoke cigarettes, smoke marijuana, or use prescription drugs not prescribed to them. Results from the 2024 survey are presented in Table 49.

- Middle school students reported the highest level of disapproval for their parents using prescription drugs not prescribed to them (96.7%), followed by smoking marijuana (89.9%), smoking cigarettes (87.7%), and drinking alcohol regularly (81.4%).
- Levels of disapproval decrease as students get older. This is most obvious for the marijuana category, with 93.8% of 6<sup>th</sup> grade students disapproving compared to 85.8% of 8<sup>th</sup> grade students.

### Extracurricular Activities

In 2006, a new item set was added to the *FYSAS* questionnaire that measures participation in five extracurricular activities: school sports, organized sports outside of school, school band, school clubs, and community clubs. Results from the 2024 survey for these items are presented in Table 50. Participation in these activities helps students build stronger ties to their school and community. Through these connections students are also more likely to develop attachments to prosocial peers and to positive adult role models. Since these bonds encourage students to engage in developmentally positive activity, they serve as a buffer against ATOD use and other antisocial behaviors.

• Florida students recorded the highest rate of participation in sports-related activities, with 38.2% reporting participation in school sports and 33.3% reporting participation in organized sports outside of

school. Participation rates for school clubs were also high, at 28.6%. Participation rates were lower for school band (11.7%) and community clubs (11.2%).

- The pattern of participation across grade levels differs with each activity. Participation in school sports peaks in 9<sup>th</sup> grade at 40.8%. Participation in sports outside of school decreases from a high of 48.0% among 6<sup>th</sup> graders to a low of 21.3% among 12<sup>th</sup> graders. School band participation decreases from a high of 17.8% among 7<sup>th</sup> graders to a lower rate among high schoolers. In contrast, school club participation increases from a low of 20.8% among 7<sup>th</sup> graders to a high of 39.1% among 12<sup>th</sup> graders. Community club participation also increases as students enter higher grade levels.
- There are notable gender differences in extracurricular activity, but they differ across categories. Male students reported higher participation in school sports (40.9% among males versus 35.6% among females) and organized sports outside of school (37.0% among males versus 29.5% among females). In contrast, female students reported higher participation in school clubs (35.8% among females versus 21.6% among males) and community clubs (13.6% among females versus 9.0% among males). Participation in school band was equal.
- Analysis by race and ethnic group also reveals some interesting patterns. African American students

reported a higher rate of participation in school sports (47.5%) compared to White, non-Hispanic (36.3%) and Hispanic/Latino (33.5%) students. In contrast, White, non-Hispanic students reported a higher rate of participation in organized sports outside of school (36.3%) compared to African American (31.7%) and Hispanic/Latino (28.6%) students. White, non-Hispanic students also reported a higher rate of participation in school clubs (31.9%) compared to African American (24.1%) and Hispanic/Latino (25.3%) students.

### **Bullying Behavior**

In 2008 a new item set was added to the FYSAS middle school questionnaire that assesses student involvement with bullying. The items include: (1) skipping school because of being bullied, (2) being physically bullied (kicking, shoving, stealing, etc.), (3) being verbally bullied (taunting, teasing, name-calling, etc.), (4) being cyber bullied (mean emails, mean text messages, etc.), (5) physically bullying others, (6) verbally bullying others, and (7) cyber bullying others. In 2012, these items were added to the high school questionnaire as well. In 2018, the six physical, verbal, and cyber bullying items received a new five-point response scale, ranging from "Never" to "Every day." The items were also modified to no longer include a specific prevalence period (previous questionnaires specified the past 30 days).

• As Table 51 and Graph 24 show, 9.2% of students reported skipping school because of bullying.



- Among surveyed students, 35.5% reported being physically bullied one or more times, 58.5% reported being verbally bullied, and 29.0% reported being cyber bullied.
- Switching roles, 17.5% physically bullied others one or more times, 30.9% verbally bullied others, and 13.4% cyber bullied others.
- For most physical and verbal bullying, prevalence rates decrease substantially as students get older. For example, 70.4% of 6<sup>th</sup> graders report having been verbally bullied, compared to 48.3% of 12<sup>th</sup> graders. Please note that cyber bullying and skipping school do not follow this same pattern.
- The data reveal an interesting pattern of gender differences. Female students reported a higher rate of skipping school because of bullying (13.7% versus 4.7%), being verbally bullied (64.0% versus 53.2%), and being cyber bullied (36.7% versus 21.6%). Male students reported higher rates of physically bullying others (18.9% versus 15.9%) and verbally bullying others (31.8% versus 30.0%).
- An interesting pattern of ethnic differences also appears in the data. White, non-Hispanic students are more likely to report being bullied. For example, 40.0% of White, non-Hispanic students reported being physically bullied, compared to 31.5% of African American students and 28.1% of

Graph

Hispanic/Latino students. Switching roles, African American students were the most likely to report bullying others. For example, 21.4% of African American students reported physically bullying others, compared to 13.9% of Hispanic/Latino students and 15.9% of White, non-Hispanic students.

### ATOD Use and Driving

In 2012, new items were added to the *FYSAS* high school questionnaire to measure the impact of alcohol and marijuana use on vehicle safety. Florida students were asked how many times in the past 30 days they had ridden in a vehicle driven by someone who had been drinking alcohol or using marijuana, as well as how many times they had driven a car when they had been drinking alcohol or using marijuana.

- As Tables 55 and 56 and Graph 25 show, 12.4% of surveyed students reported riding in a vehicle driven by someone who had been drinking alcohol. Riding in a vehicle driven by someone who had been using marijuana was even more prevalent, at 14.8%. Among 12<sup>th</sup> graders, 16.5% reported riding with a driver who had been using marijuana.
- Reports of driving under the influence of alcohol or marijuana were less prevalent, with 2.4% and 4.4% of Florida students reporting driving after they had been drinking alcohol or using marijuana, respectively.



## Changes in driving under the influence or riding with a driver under the influence, among Florida **high school** students, 2012-2024

- All four measures of ATOD use and driving declined substantially between 2012 and 2024. For example, riding with a drinking driver dropped 9.0 percentage points and driving after using marijuana dropped 10.6 percentage points.
- African American students are least likely to report riding with a drinking driver and driving after drinking, while Hispanic/Latino students are least likely to report riding with a marijuana using driver and driving after using marijuana.

### Symptoms of Depression

The *FYSAS* includes a set of four questions asking students to report symptoms of depression, including hopelessness ("Sometimes I think that life is not worth it."), low self-esteem ("At times I think I am no good at all," and "All in all, I am inclined to think that I am a failure."), and sadness ("In the past year, have you felt depressed or sad on most days, even if you felt OK sometimes?") Please note that positive answers to these questions do not constitute a clinical diagnosis of depression. Rather, data gathered with these questions helps establish the relationship between symptoms of depression and other heath behaviors measured with the survey.

• As Tables 62 and 63 show, 39.3% of Florida students reported that "At times I think I am no good at all" and 40.7% reported being sad or depressed on most days. Just over a quarter reported that "Sometimes I think that life is not worth it" (26.9%) and "All in all, I am inclined to think I am a failure" (27.0%).

- Female students reported substantially higher rates than male students across all four categories. The largest differences are for "At times I think I am no good at all" (48.5% female versus 30.2% male) and "In the past year, I felt sad or depressed most days" (50.7% female versus 30.9% male).
- Changes in symptoms of depression are shown in Graph 26. Between 2012 and 2024, the percentage of students reporting symptoms of depression increased in all four categories. The largest change was reported for "All in all, I am inclined to think that I am a failure," which increased from 16.9% in 2012 to 27.0% in 2024.
- While the long-term pattern is negative, the shortterm pattern is positive. All four indicators for symptoms of depression peaked in 2022 before declining in 2024. The largest reduction was reported for "At times I think I am no good at all," with a decline from 46.1% in 2022 to 39.3% in 2024.

### Adverse Childhood Experiences

Adverse childhood experiences, commonly known as ACEs, are traumatic events experienced during childhood that have been linked to a broad range of



negative health and behavior outcomes, including impaired cognitive development, high-risk behavior such as substance use, difficulty forming positive social relationships, high rates of chronic disease, and employment and financial difficulties.

In 2020, a set of 14 items was added to the *FYSAS* high school questionnaire to measure 10 areas of childhood trauma with known links to health and behavior. The items were derived from published survey tools, including the CDC-Kaiser ACE Study (Felitti et al., 1998). The 10 ACEs fall under three general trauma categories. Please note that the *Dysfunction in the Household* categories refer to the behaviors of parents and other adults living in the student's home.

#### <u>Abuse</u>

- 1. Emotional abuse
- 2. Physical abuse
- 3. Sexual abuse

#### Dysfunction in the Household

- 4. Parents separated or divorced
- 5. Physical abuse in the household
- 6. Substance abuse in the household
- 7. Mental illness in the household
- 8. Incarcerated household member

#### Neglect

- 9. Emotional neglect
- 10. Physical neglect

#### How prevalent is childhood trauma?

- As Table 66 and Graph 27 show, there is considerable variation in prevalence across the ten ACE trauma categories. At the high end, 38.7% of Florida high school students reported *Parents Separated or Divorced*, followed by 27.7% for *Mental Illness in Household* and 25.1% for *Emotional Neglect*. At the low end, 4.9% reported *Sexual Abuse*, followed by 6.2% for *Physical Neglect* and 6.9% for *Physical Abuse in Household*.
- Table 67 shows data for the number of ACEs reported. This statistic is sometimes referred to as the ACE score. More than one out of three (37.9%) Florida students reported no ACEs, and 20.6% reported just one ACE. As expected, higher ACE scores are less prevalent, with the number of students reporting going down with each increase in the ACE score. An ACE score of 10, the highest level, is only reported by 0.3% of students.
- For analytical purposes it is useful to distinguish between low and high levels of trauma. In this report, as well as in other public health studies, that dividing line is drawn between students reporting three or fewer ACEs and those reporting four or more ACEs. As shown in Table 66, 18.0% of Florida high schoolers reported having experienced four or more ACEs.



### Which Florida students are the most likely to report trauma?

- Graph 28 shows differences in the prevalence rate of high trauma (four or more ACEs) across demographic groups. Childhood trauma is not evenly distributed across the population. *FYSAS* data show different ACE scores across racial/ethnic, gender, and socioeconomic status (SES) groups. White students, female students, and low SES students are more likely to report a high level of childhood trauma. Please note that in this analysis, father's education level is used as the indicator of family SES.
- The relationship between childhood trauma and demographic identity becomes even more informative when each of the 10 ACEs is examined individually. For example, White students, when compared to African American students, are less likely to report *Parents Separated or Divorced*, but more likely to report *Mental Illness in Household*.

#### How does trauma impact youth health behavior?

• Most ACE data, which are collected from adults, show a connection between trauma experienced during childhood and negative health and behavior outcomes in adulthood. *FYSAS* data build upon this body of research by allowing policy makers and prevention planners to see how the negative consequences of trauma begin to take root during adolescence.

- As Graph 29 shows, students with four or more ACEs report substance use rates two to three times higher than students with fewer than four ACEs. For example, students with fewer than four ACEs reported a past-30-day alcohol use rate of 10.7%, compared to 23.4% for those with four or more ACEs. Marijuana use shows a similar pattern, with past-30-day rates of 6.0% among low-trauma students and 22.2% among high-trauma students.
- The research literature has identified a strong relationship between traumatic experiences in childhood and depression and suicidal ideation in adulthood. *FYSAS* data show that this connection between ACEs and emotional health is already established in adolescence, with high-trauma students twice as likely to report symptoms of depression as low-trauma students. Referring again to Graph 29, 19.2% of students with fewer than four ACEs agreed that "Sometimes I think that life is not worth it," compared to 53.8% for students with four or more ACEs. For feeling "depressed or sad most days," the rates are 32.4% and 70.1% for lowtrauma and high-trauma students, respectively.

# Other Behaviors and Activities

In 2017, questions were added asking students if they have talked to a parent/guardian about prescription drug abuse within the past 12 months and about the average



### Bercentage of high school students who reported four or more adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), 2024

number of hours of sleep on a school night. Six questions were also added about lack of self-control.

- As Table 58 shows, slightly more than one fifth of students (21.2%) have talked with a parent/guardian about the dangers of taking a prescription drug that was not prescribed to you.
- While this rate is similar between female (22.4%)and male (20.2%) students, White, non-Hispanic students (23.6%) were more likely than Hispanic/Latino students (21.7%) and African American students (16.4%) to have this discussion with parents.
- The 2017 FYSAS also added questions asking students how many hours of sleep they get on school nights. As Table 61 shows, middle school students reported that they get an average of 7.6 hours of sleep on school nights and high school students reported an average of 6.6 hours.
- Table 60 presents data on impulsiveness and selfcontrol. Over one third of students (39.9%) reported that they get upset and have trouble talking calmly when they have a disagreement, and 29.2% said they "do what brings them pleasure now."
- Table 65 presents survey results about awareness about the "One Pill Can Kill" prevention message, with over one-third (36.3%) of surveyed Florida students reported having seen or heard the message.

29

Table 65 also presents findings regarding receptivity to the 988-suicide prevention hotline. Among all surveyed Florida students, 17.5% said they would be "very likely" to use 988 if they were "feeling overwhelmed, upset, or suicidal." Students were also asked how likely they would be to use different communication modes to talk with a crisis counselor. Texting was the most popular, with 24.0% saying they would be very likely to use it, followed by 22.1% for the phone and 20.5% for chat.

### Suicidal Ideation and Attempted Suicide

In 2023, five new items were added to both the middle school and high school FYSAS questionnaires addressing suicide-related thinking and behavior. These items addressed symptoms of depression, considering suicide, planning suicide, attempting suicide, and receiving medical care after a suicide attempt. All five questions specifically ask about student experiences within the past 12 months. Data for these measures are presented in Table 64 and Graph 30.

- Among all surveyed students, 29.9% reported that during the past 12 months they felt sad or hopeless almost every day for two or more weeks.
- Reporting about their experience in the past 12 months, 13.4% reported seriously considering suicide and 10.9% reported making a suicide plan.



#### Graph Prevalence rates for substance use and symptoms of depression, among high school students with low and high trauma, 2024

- One or more suicide attempts was reported by 7.3% of surveyed students, and a suicide attempt that required medical care was reported by 1.7%.
- As Graph 30 shows, female students are substantially more like to report suicidal thinking and behavior. For example, female students are more than twice as likely as male students to report seriously considering suicide (17.8% versus 8.9%, respectively), and more than twice as likely to report a suicide attempt (10.1% versus 4.4%, respectively).
- With respect to age, the measures for considering, planning, and attempting suicide generally increase between 6<sup>th</sup> grade and 8<sup>th</sup> grade, and then decline through 12<sup>th</sup> grade.
- Race and ethnic differences on the suicidal ideation and behavior measures are not large, but there is a pattern. White, non-Hispanic students were slightly more likely than Hispanic/Latino students and African American students to report seriously considering suicide and making a suicide plan, while African American students were slightly more likely to report attempting suicide and a suicide attempt that required medical care.



# Appendix A County-Level Results

he sample for the 2024 FYSAS was designed to be representative at both the county and statewide levels. While detailed results for all surveyed counties are available in separate reports, a brief overview of the county-level results is presented here. For each county, sample sizes, substance use rates, prevalence rates for driving after using alcohol or marijuana, average risk and protective factor scale scores, prevalence rates for high adverse childhood experience (ACE) scores, and prevalence rates for attempted suicide are presented in Tables C1-C8. In addition, Maps 1-19 add a dimension to the analysis by showing the geographic distribution of the data.

As illustrated in Table C1, the sample sizes for some counties are too small to adequately represent the student population. These shortfalls are particularly problematic when participation within a county is unbalanced across grade levels. This can cause some counties to have notably younger or older samples, which in turn makes comparisons of survey results across counties less meaningful. Please note that in counties with very small student enrollments, obtaining a representative sample is difficult because survey participation was split between the *FYSAS* and the *Florida Youth Tobacco Survey*.

Before analysis, a set of statistical weights was applied to each county-level dataset. These weights, which were developed using a formula similar to the statewide weighting formula, adjust for sample design effects, school and classroom non-response, and grade level and gender post-stratification.

### **Confidence Intervals**

With total participation of 1,202 students, Orange County has a strong county-level *FYSAS* sample. Statistical estimates for Orange County have maximum confidence intervals that are below  $\pm 4.0$  for middle school and high school estimates, and below  $\pm 3.0$  percentage points for the full county sample. Counties with especially strong samples have statistical estimates that are more precise, while counties with weaker participation have less precise estimates. Map 1. Prevalence of middle school past-30-day alcohol use by county, *2024 FYSAS* 



Map 2. Prevalence of high school past-30-day alcohol use by county, *2024 FYSAS* 



Map 3. Prevalence of middle school binge drinking by county, 2024 FYSAS



Map 4. Prevalence of high school binge drinking by county, 2024 FYSAS



Map 5. Prevalence of middle school past-30-day vaping nicotine by county, 2024 FYSAS



Map 6. Prevalence of high school past-30-day vaping nicotine by county, *2024 FYSAS* 



Map 7. Prevalence of middle school past-30-day vaping marijuana by county, 2024 FYSAS



Map 8. Prevalence of high school past-30-day vaping marijuana by county, 2024 FYSAS



Map 9. Prevalence of middle school past-30-day marijuana use by county, 2024 FYSAS



Map 10. Prevalence of high school past-30-day marijuana use by county, *2024 FYSAS* 



Map 11. Average level of middle school protection by county, 2024 FYSAS



Map 12. Average level of high school protection by county, 2024 FYSAS



Map 13. Average level of middle school risk by county, 2024 FYSAS


Map 14. Average level of high school risk by county, 2024 FYSAS



Map 15. Prevalence of high school past-30-day driving after drinking by county, 2024 FYSAS



Map 16. Prevalence of high school past-30-day driving after using marijuana by county, 2024 FYSAS



Map 17. Prevalence of high school four or more adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) by county, *2024 FYSAS* 



# Map 18. Prevalence of middle school one or more suicide attempts in the past 12 months by county, *2024 FYSAS*



Map 19. Prevalence of high school one or more suicide attempts in the past 12 months by county, 2024 FYSAS



County	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th	Total	County	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th	Total
Alachua	165	235	188	223	212	114	56	1193	Lee*								
Baker	118	109	77	92	106	76	57	635	Leon	220	276	258	206	161	175	129	1425
Bay	198	176	180	153	154	167	89	1117	Levy	118	88	104	112	104	86	55	667
Bradford	76	68	68	46	43	40	17	358	Liberty	32	34	40	40	33	26	26	231
Brevard	115	81	121	78	75	83	47	600	Madison	44	59	103	69	67	43	39	424
Broward	191	150	271	192	151	118	115	1188	Manatee	110	145	177	151	82	103	60	828
Calhoun	53	51	60	48	59	49	33	353	Marion	135	119	111	119	164	98	58	804
Charlotte	168	152	175	122	164	80	80	941	Martin	130	142	145	81	98	86	76	758
Citrus	171	159	168	162	208	154	102	1124	Miami-Dade	168	190	175	94	148	138	72	985
Clay*									Monroe	101	90	117	103	108	102	85	706
Collier	211	171	185	137	156	127	132	1119	Nassau*								
Columbia	153	120	179	140	122	85	51	850	Okaloosa	219	198	213	192	170	118	84	1194
DeSoto	96	127	127	98	99	72	47	666	Okeechobee	113	128	103	81	73	89	70	657
Dixie	58	43	47	47	49	25	13	282	Orange	163	190	244	158	189	132	126	1202
Duval	127	215	158	142	80	112	96	930	Osceola	97	74	92	43	51	64	26	447
Escambia	143	148	208	151	153	181	98	1082	Palm Beach	312	362	317	307	275	265	255	2093
Flagler	148	178	116	243	168	107	66	1026	Pasco	241	206	174	202	142	89	71	1125
Franklin	17	17	18	22	23	13	7	117	Pinellas*								
Gadsden	65	106	106	71	63	64	24	499	Polk	137	153	191	156	115	124	96	972
Gilchrist	70	95	82	73	68	57	36	481	Putnam	155	149	110	126	108	81	72	801
Glades	54	54	49	19	17	21	15	229	Saint Johns*								
Gulf	60	56	43	37	59	36	41	332	Saint Lucie	180	182	115	281	43	35	16	852
Hamilton	44	50	46	30	27	32	23	252	Santa Rosa	191	221	157	213	195	112	97	1186
Hardee	112	84	90	10	80	110	70	556	Sarasota*								
Hendry	133	145	156	117	103	99	74	827	Seminole	134	127	142	149	149	142	123	966
Hernando	118	164	228	102	141	127	87	967	Sumter	87	161	140	90	90	80	48	696
Highlands***	24	18	34	38	34	12	7	167	Suwannee	86	107	94	85	83	69	22	546
Hillsborough	145	78	146	147	175	124	110	925	Taylor	44	63	40	77	54	25	35	338
Holmes	91	88	80	59	85	45	40	488	Union****	55	53	52					160
Indian River	143	179	129	154	135	110	103	953	Volusia	164	248	141	136	140	125	123	1077
Jackson	147	121	105	92	88	51	58	662	Wakulla*								
Jefferson**	11	12	16	3	3	9	7	61	Walton	162	179	229	181	178	92	77	1098
Lafayette**	37	29	29	37	30	0	1	163	Washington	88	80	80	77	62	35	18	440
Lake	201	182	156	128	148	66	53	934									

#### Table C1. Number of students in sample, by county, 2024

\* These seven counties did not participate in the 2024 Florida Youth Survey. \*\* For these counties, results are only presented for middle school because an insufficient number of surveys were completed in grades 9 through 12. \*\*\* In Highlands County, neither middle school nor high school results are presented because an insufficient number of surveys were completed across all grade levels. \*\*\*\* Union County did not survey high school students.

# Table C2. Past-30-day prevalence of alcohol, binge drinking, cigarettes, vaping nicotine, and vaping marijuana, among<br/>middle school students, by county, 2024CountyAlcoholBinge<br/>DrinkingCigarettesVaping<br/>NicotineCountyAlcoholBinge<br/>DrinkingCigarettesVaping<br/>MarijuanaAlachua5.92.10.25.83.0Lee\*------------

County	Alcohol	Drinking	Cigarettes	Nicotine	Marijuana	County	Alcohol	Drinking	Cigarettes	Nicotine	Marijuana
Alachua	5.9	2.1	0.2	5.8	3.0	Lee*					
Baker	14.1	5.3	1.2	9.0	3.3	Leon	3.3	1.8	0.7	2.8	1.0
Bay	5.0	2.6	1.1	3.8	2.5	Levy	3.6	0.3	0.7	2.9	2.5
Bradford	8.4	3.6	0.0	5.6	3.2	Liberty	12.8	5.3	2.5	4.7	2.6
Brevard	6.7	0.5	0.0	3.3	2.7	Madison	9.3	6.6	3.2	8.7	3.2
Broward	7.3	2.1	0.8	2.2	1.5	Manatee	6.6	2.0	1.1	3.4	1.6
Calhoun	11.4	5.5	4.8	9.6	4.6	Marion	6.9	2.3	1.1	5.4	4.1
Charlotte	7.7	5.3	1.2	5.6	4.9	Martin	7.6	1.2	0.8	2.9	2.6
Citrus	5.3	1.8	0.7	6.2	2.9	Miami-Dade	5.8	2.2	0.9	4.0	3.1
Clay*						Monroe	4.6	2.9	0.6	2.3	1.0
Collier	7.5	2.6	0.4	1.8	0.4	Nassau*					
Columbia	5.9	1.7	0.6	5.2	3.0	Okaloosa	4.7	1.3	0.2	4.9	1.9
DeSoto	7.5	4.7	1.3	4.6	4.5	Okeechobee	9.7	5.5	0.8	5.7	2.9
Dixie	12.4	11.8	7.3	10.0	8.5	Orange	3.8	2.3	0.2	1.9	0.6
Duval	6.6	2.8	1.1	4.3	2.6	Osceola	5.8	2.7	0.4	2.6	0.9
Escambia	4.0	2.4	0.6	4.2	2.4	Palm Beach	5.6	1.8	0.2	2.9	1.3
Flagler	5.7	0.7	0.1	1.9	1.2	Pasco	5.4	2.4	0.7	4.7	2.6
Franklin	9.5	9.1	0.0	9.9	3.4	Pinellas*					
Gadsden	7.1	6.1	1.5	8.4	6.5	Polk	6.0	2.2	0.5	3.7	2.8
Gilchrist	7.9	3.7	1.3	5.4	1.5	Putnam	10.2	2.4	1.4	5.1	4.2
Glades	8.4	0.9	1.9	0.6	0.6	Saint Johns*					
Gulf	9.4	5.1	0.0	7.1	3.1	Saint Lucie	5.7	1.7	0.9	3.1	4.7
Hamilton	10.6	5.2	1.3	7.9	5.2	Santa Rosa	6.0	1.1	0.3	4.4	2.4
Hardee	11.0	5.6	1.8	9.2	5.9	Sarasota*					
Hendry	6.2	5.2	1.0	3.5	2.6	Seminole	5.1	3.7	0.2	3.3	1.6
Hernando	6.8	3.2	1.3	4.7	3.5	Sumter	5.6	1.2	0.3	5.0	2.7
Highlands***						Suwannee	5.4	1.4	0.2	3.8	1.8
Hillsborough	5.5	3.3	0.3	4.6	4.2	Taylor	10.0	5.0	0.0	6.5	3.5
Holmes	8.1	4.4	1.1	7.8	4.2	Union****	8.8	6.3	1.3	7.0	2.0
Indian River	2.4	1.9	0.8	2.5	1.8	Volusia	7.3	2.3	1.3	5.0	2.7
Jackson	8.5	2.9	0.8	7.4	3.3	Wakulla*					
Jefferson**	11.5	9.5	0.0	5.1	10.1	Walton	8.1	2.9	0.5	3.2	1.7
Lafayette**	8.1	1.4	0.8	4.4	1.4	Washington	10.3	3.9	2.2	6.9	5.2
Lake	8.3	1.9	0.5	3.9	0.7						

\* These seven counties did not participate in the 2024 Florida Youth Survey. \*\* For these counties, results are only presented for middle school because an insufficient number of surveys were completed in grades 9 through 12. \*\*\* In Highlands County, neither middle school nor high school results are presented because an insufficient number of surveys were completed across all grade levels. \*\*\*\* Union County did not survey high school students.

2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

County	Alcohol	Binge Drinking	Cigarettes	Vaping Nicotine	Vaping Marijuana	County	Alcohol	Binge Drinking	Cigarettes	Vaping Nicotine	Vaping Marijuana
Alachua	15.3	5.4	1.6	8.5	8.7	Lee*					
Baker	22.3	14.2	4.3	13.7	9.5	Leon	9.8	4.3	2.0	8.2	7.3
Bay	15.6	6.5	1.7	13.3	10.5	Levy	11.2	4.7	1.5	6.0	6.3
Bradford	6.7	7.5	2.6	11.1	6.2	Liberty	15.0	7.2	5.8	14.9	6.0
Brevard	12.6	6.3	2.4	10.6	11.3	Madison	7.3	6.3	3.6	11.4	7.1
Broward	10.0	4.4	0.1	5.1	6.5	Manatee	14.1	4.4	0.9	8.8	7.1
Calhoun	17.1	9.1	4.3	22.0	12.4	Marion	11.9	5.1	1.2	10.1	8.7
Charlotte	13.0	9.1	1.6	11.0	11.2	Martin	15.7	7.7	1.0	11.7	10.9
Citrus	17.2	11.4	3.3	17.6	14.6	Miami-Dade	14.2	5.7	1.2	8.4	5.5
Clay*						Monroe	11.0	7.1	1.6	7.8	4.8
Collier	17.5	7.1	1.1	8.0	4.3	Nassau*					
Columbia	13.4	5.5	3.9	11.3	9.9	Okaloosa	16.0	6.4	1.8	10.5	9.6
DeSoto	7.1	5.7	2.8	8.8	7.3	Okeechobee	7.2	3.7	1.0	6.2	6.4
Dixie	21.6	13.6	3.8	25.9	18.2	Orange	9.1	4.9	1.0	3.9	5.5
Duval	10.4	5.8	0.9	9.4	8.5	Osceola	12.2	8.1	1.0	9.5	8.4
Escambia	12.5	4.9	1.8	8.5	6.5	Palm Beach	16.5	6.8	1.1	8.2	7.3
Flagler	10.1	3.6	0.6	6.6	4.7	Pasco	14.1	6.9	1.1	12.6	12.5
Franklin	17.4	15.1	2.0	31.1	21.4	Pinellas*					
Gadsden	20.4	11.4	1.4	7.8	6.8	Polk	13.0	2.9	1.6	9.6	6.9
Gilchrist	13.1	6.8	3.7	9.8	7.0	Putnam	11.4	7.5	2.2	7.5	6.4
Glades	9.7	2.3	3.4	5.4	5.6	Saint Johns*					
Gulf	17.7	7.5	1.6	21.1	13.3	Saint Lucie	5.0	4.4	1.4	5.1	3.9
Hamilton	13.1	6.2	2.3	6.9	5.6	Santa Rosa	15.2	5.4	1.9	10.3	9.2
Hardee	13.8	9.2	1.9	11.8	9.2	Sarasota*					
Hendry	7.3	4.2	0.6	3.7	3.5	Seminole	15.8	7.2	1.4	11.0	8.5
Hernando	15.1	4.0	1.7	11.8	10.4	Sumter	8.8	5.1	1.6	6.5	4.2
Highlands***						Suwannee	14.0	7.8	4.8	14.9	7.8
Hillsborough	9.2	4.9	1.4	7.5	8.4	Taylor	8.1	10.1	3.2	9.3	4.6
Holmes	14.2	11.6	3.9	16.3	9.9	Union****					
Indian River	9.5	2.8	1.1	4.4	4.2	Volusia	21.9	10.3	1.6	13.5	13.7
Jackson	15.0	11.1	3.7	15.6	8.6	Wakulla*					
Jefferson**						Walton	16.5	8.8	3.5	13.8	12.6
Lafayette**						Washington	12.6	6.0	5.9	12.9	5.7
Lake	13.2	5.4	1.5	6.2	8.4	C					

Table C3. Past-30-day prevalence of alcohol, binge drinking, cigarettes, vaping nicotine, and vaping marijuana, among <u>high</u><u>school</u> students, by county, 2024

\* These seven counties did not participate in the 2024 Florida Youth Survey. \*\* For these counties, results are only presented for middle school because an insufficient number of surveys were completed in grades 9 through 12. \*\*\* In Highlands County, neither middle school nor high school results are presented because an insufficient number of surveys were completed across all grade levels. \*\*\*\* Union County did not survey high school students.

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

County	Marijuana	Prescription Pain Relievers	Prescription Depressants	Any Illicit Drug Except Marijuana	Alcohol or Any Illicit Drug	County	Marijuana	Prescription Pain Relievers	Prescription Depressants	Any Illicit Drug Except Marijuana	Alcohol or Any Illicit Drug
Alachua	3.3	0.2	0.3	5.0	10.8	Lee*					
Baker	4.4	0.9	0.7	3.4	17.9	Leon	2.3	0.6	0.3	3.0	7.3
Bay	3.3	1.8	0.0	4.0	10.5	Levy	3.0	0.2	0.0	0.8	6.5
Bradford	3.7	0.7	1.2	5.4	14.9	Liberty	1.8	0.0	0.3	8.4	19.9
Brevard	3.6	0.2	0.0	2.2	10.5	Madison	3.8	0.0	0.8	7.5	15.9
Broward	2.5	2.0	1.1	6.0	12.4	Manatee	3.1	0.8	0.3	4.6	10.2
Calhoun	5.5	4.4	2.5	11.5	21.3	Marion	4.3	0.8	0.6	3.5	12.5
Charlotte	4.2	0.0	0.0	4.1	12.8	Martin	2.2	0.2	0.4	5.4	12.2
Citrus	3.7	0.9	1.1	7.7	13.7	Miami-Dade	1.2	0.5	0.7	4.6	9.7
Clay*						Monroe	1.4	0.2	0.0	3.2	7.4
Collier	0.9	0.7	0.4	3.8	9.8	Nassau*					
Columbia	3.8	0.2	0.1	4.4	11.7	Okaloosa	1.5	0.6	0.6	3.0	7.2
DeSoto	5.3	0.7	0.0	4.4	13.1	Okeechobee	3.7	0.2	0.3	4.5	13.9
Dixie	7.0	3.6	1.9	8.7	20.4	Orange	0.8	0.2	0.3	5.1	8.5
Duval	3.5	0.4	0.2	3.7	11.9	Osceola	0.5	0.3	0.3	1.8	7.7
Escambia	3.1	0.9	0.5	4.4	9.4	Palm Beach	1.1	0.6	0.4	4.4	9.5
Flagler	2.4	0.6	0.0	2.8	9.0	Pasco	2.9	0.5	0.3	4.3	11.2
Franklin	6.7	0.0	0.0	7.9	18.1	Pinellas*					
Gadsden	7.1	0.8	1.3	5.3	14.1	Polk	2.5	1.9	0.0	4.9	11.3
Gilchrist	2.8	0.2	0.2	3.4	11.3	Putnam	3.9	1.1	0.1	5.6	16.0
Glades	0.6	0.0	0.0	3.0	11.3	Saint Johns*					
Gulf	2.4	1.3	1.7	6.9	16.3	Saint Lucie	3.5	0.1	0.2	5.2	11.7
Hamilton	5.3	1.2	0.0	4.3	15.1	Santa Rosa	2.3	0.7	0.3	3.4	9.1
Hardee	5.6	0.6	0.0	3.8	15.2	Sarasota*					
Hendry	5.0	0.2	0.5	5.5	14.0	Seminole	2.8	0.1	0.2	3.4	8.3
Hernando	4.3	1.0	1.0	4.9	11.8	Sumter	2.8	0.3	0.8	4.4	9.3
Highlands***						Suwannee	0.6	0.3	0.0	2.5	7.3
Hillsborough	3.6	0.5	1.5	5.6	11.9	Taylor	3.4	0.0	0.0	1.5	12.3
Holmes	3.8	1.5	0.0	4.7	12.7	Union****	3.7	3.0	0.7	6.9	14.3
Indian River	1.8	1.0	0.0	3.3	6.9	Volusia	3.2	1.1	0.3	4.4	11.2
Jackson	3.4	0.8	0.8	6.3	13.7	Wakulla*					
Jefferson**	10.1	5.2	0.0	5.0	13.9	Walton	2.0	1.1	0.1	3.7	10.6
Lafayette**	0.8	0.0	0.0	2.5	9.2	Washington	4.3	0.4	1.7	8.5	17.0
Lake	1.8	0.5	0.8	4.6	12.4						

Table C4. Past-30-day prevalence of marijuana, prescription pain relievers, prescription depressants, any illicit drug except marijuana, and alcohol or any illicit drug, among <u>middle school</u> students, by county, 2024

\* These seven counties did not participate in the 2024 Florida Youth Survey. \*\* For these counties, results are only presented for middle school because an insufficient number of surveys were completed in grades 9 through 12. \*\*\* In Highlands County, neither middle school nor high school results are presented because an insufficient number of surveys were completed across all grade levels. \*\*\*\* Union County did not survey high school students.

2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

County	Marijuana	Prescription Pain Relievers	Prescription Depressants	Any Illicit Drug Except Marijuana	Alcohol or Any Illicit Drug	County	Marijuana	Prescription Pain Relievers	Prescription Depressants	Any Illicit Drug Except Marijuana	Alcohol or Any Illicit Drug
Alachua	9.0	0.4	0.5	3.5	20.4	Lee*					
Baker	10.5	0.4	0.0	3.0	26.8	Leon	11.4	0.7	0.4	3.2	19.3
Bay	12.1	0.6	0.5	5.1	23.3	Levy	7.1	0.0	0.1	1.8	18.2
Bradford	5.4	1.0	0.7	6.6	14.0	Liberty	7.2	0.0	0.0	2.6	16.4
Brevard	10.8	0.9	1.5	3.9	20.7	Madison	8.0	0.8	0.3	2.9	14.2
Broward	6.4	0.6	0.2	2.8	15.2	Manatee	8.4	0.8	0.4	1.9	20.3
Calhoun	14.0	1.6	0.2	6.2	28.3	Marion	10.7	0.4	0.6	3.3	20.3
Charlotte	11.1	0.7	0.9	4.8	20.7	Martin	11.7	0.6	0.9	2.6	19.3
Citrus	16.5	0.7	0.6	7.1	27.6	Miami-Dade	5.7	0.6	0.0	1.8	18.7
Clay*						Monroe	4.9	0.2	0.4	1.9	13.3
Collier	5.9	1.0	0.9	3.2	20.9	Nassau*					
Columbia	11.9	0.1	0.7	2.5	21.9	Okaloosa	9.8	0.5	0.6	3.5	21.7
DeSoto	9.0	0.2	0.4	4.1	14.8	Okeechobee	7.2	0.0	0.0	2.6	14.3
Dixie	17.8	0.0	0.0	3.4	35.0	Orange	5.5	0.6	0.0	2.3	13.6
Duval	11.8	0.2	0.6	3.9	20.7	Osceola	7.6	1.0	0.3	3.7	16.7
Escambia	8.3	1.1	0.1	3.9	17.9	Palm Beach	8.8	0.2	0.6	2.8	21.2
Flagler	6.1	0.0	0.0	3.1	15.1	Pasco	13.5	1.3	0.4	5.0	21.7
Franklin	25.8	1.7	0.0	5.5	30.6	Pinellas*					
Gadsden	11.1	2.9	0.3	8.2	27.6	Polk	9.0	0.4	0.0	2.9	19.2
Gilchrist	10.6	0.2	0.4	1.9	19.5	Putnam	7.8	0.0	0.2	3.4	18.0
Glades	5.9	0.0	0.0	2.8	14.9	Saint Johns*					
Gulf	13.5	0.9	1.3	2.9	26.9	Saint Lucie	6.2	0.2	0.2	4.4	9.3
Hamilton	1.1	0.7	0.0	0.7	14.3	Santa Rosa	9.9	0.5	0.2	3.5	21.3
Hardee	8.5	0.7	0.4	2.8	18.9	Sarasota*					
Hendry	3.4	0.3	0.0	2.0	11.1	Seminole	11.1	0.7	0.7	4.8	24.6
Hernando	11.1	0.2	0.2	2.8	22.9	Sumter	5.9	0.4	0.0	1.7	12.0
Highlands***						Suwannee	9.2	0.5	1.0	2.3	18.6
Hillsborough	9.4	0.2	0.0	2.6	16.5	Taylor	5.4	0.0	1.0	1.2	10.2
Holmes	11.2	1.3	0.6	5.3	19.0	Union****					
Indian River	5.0	0.5	0.0	2.6	14.7	Volusia	17.4	0.1	0.4	3.0	29.3
Jackson	9.9	1.9	1.0	4.9	21.5	Wakulla*					
Jefferson**						Walton	13.2	0.4	0.2	4.0	26.7
Lafayette**						Washington	7.0	0.1	0.6	4.3	18.4
Lake	8.8	0.6	0.7	4.4	18.4						

Table C5. Past-30-day prevalence of marijuana, prescription pain relievers, prescription depressants, any illicit drug except marijuana, and alcohol or any illicit drug, among <u>high school</u> students, by county, 2024

\* These seven counties did not participate in the 2024 Florida Youth Survey. \*\* For these counties, results are only presented for middle school because an insufficient number of surveys were completed in grades 9 through 12. \*\*\* In Highlands County, neither middle school nor high school results are presented because an insufficient number of surveys were completed across all grade levels. \*\*\*\* Union County did not survey high school students.

#### 2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

Table C6. Percentage of surveyed Florida high school students who reported riding in a vehicle driven by someone who had been drinking alcohol or using marijuana, or driving a vehicle after drinking alcohol or using marijuana, by county, 2024

\* These seven counties did not participate in the 2024 Florida Youth Survey. \*\* For these counties, results are only presented for middle school because an insufficient number of surveys were completed in grades 9 through 12. \*\*\* In Highlands County, neither middle school nor high school results are presented because an insufficient number of surveys were completed across all grade levels. \*\*\*\* Union County did not survey high school students.

74

2024 Florida	Youth Su	ibstance A	buse Survey
--------------	----------	------------	-------------

	Riding with a	Riding with a	Driving	Driving After		Riding with a	Riding with a	Driving	Driving After
County	Drinking	Marijuana	After	Using	County	Drinking	Marijuana	After	Using
	Driver	Using Driver	Drinking	Marijuana		Driver	Using Driver	Drinking	Marijuana
Alachua	16.2	21.2	2.8	5.3	Lee*				
Baker	18.4	16.2	6.0	5.6	Leon	11.9	17.7	1.9	5.9
Bay	14.8	19.5	2.1	5.5	Levy	11.1	10.8	2.7	4.3
Bradford	14.8	19.1	8.0	8.4	Liberty	19.1	15.3	5.9	6.2
Brevard	16.0	16.7	2.8	6.3	Madison	13.1	11.5	4.6	6.4
Broward	7.8	12.5	1.2	3.1	Manatee	12.4	17.2	1.4	3.9
Calhoun	17.2	25.6	8.2	11.2	Marion	11.3	17.0	1.8	3.8
Charlotte	14.7	23.1	3.2	7.0	Martin	15.9	16.7	6.3	4.6
Citrus	17.6	23.5	3.6	6.4	Miami-Dade	11.0	10.7	3.5	3.3
Clay*					Monroe	13.0	12.8	4.1	3.0
Collier	12.2	13.2	1.5	4.1	Nassau*				
Columbia	13.2	18.0	3.1	7.4	Okaloosa	12.3	15.8	2.6	5.2
DeSoto	13.3	11.5	4.0	4.7	Okeechobee	13.7	15.6	1.6	2.7
Dixie	17.4	26.4	4.4	11.3	Orange	8.4	6.4	1.2	1.5
Duval	9.9	15.0	1.7	6.4	Osceola	13.9	15.4	4.2	4.0
Escambia	13.0	15.4	3.0	5.1	Palm Beach	13.7	14.1	2.5	3.7
Flagler	14.8	15.5	1.9	3.2	Pasco	13.4	18.7	3.3	5.5
Franklin	28.4	39.7	5.9	15.3	Pinellas*				
Gadsden	22.0	23.8	6.7	9.1	Polk	11.7	15.1	2.3	3.4
Gilchrist	12.1	11.2	3.8	5.3	Putnam	13.5	19.7	3.4	7.1
Glades	10.8	9.4	3.0	2.8	Saint Johns*				
Gulf	14.2	22.7	4.0	7.0	Saint Lucie	9.5	10.4	1.0	1.6
Hamilton	13.8	21.4	6.8	4.1	Santa Rosa	12.7	13.6	2.2	4.5
Hardee	16.4	19.3	4.6	5.0	Sarasota*				
Hendry	11.5	7.5	1.6	3.1	Seminole	10.7	15.1	3.3	5.8
Hernando	11.3	19.2	3.4	6.0	Sumter	13.2	12.1	3.6	3.4
Highlands***					Suwannee	15.6	16.3	4.4	7.8
Hillsborough	9.2	12.8	1.6	3.1	Taylor	11.8	20.0	2.6	7.7
Holmes	12.6	17.7	5.2	8.7	Union****				
Indian River	12.1	11.8	1.3	3.8	Volusia	20.1	24.7	2.8	7.5
Jackson	14.1	16.4	6.0	7.9	Wakulla*				
Jefferson**					Walton	14.3	17.3	3.5	6.4
Lafayette**					Washington	9.6	11.9	6.1	4.0
Lake	16.8	17.6	4.0	5.1	_				

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Table C7. Average risk and protective factor prevalence rates, and percentage reporting a suicide attempt in the past year, among <u>middle school</u> students, by county, 2024

County	Average Protection	Average Risk	Attempted Suicide	County	Average Protection	Average Risk	Attempted Suicide
Alachua	51	40	9.5	Lee*			
Baker	45	48	7.4	Leon	53	37	8.6
Bay	47	41	8.8	Levy	54	35	8.6
Bradford	42	46	9.6	Liberty	52	46	4.7
Brevard	50	36	5.1	Madison	53	42	10.5
Broward	51	37	10.3	Manatee	45	41	9.6
Calhoun	47	47	10.3	Marion	46	44	10.5
Charlotte	42	44	10.2	Martin	45	41	5.3
Citrus	45	44	13.3	Miami-Dade	47	38	8.4
Clay*				Monroe	52	39	7.1
Collier	51	40	7.3	Nassau*			
Columbia	54	42	7.4	Okaloosa	52	38	8.3
DeSoto	38	45	7.8	Okeechobee	50	46	4.7
Dixie	46	43	8.2	Orange	53	35	5.4
Duval	44	42	10.8	Osceola	54	42	6.5
Escambia	49	41	10.7	Palm Beach	48	37	9.0
Flagler	46	41	8.7	Pasco	48	41	9.1
Franklin	48	49	17.3	Pinellas*			
Gadsden	43	46	16.8	Polk	45	41	12.5
Gilchrist	49	42	5.3	Putnam	49	45	9.3
Glades	49	42	12.3	Saint Johns*			
Gulf	54	46	12.9	Saint Lucie	44	42	9.3
Hamilton	52	42	10.0	Santa Rosa	49	40	7.7
Hardee	48	44	10.7	Sarasota*			
Hendry	42	43	11.4	Seminole	52	38	10.4
Hernando	44	46	9.6	Sumter	54	41	9.3
Highlands***				Suwannee	50	41	5.5
Hillsborough	44	43	15.1	Taylor	42	43	13.3
Holmes	48	39	10.7	Union****	56	46	6.3
Indian River	50	38	5.0	Volusia	40	45	11.0
Jackson	51	45	9.4	Wakulla*			
Jefferson**	53	42	4.0	Walton	55	40	7.1
Lafayette**	62	37	6.9	Washington	46	44	13.2
Lake	46	42	8.8				

\* These seven counties did not participate in the 2024 Florida Youth Survey. \*\* For these counties, results are only presented for middle school because an insufficient number of surveys were completed in grades 9 through 12. \*\*\* In Highlands County, neither middle school nor high school results are presented because an insufficient number of surveys were completed across all grade levels. \*\*\*\* Union County did not survey high school students.

Table C8. Average risk and protective factor prevalence rates, percentage reporting four or more adverse childhoodexperiences (ACEs), and percentage reporting a suicide attempt in the past year, among <u>high school</u> students, by county, 2024

County	Average Protection	Average Risk	4+ ACEs	Attempted Suicide	County	Average Protection	Average Risk	4+ ACEs	Attempted Suicide
Alachua	58	32	21.5	7.0	Lee*				
Baker	56	38	24.4	4.9	Leon	59	33	19.7	7.8
Bay	57	34	27.4	6.5	Levy	59	31	17.6	6.0
Bradford	58	30	19.2	4.1	Liberty	64	32	16.6	2.2
Brevard	53	34	24.7	3.9	Madison	55	31	14.1	6.7
Broward	57	28	11.0	4.6	Manatee	57	32	20.6	5.9
Calhoun	53	40	33.5	12.4	Marion	57	34	21.8	6.4
Charlotte	51	34	26.0	6.4	Martin	61	30	11.7	2.1
Citrus	49	42	35.2	11.3	Miami-Dade	59	27	11.2	2.7
Clay*					Monroe	62	28	13.3	4.3
Collier	56	33	18.0	4.7	Nassau*				
Columbia	54	34	22.2	9.5	Okaloosa	56	35	24.7	10.3
DeSoto	52	31	13.1	4.5	Okeechobee	56	34	20.0	5.8
Dixie	52	35	25.3	7.7	Orange	61	28	10.9	3.6
Duval	54	33	15.1	5.4	Osceola	58	31	20.5	3.6
Escambia	59	30	19.8	7.0	Palm Beach	60	29	11.8	3.4
Flagler	52	33	22.3	5.5	Pasco	49	37	24.9	6.9
Franklin	47	46	40.4	7.6	Pinellas*				
Gadsden	56	35	24.1	6.4	Polk	53	34	21.5	7.3
Gilchrist	60	34	23.2	5.3	Putnam	53	35	16.8	6.5
Glades	64	28	11.9	7.5	Saint Johns*				
Gulf	48	38	32.2	7.5	Saint Lucie	57	28	11.3	7.1
Hamilton	55	34	14.9	4.5	Santa Rosa	58	32	22.8	5.6
Hardee	58	33	26.2	5.2	Sarasota*				
Hendry	53	29	13.5	5.4	Seminole	58	32	19.6	6.2
Hernando	49	35	24.1	6.7	Sumter	61	32	14.0	6.0
Highlands***					Suwannee	56	32	23.2	7.8
Hillsborough	59	31	17.9	9.4	Taylor	55	33	19.7	7.9
Holmes	55	36	22.8	9.4	Union****				
Indian River	56	30	14.4	4.2	Volusia	52	38	24.1	7.4
Jackson	56	34	22.1	11.5	Wakulla*				
Jefferson**					Walton	60	34	21.7	8.5
Lafayette**					Washington	52	33	17.3	12.9
Lake	54	33	16.9	3.5	_				

\* These seven counties did not participate in the 2024 Florida Youth Survey. \*\* For these counties, results are only presented for middle school because an insufficient number of surveys were completed in grades 9 through 12. \*\*\* In Highlands County, neither middle school nor high school results are presented because an insufficient number of surveys were completed across all grade levels. \*\*\*\* Union County did not survey high school students.

2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

# Appendix B Detailed Tables

. . . . . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . . . . .

. . . .

2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

#### Table 1. Major demographic characteristics of surveyed Florida youth, 2024

	Unwo	eighted	Weig	shted
	Ν	%	Ν	%
Sex				
Female	22,382	50.0	21,747	48.6
Male	22,053	49.3	22,711	50.7
Race/Ethnic group				
American Indian	984	2.2	426	1.0
Asian	1,007	2.3	653	1.5
African American	6,849	15.3	9,493	21.2
Hispanic/Latino	8,940	20.0	10,394	23.2
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	88	0.2	41	0.1
Other/Multiple	9,689	21.6	7,299	16.3
White, non-Hispanic	16,823	37.6	16,109	36.0
Parent/Guardian in the Military				
No	37,952	84.8	38,723	86.5
Yes	6,432	14.4	5,679	12.7
Age				
11	2,888	6.5	2,499	5.6
12	6,827	15.3	5,938	13.3
13	7,609	17.0	6,288	14.0
14	7,373	16.5	6,501	14.5
15	6,742	15.1	6,784	15.2
16	6,100	13.6	6,743	15.1
17	4,718	10.5	6,358	14.2
18	2,138	4.8	3,252	7.3
Grade				
6th	7,349	16.4	6,126	13.7
7th	7,685	17.2	6,468	14.5
8th	7,705	17.2	6,341	14.2
9th	6,742	15.1	6,696	15.0
10th	6,360	14.2	6,738	15.1
11th	5,100	11.4	6,266	14.0
12th	3,814	8.5	6,121	13.7
Middle School	22,739	50.8	18,934	42.3
High School	22,016	49.2	25,821	57.7
Total	44,755	100.0	44,755	100.0

. . .

....

Note: Some categories do not sum to 100% of the total due to missing values (e.g., not all survey questions were answered) or categories with very few responses were omitted. In addition, rounding can produce totals that do not equal 100%. "N" represents the number of valid cases.

. . . .

# 2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

	2012		2014		2016		20	18	202	20	202	22	20	24
	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%	Ν	%
Sex														
Female	34,179	48.2	31,702	48.1	31,515	47.9	26,340	48.2	25,191	48.4	22,957	48.3	21,747	48.6
Male	35,544	50.2	33,056	50.1	32,905	50.0	27,468	50.3	26,328	50.5	23,857	50.1	22,711	50.7
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	12,176	17.2	12,512	19.0	14,666	22.3	12,088	22.1	11,284	21.7	10,187	21.4	9,493	21.2
Hispanic/Latino	16,088	22.7	12,827	19.5	13,174	20.0	11,242	20.6	11,091	21.3	10,291	21.6	10,394	23.2
White, non-Hispanic	27,787	39.2	29,014	44.0	28,309	43.0	22,618	41.4	20,904	40.1	18,419	38.7	16,109	36.0
Age														
11	4,037	5.7	3,909	17.5	3,856	5.9	3,339	6.1	3,151	6.0	2,576	5.4	2,499	5.6
12	9,151	12.9	8,589	5.9	8,338	12.7	7,363	13.5	7,124	13.7	6,243	13.1	5,938	13.3
13	10,289	14.5	9,491	13.0	9,230	14.0	7,738	14.2	7,698	14.8	6,872	14.4	6,288	14.0
14	10,537	14.9	9,764	14.4	9,454	14.4	7,864	14.4	7,616	14.6	7,136	15.0	6,501	14.5
15	10,727	15.1	10,011	14.8	10,070	15.3	7,982	14.6	7,582	14.6	7,278	15.3	6,784	15.2
16	10,384	14.7	9,431	15.2	9,684	14.7	7,926	14.5	7,502	14.4	6,918	14.5	6,743	15.1
17	9,533	13.5	8,940	14.3	9,348	14.2	7,725	14.1	7,073	13.6	6,616	13.9	6,358	14.2
18	5,217	7.4	4,837	13.6	4,799	7.3	3,990	7.3	3,807	7.3	3,403	7.2	3,252	7.3
Grade														
6th	10,330	14.6	9,610	14.6	9,301	14.1	8,050	14.7	7,718	14.8	6,626	13.9	6,126	13.7
7th	10,332	14.6	9,611	14.6	9,215	14.0	7,706	14.1	7,555	14.5	6,817	14.3	6,468	14.5
8th	10,134	14.3	9,427	14.3	9,326	14.2	7,715	14.1	7,632	14.6	7,021	14.8	6,341	14.2
9th	11,051	15.6	10,281	15.6	10,140	15.4	8,024	14.7	7,668	14.7	7,193	15.1	6,696	15.0
10th	10,314	14.6	9,595	14.6	9,834	15.0	7,925	14.5	7,481	14.4	7,025	14.8	6,738	15.1
11th	9,879	13.9	9,190	13.9	9,254	14.1	7,775	14.2	7,117	13.7	6,557	13.8	6,266	14.0
12th	8,819	12.4	8,203	12.4	8,705	13.2	7,417	13.6	6,923	13.3	6,333	13.3	6,121	13.7
Middle School	30,796	43.5	28,547	43.3	27,678	42.1	23,470	43.0	22,904	44.0	20,463	43.0	18,934	42.3
High School	40,063	56.5	37,164	56.4	37,765	57.4	31,141	57.0	29,189	56.0	27,109	57.0	25,821	57.7
Total	70,859	100.0	65,917	100.0	65,776	100.0	54,611	100.0	52,093	100.0	47,572	100.0	44,755	100.0

. . .

....

# Table 2. Demographic characteristics of historical samples—2012 to 2024

Note: Demographic results represent samples after sample weights have been applied.

. . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . .

#### Table 3. Lifetime prevalence of ATOD use, 2024

.

	Grade Level									
	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th			
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%			
Alcohol	14.2	19.1	24.5	23.6	30.3	36.7	40.4			
Cigarettes	3.0	4.3	5.7	5.7	7.6	8.8	8.9			
Vaping Nicotine	6.6	11.2	14.5	15.7	19.7	22.1	23.6			
Vaping Marijuana	3.0	5.4	8.1	10.4	15.6	18.9	20.9			
Marijuana or Hashish	2.9	5.3	9.2	11.5	16.6	22.0	24.9			
Synthetic Marijuana				1.7	1.9	2.0	2.3			
Inhalants	7.0	7.6	6.1	4.3	4.1	3.1	2.2			
Club Drugs	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.9	0.9			
LSD, PCP or Mushrooms	0.6	1.0	1.5	1.8	2.5	3.8	3.9			
Methamphetamine	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.6			
Cocaine or Crack Cocaine	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.7	0.8			
Heroin	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.1			
Depressants	0.8	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.5	1.8	1.9			
Prescription Pain Relievers	1.2	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.7	2.1	1.6			
Prescription Amphetamines	0.9	1.4	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.8	2.4			
Over-the-Counter Drugs	1.2	1.8	2.2	1.7	2.3	2.5	1.5			
Needle to Inject Illegal Drugs				0.7	0.5	0.6	0.4			

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

. . .

....

. . . . . . . . . . . .

. . . . . .

#### Table 4. Past-30-day prevalence of ATOD use, 2024

				Grade Level			
	6th	7th	8th	9th	10th	11th	12th
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Alcohol	3.9	6.2	8.2	8.3	11.8	14.6	18.2
Binge Drinking	1.6	2.4	3.3	4.1	5.0	7.1	7.9
Cigarettes	0.4	0.6	1.0	1.1	1.3	1.7	1.5
Vaping Nicotine	2.2	3.7	5.1	6.6	8.6	9.2	10.8
Vaping Marijuana	1.1	1.9	3.5	5.0	8.1	8.6	9.7
Marijuana or Hashish	1.0	1.9	4.4	5.8	8.2	10.4	12.5
Synthetic Marijuana				0.9	0.7	0.6	0.7
Inhalants	2.8	2.6	2.0	1.3	1.1	0.7	0.6
Club Drugs	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1
LSD, PCP or Mushrooms	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.8	0.9	0.6
Methamphetamine	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.1
Cocaine or Crack Cocaine	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3
Heroin	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0
Depressants	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.2
Prescription Pain Relievers	0.5	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.4
Prescription Amphetamines	0.2	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.4
Over-the-Counter Drugs	0.5	0.7	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.3

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

. . .

...

Note: Binge drinking is defined as having had five or more alcoholic drinks in a row in the past two weeks.

. . . . .

							Alcoh	ol Use						
				Lifetime						Pa	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	48.8	44.3	41.3	38.9	38.3	34.5	30.5	25.3	21.7	19.9	16.8	15.9	13.3	11.8
Male	45.8	40.9	37.1	34.1	32.5	27.5	23.5	23.8	19.4	17.0	13.8	13.7	10.3	8.6
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	38.7	34.3	31.0	28.2	26.4	22.9	19.0	17.4	13.8	12.4	9.5	9.1	7.4	6.5
Hispanic/Latino	48.8	45.3	41.5	38.3	35.3	31.0	25.7	25.5	22.0	18.6	15.3	14.0	10.7	8.9
White, non-Hispanic	50.5	46.0	42.1	39.8	39.6	35.1	31.4	27.6	23.7	21.4	18.4	18.0	14.7	12.8
Age														
11	14.6	11.2	10.0	10.9	12.9	13.2	13.1	5.6	3.8	2.5	2.8	4.4	3.9	2.8
12	21.0	18.1	15.7	15.3	18.4	16.9	15.7	7.2	6.1	5.3	4.5	6.0	4.9	4.8
13	31.6	28.0	24.8	23.9	26.1	22.9	21.8	14.0	11.2	9.4	8.3	9.2	7.6	6.9
14	44.8	39.0	34.6	33.9	33.0	29.0	24.1	20.3	18.3	14.7	13.2	12.3	9.7	8.2
15	54.8	48.6	43.4	39.0	38.4	32.7	27.1	29.1	22.7	19.9	15.8	15.8	11.7	10.3
16	62.4	58.0	51.4	49.9	45.3	38.6	32.7	33.4	28.3	23.6	21.4	19.4	15.4	13.1
17	68.4	63.9	60.3	55.5	51.2	43.9	37.8	40.2	34.1	32.4	25.6	24.0	18.6	15.6
18	68.9	64.4	61.3	55.5	52.8	47.4	41.1	42.0	36.2	34.5	28.5	27.3	22.2	18.7
Grade														
6th	17.4	15.1	12.5	12.6	15.3	14.3	14.2	6.5	5.0	4.0	3.8	5.1	4.4	3.9
7th	29.3	24.0	21.6	20.5	22.9	20.7	19.1	12.0	9.5	7.7	6.3	8.1	6.4	6.2
8th	40.2	35.9	31.2	29.5	30.7	27.5	24.5	18.5	15.9	13.2	11.9	11.3	9.2	8.2
9th	51.8	45.4	39.9	37.7	35.0	29.8	23.6	26.7	21.3	17.2	13.9	13.0	10.6	8.3
10th	58.6	54.0	47.9	45.2	42.3	36.2	30.3	31.4	26.3	22.3	19.5	18.2	13.1	11.8
11th	66.6	60.2	56.7	52.7	48.5	41.7	36.7	36.8	30.3	29.2	23.4	21.8	18.1	14.6
12th	70.1	66.9	62.8	57.3	55.1	47.7	40.4	42.7	37.5	34.4	28.3	27.5	21.3	18.2
Middle School	28.9	25.0	21.8	20.8	23.0	21.0	19.3	12.3	10.1	8.3	7.3	8.2	6.7	6.2
High School	61.3	56.0	51.4	48.0	44.9	38.5	32.5	33.9	28.4	25.5	21.2	19.9	15.5	13.1
Total	47.3	42.6	39.1	36.5	35.3	31.0	26.9	24.6	20.5	18.3	15.3	14.8	11.8	10.2

Table 5. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used alcohol in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

. . . . . . . . . . .

				Alcohol			
			Number	of Occasions in Pa	st 30 Days		
	0	1-2	3-5	6-9	10-19	20-39	40+
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex							
Female	88.2	8.3	2.2	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.1
Male	91.4	5.4	1.7	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.3
Race/Ethnic group							
African American	93.5	4.3	1.3	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1
Hispanic/Latino	91.1	6.0	1.6	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.2
White, non-Hispanic	87.2	8.6	2.4	0.9	0.5	0.1	0.3
Age							
11	97.2	2.2	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0
12	95.2	3.6	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.1
13	93.1	4.8	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.2
14	91.8	5.8	1.5	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.1
15	89.7	6.9	2.1	0.7	0.3	0.1	0.2
16	86.9	8.7	2.7	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.2
17	84.4	10.3	3.3	1.5	0.3	0.1	0.1
18	81.3	11.6	3.6	1.9	1.2	0.1	0.3
Grade							
6th	96.1	2.8	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.1
7th	93.8	4.7	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.1
8th	91.8	5.5	1.6	0.4	0.3	0.1	0.2
9th	91.7	5.6	1.8	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.1
10th	88.2	7.8	2.4	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.3
11th	85.4	9.6	2.8	1.3	0.5	0.1	0.2
12th	81.8	11.9	3.6	1.6	0.7	0.1	0.3
Middle School	93.8	4.4	1.0	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.2
High School	86.9	8.6	2.6	1.0	0.5	0.1	0.2
Total	89.8	6.8	1.9	0.7	0.4	0.1	0.2

Table 6. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used alcohol, and number of occasions in past 30 days, 2024

Note: Percentages total to 100% across each row. Rounding can produce totals that do not equal 100%.

. . . Table 7. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who reported binge drinking and blacking out after drinking alcohol—2012 to

						п	ign-kisk i	AICOHOI U	se					
			Bir	ige Drink	ing					B	acking O	ut		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024		2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	10.6	9.5	7.9	6.7	6.8	6.1	4.8		19.8	16.5	15.0	14.2	12.7	10.3
Male	11.9	9.4	7.7	6.9	6.4	5.1	4.1		18.1	15.4	13.5	13.3	9.5	8.0
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	7.1	6.0	4.9	3.9	4.5	3.9	3.2		10.3	8.4	7.7	8.1	7.2	5.2
Hispanic/Latino	12.3	11.3	8.6	7.6	7.0	5.8	4.3		18.6	15.3	12.4	11.7	8.2	6.6
White, non-Hispanic	12.8	10.7	8.8	7.9	7.5	6.4	5.2		22.4	20.0	18.9	18.0	14.7	12.9
Age														
11	1.5	1.1	0.6	1.2	1.6	2.1	1.4							
12	2.2	1.9	1.8	1.9	2.2	1.7	1.6							
13	4.9	4.4	3.7	3.2	3.9	3.5	2.7							
14	8.3	6.7	5.5	5.1	5.1	4.0	3.2		10.0	7.3	7.0	7.7	5.4	5.1
15	13.5	10.2	7.8	6.4	7.0	5.4	4.7		14.2	11.5	9.9	10.5	8.2	6.6
16	16.0	14.4	9.6	10.0	9.0	7.1	6.0		20.0	15.5	14.4	13.1	10.3	9.3
17	19.9	16.7	15.4	12.1	10.6	9.2	7.1		24.5	21.2	17.7	16.7	15.0	11.6
18	22.1	19.0	15.7	13.7	14.6	11.9	8.4		23.1	22.3	21.0	20.7	15.8	12.2
Grade														
6th	2.1	1.9	1.6	1.8	2.1	2.3	1.6							
7th	4.6	3.8	3.2	2.8	3.2	2.7	2.4							
8th	7.4	6.0	4.9	4.6	4.9	4.0	3.3							
9th	11.9	9.3	6.9	5.6	5.8	4.9	4.1		12.7	9.5	8.6	8.5	6.3	5.5
10th	14.8	12.7	9.0	8.9	8.4	5.9	5.0		17.9	14.0	12.3	12.4	9.3	7.9
11th	17.8	14.9	12.7	10.4	9.9	8.6	7.1		21.0	18.9	15.8	15.0	13.3	11.3
12th	22.1	19.2	15.8	13.6	13.2	11.1	7.9		25.4	22.3	20.7	19.8	16.0	12.2
Middle School	4.7	3.9	3.2	3.1	3.4	3.0	2.4							
High School	16.4	13.7	10.9	9.6	9.2	7.5	5.9		18.9	15.9	14.2	13.8	11.0	9.1
Total	11.3	9.5	7.7	6.8	6.7	5.6	4.4							

High-Risk Alcohol Use

Note: Binge drinking is defined as having had five or more alcoholic drinks in a row in the past two weeks. Respondents were asked on how many occasions in their lifetime they woke up after a night of drinking and did not remember the things they did or the places they went.

2024

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

							Cigare	tte Use						
				Lifetime						Pa	ast 30 Day	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	21.1	17.2	14.0	11.1	8.5	7.2	6.4	6.0	4.4	3.3	2.6	1.4	1.0	0.9
Male	21.5	18.0	14.1	11.4	9.6	7.0	6.2	7.1	5.3	3.5	2.4	2.1	1.3	1.2
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	13.6	10.3	8.9	6.5	5.8	4.0	3.1	2.9	2.0	1.5	1.2	1.2	0.7	0.8
Hispanic/Latino	20.3	17.2	13.4	11.4	7.7	6.0	5.7	5.2	3.6	2.6	2.0	1.3	0.8	0.9
White, non-Hispanic	25.3	21.2	16.7	13.6	11.3	9.2	8.3	9.1	6.9	4.7	3.3	2.3	1.5	1.3
Age														
11	4.4	3.7	2.4	3.2	2.9	2.6	2.0	0.9	0.4	0.3	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.2
12	7.2	6.5	5.1	4.6	4.8	3.8	3.6	1.1	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.5
13	12.9	10.6	9.1	7.4	6.8	5.7	4.5	2.7	2.2	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.0	0.7
14	18.3	15.2	12.4	9.5	8.1	6.3	5.5	4.4	3.6	2.2	1.6	1.4	1.0	0.9
15	24.4	19.3	14.9	11.4	9.3	7.0	7.2	7.2	5.1	3.5	2.5	1.7	1.2	1.6
16	28.0	22.9	18.5	15.1	11.4	8.3	8.1	8.7	6.5	4.3	3.4	2.4	1.2	1.2
17	33.9	28.9	22.4	17.5	12.6	9.7	8.3	12.8	9.0	6.2	4.0	2.9	1.7	1.4
18	36.5	30.2	23.6	19.2	15.9	13.1	9.5	14.6	11.1	7.4	5.4	3.6	2.1	1.8
Grade														
6th	6.7	5.7	4.3	4.4	4.5	3.8	3.0	1.3	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.4
7th	11.7	9.5	8.3	6.5	6.3	5.0	4.3	2.4	2.1	1.5	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.6
8th	17.1	14.2	11.3	9.2	7.4	6.3	5.7	4.3	2.9	2.0	1.6	1.4	1.1	1.0
9th	22.8	18.3	13.8	9.8	8.8	6.8	5.7	6.6	5.2	2.9	1.9	1.5	1.0	1.1
10th	26.2	22.0	17.2	14.4	10.1	7.0	7.6	7.8	6.2	4.6	3.5	1.9	1.3	1.3
11th	30.2	24.7	21.4	15.5	11.5	9.0	8.8	11.0	7.2	5.0	3.6	2.8	1.4	1.7
12th	36.5	30.8	22.4	19.5	15.4	12.1	8.9	13.9	10.8	7.1	5.0	3.3	2.0	1.5
Middle School	11.8	9.8	8.0	6.7	6.1	5.0	4.4	2.7	2.0	1.4	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.7
High School	28.5	23.6	18.5	14.7	11.3	8.6	7.7	9.6	7.1	4.8	3.5	2.4	1.4	1.4
Total	21.3	17.6	14.1	11.3	9.0	7.1	6.3	6.6	4.9	3.4	2.5	1.8	1.2	1.1

Table 8. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used cigarettes in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

. . . . . . . . . . . .

#### Table 9. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who vaped nicotine (e-cigarettes, vape pens, JUUL), in lifetime and past 30 days-2019 to 2024

						Vaped	Nicotine						
	_		Lifetime	_	_				Pa	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex													
Female	25.2	24.9	26.1	23.8	20.1	20.2		12.9	12.3	13.9	11.9	9.9	8.4
Male	21.9	20.8	19.3	16.7	12.9	12.4		12.1	10.4	8.7	7.5	5.7	4.9
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>													
African American	11.8	12.0	13.5	13.7	10.8	11.5		4.4	4.8	5.6	5.7	4.9	4.3
Hispanic/Latino	21.6	21.3	22.1	19.6	18.2	15.7		11.2	9.9	9.0	9.4	8.0	5.6
White, non-Hispanic	30.5	28.8	27.0	23.5	18.2	18.9		18.0	15.2	14.6	11.8	9.3	8.5
Age													
11	6.0	4.6	5.8	6.4	6.9	5.7		2.0	1.8	1.6	2.1	2.0	1.7
12	9.8	9.6	10.9	10.1	10.1	8.3		4.0	3.8	4.4	3.5	3.7	2.7
13	16.8	16.3	17.7	14.3	13.1	11.9		7.4	7.1	7.8	6.5	5.9	4.0
14	21.4	22.1	24.2	18.5	16.1	15.4		10.1	10.1	11.1	8.7	6.1	5.6
15	30.0	26.2	25.0	22.2	17.5	18.1		15.5	13.5	12.7	10.7	7.8	7.9
16	32.0	31.8	29.2	25.9	20.0	20.3		17.6	16.3	15.7	12.5	11.9	8.6
17	31.6	32.4	29.8	29.8	20.7	22.9		19.2	17.1	15.8	15.2	10.1	10.2
18	32.7	33.9	30.3	29.6	23.8	23.8		21.3	19.2	15.7	15.9	12.0	10.8
Grade													
6th	7.8	7.4	8.2	8.8	9.3	6.6		2.7	2.8	3.0	3.1	3.0	2.2
7th	13.9	13.0	15.0	12.4	11.6	11.2		6.1	5.8	5.9	5.3	5.9	3.7
8th	19.4	19.8	22.1	17.6	17.5	14.5		8.9	8.7	10.1	7.9	7.2	5.1
9th	26.3	24.1	22.7	19.5	17.9	15.7		13.2	12.1	11.4	9.9	8.2	6.6
10th	30.6	29.3	27.8	23.3	18.7	19.7		16.9	15.1	14.4	11.0	9.8	8.6
11th	33.5	32.0	30.7	28.6	18.7	22.1		18.3	16.8	16.2	13.8	10.0	9.2
12th	33.2	35.1	31.9	31.5	23.6	23.6		21.6	19.0	17.5	16.8	11.1	10.8
Middle School	13.7	13.5	15.1	13.1	12.8	10.9		5.9	5.8	6.4	5.5	5.4	3.7
High School	30.8	30.0	28.1	25.5	19.6	20.1		17.4	15.6	14.8	12.7	9.7	8.7
Total	23.5	22.8	22.5	20.2	16.6	16.2		12.5	11.4	11.2	9.7	7.8	6.6

# Table 10. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who vaped marijuana (e-cigarettes, vape pens, JUUL), in lifetime and past 30days—2019 to 2024

						Vaped M	Iarijuana						
			Lifetime						Pa	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex													
Female	16.1	16.6	16.8	15.1	14.0	14.4		8.1	7.3	7.4	7.7	7.0	6.3
Male	14.6	14.5	13.1	11.4	9.5	9.2		8.4	7.3	6.1	5.9	5.0	4.7
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>													
African American	9.5	10.1	9.0	9.8	8.9	9.6		4.2	4.2	3.7	4.8	4.9	4.8
Hispanic/Latino	15.3	15.0	15.2	12.4	11.1	10.3		8.1	6.8	6.4	6.4	5.2	4.1
White, non-Hispanic	18.1	18.2	17.2	15.2	13.2	13.5		10.5	8.5	8.0	7.9	6.8	6.5
Age													
11	1.5	1.8	2.6	2.0	1.8	2.4		1.1	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.7
12	3.9	4.1	3.4	4.0	3.7	3.7		1.7	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.3
13	8.1	8.4	7.6	6.8	7.4	6.3		3.4	3.6	2.8	3.4	3.5	2.4
14	12.0	12.0	12.3	9.6	9.1	8.9		5.9	5.8	5.5	4.9	4.3	3.9
15	19.5	17.3	15.6	14.3	12.2	13.4		10.2	8.5	6.8	7.0	7.2	6.5
16	22.4	24.2	22.8	17.9	16.4	16.4		13.3	11.3	10.1	9.4	8.1	8.7
17	25.5	25.8	24.6	24.2	17.5	19.9		14.2	12.2	12.3	13.2	8.7	8.8
18	25.1	28.7	28.2	24.7	22.8	20.5		14.6	13.8	12.3	13.0	12.2	10.1
Grade													
6th	2.3	3.1	2.9	3.5	3.4	3.0		1.4	1.2	1.4	1.6	0.9	1.1
7th	6.7	6.7	5.6	5.5	6.2	5.4		2.5	3.1	2.0	2.5	3.4	1.9
8th	10.7	10.6	10.9	9.1	9.4	8.1		5.1	4.8	4.2	4.5	4.7	3.5
9th	16.1	13.8	12.6	11.3	11.7	10.4		8.2	6.8	6.0	5.7	6.4	5.0
10th	20.6	21.5	20.4	16.2	15.3	15.6		11.6	10.3	9.8	8.5	8.2	8.1
11th	25.5	25.8	23.9	21.2	15.6	18.9		14.2	12.1	11.1	11.0	7.2	8.6
12th	26.1	28.4	29.0	26.2	22.7	20.9		15.4	13.6	12.9	14.1	12.2	9.7
Middle School	6.6	6.8	6.5	6.1	6.3	5.5		3.0	3.0	2.5	2.9	3.0	2.2
High School	22.0	22.2	21.3	18.4	16.0	16.3		12.3	10.6	9.9	9.7	8.4	7.8
Total	15.3	15.5	14.9	13.2	11.8	11.7		8.3	7.3	6.7	6.8	6.0	5.5

Table 11. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used an electronic vaporizer, such as an e-cigarette, in lifetime and past30 days—2016 and 2018

				LIC	culonic v	aporizer	USC				
			Lifetime					Pa	ast 30 Da	ys	
		2016	2018					2016	2018		
		%	%					%	%		
Sex											
Female		24.4	26.5					8.4	13.5		
Male		27.1	27.6					10.6	14.0		
Race/Ethnic group											
African American		17.9	17.0					5.5	5.9		
Hispanic/Latino		26.7	27.5					9.6	12.8		
White, non-Hispanic		29.2	32.1					11.8	18.3		
Age											
11		4.9	7.0					1.4	2.2		
12		8.8	10.3					2.9	3.5		
13		17.5	16.8					6.3	7.5		
14		24.4	26.6					8.8	13.5		
15		31.5	31.5					11.7	16.7		
16		35.1	38.6					13.2	19.8		
17		37.0	39.2					13.8	20.6		
18		36.9	38.9					14.9	22.2		
Grade											
6th		6.9	8.7					2.5	3.0		
7th		14.1	14.1					5.1	6.0		
8th		22.8	22.6					7.8	10.2		
9th		28.8	29.9					10.7	16.1		
10th		33.7	36.0					13.4	18.7		
11th		36.8	38.4					12.6	19.8		
12th		36.9	40.2					14.5	22.6		
Middle School		14.6	15.1					5.1	6.4		
High School		33.9	36.0					12.8	19.2		
Total		25.8	27.1					9.6	13.7		

Electronic Vaporizer Use

Note: These items were replaced by questions distinguishing between nicotine vaping and marijuana vaping.

. . . . . . . . . . . . . .

						Mar	rijuana or	r Hashish	Use					
				Lifetime						Pa	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	21.9	22.1	21.4	21.0	21.0	18.1	15.3	10.6	11.7	10.9	11.0	10.6	9.1	7.1
Male	24.5	23.0	21.3	19.4	19.2	14.0	11.2	14.1	13.1	11.5	10.7	10.7	7.4	5.6
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	19.3	20.9	19.4	17.9	18.6	14.4	12.4	10.1	10.7	9.1	9.4	9.7	7.3	6.4
Hispanic/Latino	21.5	22.0	20.5	19.4	18.1	13.6	10.6	11.3	11.4	10.7	9.6	9.2	6.9	4.2
White, non-Hispanic	26.0	24.3	22.6	21.8	21.7	18.0	15.1	13.8	13.7	12.3	12.1	11.6	9.4	7.4
Age														
11	1.1	1.4	0.9	1.8	1.9	1.7	2.3	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.8	1.0	0.5	0.9
12	3.4	4.2	2.9	3.5	4.7	3.5	3.5	1.4	2.0	1.1	1.4	2.0	1.2	1.2
13	9.0	8.7	8.0	9.4	9.8	7.4	6.3	4.3	3.8	3.8	4.4	4.3	3.6	2.6
14	17.2	17.1	15.8	15.7	15.9	12.1	10.1	8.7	9.8	7.9	8.1	8.0	6.2	4.6
15	28.0	27.1	24.1	22.4	22.0	16.8	14.3	15.3	15.5	13.3	12.3	11.9	9.0	7.1
16	35.0	35.0	32.5	31.4	31.3	22.2	19.1	19.0	18.1	16.9	17.2	16.6	11.0	9.3
17	41.9	41.1	39.2	35.8	34.6	29.6	23.4	22.8	23.5	20.9	19.2	19.3	15.9	11.0
18	43.8	41.4	41.7	38.1	38.4	32.0	24.7	23.3	23.6	22.3	22.8	21.9	17.8	13.2
Grade														
6th	2.8	3.0	2.1	3.0	3.4	3.1	2.9	1.1	1.1	0.8	1.3	1.4	1.0	1.0
7th	7.5	7.6	6.5	6.8	7.5	5.5	5.3	3.8	3.7	3.0	3.1	3.3	2.5	1.9
8th	14.8	14.6	12.5	13.1	13.7	10.8	9.2	7.7	7.8	5.9	6.7	6.6	5.4	4.4
9th	24.4	23.6	21.1	18.8	18.8	14.0	11.5	13.2	13.5	11.6	9.7	9.8	7.4	5.8
10th	31.7	31.9	29.0	27.9	27.0	19.3	16.6	17.1	17.6	15.8	15.9	14.6	10.3	8.2
11th	39.2	37.5	37.3	33.9	34.3	26.6	22.0	21.6	20.4	19.6	18.2	19.7	13.6	10.4
12th	44.6	42.8	40.7	38.9	37.7	33.1	24.9	23.2	24.1	21.5	21.6	20.2	18.1	12.5
Middle School	8.3	8.4	7.0	7.6	8.2	6.6	5.9	4.2	4.2	3.2	3.7	3.8	3.0	2.4
High School	34.4	33.4	31.7	29.7	29.2	22.9	18.6	18.5	18.6	17.0	16.3	15.9	12.2	9.1
Total	23.2	22.6	21.3	20.2	20.1	16.0	13.2	12.4	12.4	11.2	10.9	10.7	8.3	6.3

Table 12. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used marijuana or hashish in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

. . . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . .

Table 13. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used marijuana or hashish, and number of occasions in past 30 days,2024

			Ν	/Iarijuana or Hash	ish		
			Number	of Occasions in Pas	st 30 Days		
	0	1-2	3-5	6-9	10-19	20-39	40+
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex							
Female	92.9	2.9	1.1	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.8
Male	94.4	2.0	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.5	1.0
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>							
African American	93.6	2.3	1.2	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.8
Hispanic/Latino	95.8	1.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.5
White, non-Hispanic	92.6	2.8	1.1	0.6	1.0	0.8	1.1
Age							
11	99.1	0.6	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
12	98.8	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
13	97.4	1.1	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.2
14	95.4	1.7	1.2	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.4
15	92.9	3.1	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.9
16	90.7	3.5	1.3	0.9	1.4	0.9	1.3
17	89.0	3.8	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.3	1.5
18	86.8	4.3	1.8	1.7	1.8	0.9	2.7
Grade							
6th	99.0	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
7th	98.1	0.9	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
8th	95.6	1.6	0.9	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.5
9th	94.2	2.5	1.0	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6
10th	91.8	3.1	1.1	0.9	1.1	0.8	1.2
11th	89.6	4.0	1.7	1.0	1.4	1.1	1.3
12th	87.5	4.2	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.2	2.3
Middle School	97.6	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2
High School	90.9	3.4	1.3	1.0	1.1	0.9	1.3
Total	93.7	2.4	1.0	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.9

Note: Percentages total to 100% across each row. Rounding can produce totals that do not equal 100%.

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

....

Table 14. Percentage of surveyed Florida <a href="https://www.high.com">https://www.high.com</a> youth who used synthetic marijuana in lifetime and past 30 days—2012to 2024

						Syn	tinene mi	anguana	USU					
				Lifetime						P	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	10.9	7.7	4.8	3.7	2.9	2.8	2.4	3.3	1.2	0.9	1.1	0.8	1.0	0.8
Male	15.2	10.0	5.0	3.3	2.9	2.2	1.5	5.3	1.6	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.6
Race/Ethnic group														
African American	5.7	4.7	3.1	2.0	1.5	1.3	0.7	2.2	0.9	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.8	0.6
Hispanic/Latino	9.1	7.7	4.8	3.7	2.7	2.3	1.9	3.8	2.0	1.2	1.2	0.7	0.9	0.6
White, non-Hispanic	17.5	11.0	5.6	4.1	3.5	3.2	2.3	5.3	1.4	0.8	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.8
Age														
11													!	
12													!	
13													/	
14	7.5	5.6	2.8	2.7	2.1	1.4	1.8	2.7	1.0	0.5	0.9	0.3	0.5	0.8
15	9.9	6.7	3.6	3.4	2.3	1.9	1.9	4.0	1.5	1.0	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.7
16	13.5	8.8	4.8	3.6	3.0	2.6	1.8	4.3	1.5	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.6
17	15.6	11.2	6.0	3.5	3.1	3.0	2.0	5.1	1.5	1.2	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.8
18	16.9	11.2	6.2	4.2	4.0	3.5	2.3	4.5	1.2	0.7	1.1	1.0	1.1	0.6
Grade														
6th													1	
7th													!	
8th													!	
9th	9.7	6.6	3.7	3.2	2.2	1.5	1.7	4.1	1.3	1.1	1.2	0.6	0.6	0.9
10th	11.8	8.4	4.7	3.5	2.7	2.4	1.9	3.9	1.6	1.1	1.2	0.9	0.9	0.7
11th	14.6	8.9	5.5	3.3	3.2	3.1	2.0	4.9	1.4	1.2	0.9	1.1	1.0	0.6
12th	16.7	12.2	5.9	4.2	3.5	3.1	2.3	4.4	1.3	0.7	1.1	0.9	0.9	0.7
Middle School														
High School	13.0	8.8	4.9	3.5	2.9	2.5	1.9	4.3	1.4	1.0	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.7
Total														

Synthetic Marijuana Use

. . . .

							Inhala	nt Use						
				Lifetime						Pa	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	8.9	7.2	6.1	6.7	7.7	6.6	5.5	3.0	2.3	1.9	2.1	2.4	1.9	1.9
Male	6.8	5.8	4.7	4.9	5.2	4.6	4.3	2.0	1.9	1.4	1.6	1.4	1.2	1.2
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	6.0	5.8	5.3	5.4	5.1	4.8	4.0	2.4	2.3	1.8	2.3	1.9	1.8	1.6
Hispanic/Latino	8.0	6.9	5.5	5.3	6.1	4.8	3.8	2.6	2.3	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.2
White, non-Hispanic	7.9	6.2	5.1	5.9	6.9	6.4	5.5	2.1	1.7	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.6	1.6
Age														
11	7.9	6.3	4.8	6.0	6.5	6.5	7.4	2.9	2.9	1.4	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.9
12	9.0	7.8	6.0	7.3	8.3	7.2	7.2	3.9	2.7	2.2	2.8	2.7	2.2	2.7
13	10.8	9.5	6.7	8.5	8.9	7.1	7.0	4.0	3.3	2.4	2.9	2.9	2.3	2.4
14	9.5	8.5	7.1	7.7	7.6	6.2	5.1	3.4	2.5	2.1	2.2	2.4	2.0	1.7
15	7.8	5.8	5.8	4.9	6.0	5.7	4.7	1.8	2.0	1.7	1.3	1.6	1.3	1.3
16	6.1	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.8	4.4	3.6	1.5	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.8	0.9
17	5.5	4.3	3.8	3.6	4.2	4.4	2.7	1.3	1.0	0.7	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8
18	5.4	3.5	3.3	3.4	4.6	3.4	2.2	1.1	0.5	1.0	1.0	1.3	0.9	0.5
Grade														
6th	8.3	7.1	5.4	6.7	7.3	7.0	7.0	3.6	2.8	1.8	2.9	2.6	2.3	2.8
7th	10.6	9.3	6.3	8.2	9.2	7.3	7.6	4.1	3.3	2.5	3.1	3.0	2.3	2.6
8th	10.7	9.6	7.6	8.3	8.4	7.1	6.1	3.7	3.1	2.5	2.5	2.8	2.2	2.0
9th	8.1	5.9	6.0	5.5	6.1	5.2	4.3	2.3	1.7	1.8	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.3
10th	6.1	5.3	5.0	4.4	5.3	5.1	4.1	1.5	1.7	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.1	1.1
11th	5.6	4.4	4.3	3.5	4.7	4.8	3.1	1.2	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.7
12th	5.4	3.7	3.0	3.7	4.3	3.2	2.2	1.2	0.7	0.7	0.9	1.1	1.0	0.6
Middle School	9.9	8.6	6.4	7.8	8.3	7.1	6.9	3.8	3.1	2.2	2.8	2.8	2.3	2.5
High School	6.4	4.9	4.6	4.3	5.1	4.6	3.5	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.9
Total	7.9	6.5	5.4	5.8	6.5	5.7	4.9	2.5	2.1	1.6	1.8	1.9	1.6	1.6

#### Table 15. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used inhalants in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

	Club Drug Use													
				Lifetime						Pa	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	3.2	2.8	1.9	1.2	1.3	1.0	0.6	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.2
Male	3.5	3.2	2.2	1.4	1.8	1.0	0.6	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.2
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	1.3	1.4	1.2	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.2
Hispanic/Latino	3.6	3.1	2.1	1.2	1.4	0.7	0.4	1.2	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.1
White, non-Hispanic	3.8	3.5	2.3	1.5	1.8	1.3	0.6	1.1	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.1
Age														
11	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0
12	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2
13	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.6	1.0	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.2
14	2.3	2.4	1.3	1.0	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2
15	3.3	3.3	2.3	1.3	1.6	0.8	0.7	1.1	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.2
16	5.1	4.1	2.9	1.6	2.0	1.4	0.9	1.6	1.1	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.3	0.3
17	6.7	5.4	3.6	2.0	2.6	1.8	0.9	1.9	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.2
18	7.6	6.9	4.5	3.3	3.2	2.3	0.8	2.3	1.3	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.1
Grade														
6th	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
7th	0.9	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2
8th	1.9	2.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.2
9th	3.1	2.7	2.0	1.0	1.2	0.8	0.5	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.1
10th	4.2	4.0	2.7	1.5	1.7	1.0	0.8	1.4	1.1	0.7	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.4
11th	5.7	4.9	3.4	1.8	2.3	1.7	0.9	1.7	1.1	1.1	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.1
12th	7.8	6.7	4.2	2.8	3.3	2.2	0.9	2.2	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.1
Middle School	1.1	1.1	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2
High School	5.1	4.5	3.0	1.8	2.1	1.4	0.8	1.5	1.0	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.2
Total	3.4	3.0	2.1	1.3	1.5	1.0	0.6	1.1	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.2

Table 16. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used club drugs in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

Note: The survey question asks about the use of "club drugs" such as Ecstasy, Rohypnol, GHB, or ketamine.

Table 17. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used LSD, PCP or hallucinogenic mushrooms in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

				Lifetime			Past 30 Days							
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	2.8	2.8	2.7	2.3	2.5	2.6	2.3	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5
Male	4.3	4.3	3.7	3.1	3.4	2.5	2.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	0.9	1.2	0.7	0.6
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	1.0	1.1	1.1	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.0	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4
Hispanic/Latino	2.9	3.1	2.8	2.3	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.4
White, non-Hispanic	4.5	4.7	4.2	3.6	4.1	3.6	2.7	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.0	1.2	0.9	0.6
Age														
11	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
12	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3
13	1.1	1.4	1.1	0.9	1.3	1.1	1.2	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.3
14	2.6	2.6	2.0	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.4	0.8	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4
15	3.9	4.3	3.7	2.7	3.1	2.1	2.3	1.1	1.2	1.1	0.9	1.0	0.5	0.7
16	4.8	5.1	5.2	4.1	4.5	3.9	3.1	1.3	1.5	1.7	1.2	1.8	0.9	0.7
17	6.7	6.6	5.8	4.8	5.3	5.2	3.8	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.2	0.9
18	7.4	6.9	6.8	6.5	6.9	6.4	3.9	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.6	2.0	1.3	0.7
Grade														
6th	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2
7th	1.1	1.2	0.8	0.7	1.0	0.7	1.0	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4
8th	2.5	2.4	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.5	0.9	1.1	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5
9th	3.7	3.5	2.8	2.0	2.4	1.7	1.8	1.1	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.4
10th	4.1	5.0	4.5	3.7	3.4	3.0	2.5	1.1	1.6	1.4	1.1	1.3	0.8	0.8
11th	5.6	5.8	5.8	4.3	5.1	4.3	3.8	1.4	1.4	1.6	1.1	1.4	1.0	0.9
12th	7.6	7.2	6.4	6.1	6.7	6.4	3.9	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.6	2.0	1.1	0.6
Middle School	1.4	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3
High School	5.1	5.3	4.8	4.0	4.3	3.8	3.0	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.1	1.3	0.9	0.7
Total	3.5	3.6	3.2	2.7	2.9	2.6	2.2	1.0	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.5

LSD, PCP or Hallucinogenic Mushroom Use

	Cocaine or Crack Cocaine Use														
				Lifetime				Past 30 Days							
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Sex															
Female	1.9	1.6	1.6	1.3	1.3	0.9	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.1	
Male	2.6	2.1	1.9	1.6	1.4	0.8	0.5	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.2	
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>															
African American	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	
Hispanic/Latino	2.6	2.3	1.8	1.7	1.5	0.7	0.4	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	
White, non-Hispanic	2.5	2.1	2.1	1.7	1.5	1.1	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	
Age															
11	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.2	0.0	
12	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	
13	1.1	1.2	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.2	
14	1.8	1.2	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	
15	2.3	1.5	1.8	1.1	1.1	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.1	
16	3.1	2.3	2.4	1.6	1.7	1.0	0.6	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.3	0.3	
17	3.5	3.7	2.8	2.3	2.0	1.4	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.2	
18	4.7	3.9	4.4	3.8	3.0	2.1	0.8	1.4	1.1	1.4	0.9	0.7	0.9	0.5	
Grade															
6th	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.0	
7th	1.0	1.1	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	
8th	1.7	1.2	1.0	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	
9th	2.4	1.5	1.5	0.9	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1	
10th	2.5	1.9	2.0	1.4	1.4	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.3	
11th	3.4	3.0	2.8	1.7	1.9	1.3	0.7	1.0	0.8	0.9	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.2	
12th	4.4	4.1	3.8	3.6	2.7	1.6	0.8	1.3	1.1	1.2	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.3	
Middle School	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	
High School	3.1	2.5	2.5	1.9	1.7	1.0	0.6	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.2	
Total	2.3	1.9	1.8	1.4	1.3	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	

Table 18. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used cocaine or crack cocaine in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

....

	Methamphetamine Use														
				Lifetime				Past 30 Days							
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
Sex															
Female	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	
Male	1.1	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>															
African American	0.8	0.9	0.6	1.0	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	
Hispanic/Latino	1.1	1.2	0.7	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	
White, non-Hispanic	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2	
Age															
11	0.6	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	
12	0.9	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.4	
13	0.9	1.0	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.3	
14	1.2	0.9	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	
15	0.9	1.2	0.8	0.6	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.4	
16	1.4	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	
17	0.9	1.3	0.6	0.6	1.1	0.9	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.2	
18	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.4	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.4	0.3	0.2	
Grade															
6th	1.0	0.7	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	
7th	0.9	1.0	0.5	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.4	
8th	1.1	1.0	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	
9th	1.0	1.1	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4	
10th	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	
11th	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.5	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.2	
12th	1.1	1.3	0.8	1.1	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.1	
Middle School	1.0	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	
High School	1.1	1.1	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	
Total	1.0	1.0	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	

 Table 19. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used methamphetamine in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

. . . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . .

	Depressant Use													
				Lifetime						Р	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	5.2	5.1	5.3	4.4	4.2	2.8	1.8	1.6	1.8	2.0	1.4	1.0	0.8	0.5
Male	4.1	3.6	4.1	4.3	3.2	1.9	1.1	1.5	1.2	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.6	0.3
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	1.1	1.7	2.0	2.4	1.7	1.2	0.6	0.4	0.8	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.3	0.3
Hispanic/Latino	4.3	4.2	4.7	3.7	3.2	1.8	1.2	1.5	1.4	1.7	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.5
White, non-Hispanic	6.2	5.4	5.8	5.4	4.7	3.1	1.9	2.1	1.8	2.0	1.6	1.2	0.9	0.3
Age														
11	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.9	1.0	0.5	0.7	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2
12	1.0	1.0	1.4	1.4	1.9	1.1	1.1	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.3	0.3
13	1.8	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.5	1.9	1.5	0.6	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	0.5	0.5
14	3.2	3.5	3.8	4.1	3.2	2.1	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.6	1.4	1.0	0.7	0.3
15	4.8	5.1	5.5	4.9	3.8	2.4	1.5	1.9	2.2	2.3	1.7	1.3	0.7	0.5
16	7.0	6.6	6.6	6.5	5.3	3.2	1.8	2.4	1.7	2.3	1.7	1.4	0.8	0.5
17	9.0	7.2	7.8	6.5	5.7	3.8	1.7	2.6	2.7	2.8	1.3	1.1	1.2	0.4
18	8.6	7.3	8.0	7.4	5.8	3.3	2.0	2.4	1.9	2.9	2.1	1.5	0.8	0.1
Grade														
6th	0.9	0.8	1.0	1.1	1.4	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.3
7th	1.4	1.8	1.9	2.1	2.1	1.8	1.3	0.6	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5
8th	3.0	3.0	3.6	3.9	3.0	1.8	1.5	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.1	0.5	0.5
9th	4.5	4.3	4.6	4.3	3.6	2.4	1.3	1.5	1.8	1.8	1.5	0.9	0.7	0.4
10th	5.8	6.2	6.4	5.8	4.8	2.7	1.5	2.2	2.1	2.4	1.7	1.6	0.8	0.4
11th	7.9	6.9	7.7	6.4	5.4	3.4	1.8	2.4	2.1	2.8	1.4	1.2	1.0	0.5
12th	9.6	7.8	7.7	7.3	6.1	3.8	1.9	2.5	2.5	2.7	1.8	1.3	1.0	0.2
Middle School	1.8	1.9	2.2	2.3	2.2	1.5	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.4
High School	6.8	6.2	6.5	5.9	4.9	3.1	1.6	2.1	2.1	2.4	1.6	1.2	0.8	0.4
Total	4.6	4.3	4.7	4.4	3.7	2.4	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.8	1.3	1.0	0.7	0.4

#### Table 20. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used depressants in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

Note: In 2018, the wording of the depressant use items was changed to more clearly specify non-medical use. As a result of these changes, please exercise caution when comparing to results from earlier years.

. . .

	Heroin Use													
				Lifetime						Pa	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
Male	0.8	0.8	0.4	0.4	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1
Hispanic/Latino	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
White, non-Hispanic	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
Age														
11	0.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0
12	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.1
13	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1
14	0.8	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
15	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.0
16	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
17	0.8	0.9	0.3	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.2
18	0.8	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1
Grade														
6th	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1
7th	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0
8th	0.8	0.9	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2
9th	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1
10th	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
11th	0.9	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2
12th	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.0
Middle School	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1
High School	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.1
Total	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1

#### Table 21. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used heroin in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024
\*\*\*\*

Table 22. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used prescription pain relievers in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to2024

				Lifetime		Treser	iption 1 t		ci ese	р	ast 30 Da	VS		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	7.0	5.9	5.3	4.4	3.7	3.3	1.9	2.6	2.4	2.2	1.5	1.3	1.3	0.6
Male	5.9	5.1	4.2	3.6	2.5	2.2	1.5	2.0	1.8	1.5	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	3.7	3.4	3.7	3.1	2.1	2.0	1.0	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.6
Hispanic/Latino	5.8	5.2	4.6	3.8	3.0	2.5	1.6	2.5	2.1	2.0	1.4	1.1	0.9	0.6
White, non-Hispanic	7.9	6.3	5.2	4.5	3.3	3.1	1.9	2.3	2.1	1.7	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.6
Age														
11	2.2	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.8	2.9	1.4	1.3	0.5	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.8
12	2.7	2.0	2.8	2.0	2.9	3.1	1.5	1.2	1.0	1.4	0.8	1.2	1.0	0.5
13	4.0	3.6	3.6	2.8	3.1	3.0	1.6	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.0	1.4	1.3	0.5
14	5.0	4.9	4.6	3.9	3.4	2.8	1.8	2.0	2.4	2.0	1.4	1.2	1.1	0.9
15	6.8	6.6	5.2	4.7	2.7	2.8	1.6	2.8	2.6	2.3	1.7	1.2	1.1	0.5
16	8.8	7.2	6.1	4.9	3.4	2.2	2.0	2.9	2.7	2.1	1.4	0.9	0.9	0.6
17	10.7	8.4	5.9	5.2	3.2	2.9	1.8	2.9	2.6	1.9	1.2	1.0	0.8	0.4
18	10.0	7.4	7.0	6.4	3.9	2.5	1.8	2.8	2.1	1.9	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.4
Grade														
6th	2.5	1.8	2.4	1.8	2.2	3.0	1.2	1.4	0.8	1.1	0.7	0.9	1.0	0.5
7th	3.7	3.4	3.2	2.6	3.2	3.3	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.0	1.4	1.2	0.7
8th	4.7	3.6	4.2	3.6	3.3	2.8	1.8	2.0	1.8	1.9	1.3	1.3	1.2	0.9
9th	6.4	6.2	5.1	4.2	3.0	2.7	1.7	2.5	2.7	2.3	1.6	1.3	1.0	0.5
10th	7.7	7.5	5.6	5.0	3.0	2.6	1.7	2.8	3.1	2.0	1.7	0.9	1.0	0.7
11th	9.9	7.4	6.3	5.1	3.5	2.3	2.1	2.9	2.1	2.0	1.2	1.0	0.7	0.4
12th	10.5	8.3	6.4	5.8	3.4	2.7	1.6	2.9	2.4	1.8	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.4
Middle School	3.6	3.0	3.3	2.6	2.9	3.0	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.6	1.0	1.2	1.1	0.7
High School	8.5	7.3	5.8	5.0	3.2	2.6	1.8	2.8	2.6	2.0	1.4	1.0	0.9	0.5
Total	6.4	5.5	4.8	4.0	3.1	2.8	1.7	2.3	2.1	1.8	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.6

**Prescription Pain Reliever Use** 

Note: In 2024, the wording of the prescription pain reliver use items was changed to include drug names that are prescribed more frequently. As a result of these changes, please exercise caution when comparing to results from earlier years.

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

....

Table 23. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used over-the-counter drugs in order to get high in lifetime and past 30days—2012 to 2024

				Lifetime		0,01	1110 000		5 0 00	Р	ast 30 Da	VS		
	2012 %	2014 %	2016 %	2018 %	2020 %	2022 %	2024 %	2012 %	2014 %	2016 %	2018 %	2020 %	2022 %	2024 %
Sex														
Female	5.9	5.1	4.7	4.3	3.9	3.2	2.2	2.5	2.3	2.0	1.7	1.4	1.1	0.7
Male	5.2	4.8	4.2	4.1	3.5	2.4	1.6	2.0	1.8	1.9	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.7
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	4.6	4.8	3.9	4.0	2.9	2.1	1.6	2.5	2.4	1.7	2.0	1.5	1.1	0.8
Hispanic/Latino	5.7	4.4	4.2	3.7	3.3	2.3	1.1	2.4	2.0	1.9	1.5	1.0	0.8	0.5
White, non-Hispanic	5.7	5.0	4.6	4.3	3.9	3.2	2.2	2.0	1.8	2.0	1.5	1.2	1.2	0.7
Age														
11	2.7	1.9	1.9	1.6	1.8	1.7	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.0	0.6	0.9	1.0	0.4
12	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.4	2.2	1.7	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.8	0.6
13	4.1	3.4	3.3	3.5	3.3	2.8	2.0	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.7	1.2	0.7
14	5.0	4.9	4.6	5.0	4.0	3.2	1.6	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.2	1.6	1.3	0.8
15	6.0	6.1	5.1	4.6	4.8	2.7	2.2	2.7	3.0	2.3	1.6	1.5	0.9	0.9
16	7.3	5.9	5.6	5.2	4.1	3.2	2.6	3.0	2.1	2.1	2.1	1.2	1.0	0.9
17	7.6	6.4	5.6	4.8	4.1	3.3	1.9	2.3	2.1	2.0	1.8	1.0	0.9	0.5
18	8.4	7.2	5.5	5.4	4.8	2.8	1.7	2.7	2.6	2.1	1.2	1.1	1.1	0.4
Grade														
6th	2.8	2.5	2.3	2.0	2.3	2.0	1.2	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.2	0.5
7th	3.9	3.2	3.0	3.2	2.6	2.3	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.6	1.4	1.3	0.8	0.7
8th	4.6	4.5	4.3	4.5	4.0	3.1	2.2	2.2	2.2	2.5	1.8	1.8	1.3	1.0
9th	5.9	5.3	4.8	4.4	4.1	2.9	1.7	2.8	2.5	2.2	1.9	1.7	1.0	0.9
10th	6.6	6.8	5.7	5.6	4.4	3.0	2.3	2.5	2.9	2.5	2.3	1.3	1.1	0.8
11th	7.8	6.2	5.6	4.8	4.3	3.5	2.5	3.0	2.1	1.9	1.6	1.0	1.0	0.7
12th	7.5	6.6	5.1	4.9	4.4	2.7	1.5	2.2	2.1	1.7	1.3	1.0	0.7	0.3
Middle School	3.7	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.0	2.5	1.7	1.7	1.6	1.8	1.4	1.4	1.1	0.7
High School	6.9	6.1	5.3	4.9	4.3	3.0	2.0	2.6	2.4	2.1	1.8	1.3	1.0	0.7
Total	5.5	5.0	4.4	4.2	3.7	2.8	1.9	2.2	2.1	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.0	0.7

**Over-The-Counter Drug Use** 

. . . . . . . . . . .

Table 24. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used prescription amphetamines in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to2024

						110301	iption Ai	пристани	inc Use					
				Lifetime						P	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	3.4	3.5	3.3	2.6	3.7	3.2	2.2	1.0	1.1	1.1	0.8	1.1	1.1	0.6
Male	3.1	3.2	3.2	2.6	3.2	2.3	1.4	1.0	1.1	1.2	0.7	1.1	0.8	0.4
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.9	1.8	0.9	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.9	0.7	0.4
Hispanic/Latino	2.3	2.8	2.5	1.9	2.5	2.0	1.5	0.7	1.2	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.7	0.4
White, non-Hispanic	4.5	4.3	4.3	3.6	4.3	3.5	2.4	1.4	1.3	1.5	0.9	1.3	1.1	0.6
Age														
11	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.6	1.1	1.4	0.9	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.7	0.8	0.3
12	0.8	0.7	1.0	0.9	1.3	1.4	1.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.3
13	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.6	2.7	2.0	1.5	0.4	0.6	0.6	0.5	1.0	0.7	0.6
14	1.9	2.1	2.2	2.1	3.3	2.9	1.9	0.8	0.9	0.8	0.8	1.5	1.1	0.7
15	2.9	3.6	3.2	2.5	3.8	2.6	2.1	1.1	1.3	1.3	0.8	1.3	1.1	0.6
16	4.8	4.7	4.9	3.6	4.4	3.3	1.9	1.5	1.5	1.8	1.0	1.3	0.9	0.4
17	6.7	6.9	5.6	4.3	4.9	4.5	2.5	1.8	2.1	2.1	1.1	1.0	1.2	0.6
18	7.1	6.6	6.9	5.6	5.7	3.8	1.8	1.7	2.1	1.8	0.8	1.6	1.3	0.3
Grade														
6th	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.1	1.4	0.9	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.2
7th	1.2	1.0	1.2	1.0	2.0	1.7	1.4	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.6
8th	1.5	1.4	1.8	1.9	3.2	2.7	2.0	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.6	1.4	1.0	0.7
9th	2.4	3.1	2.6	2.3	3.4	2.5	1.9	1.0	1.1	0.9	0.9	1.5	1.1	0.7
10th	4.2	4.5	4.2	3.1	4.3	3.1	2.0	1.4	1.4	1.6	0.9	1.4	1.2	0.5
11th	5.4	5.4	5.8	3.9	5.1	3.6	1.8	1.6	1.7	2.1	1.0	1.4	0.9	0.5
12th	7.8	7.7	6.4	5.4	5.1	4.5	2.4	1.9	2.5	1.9	1.0	1.2	1.3	0.4
Middle School	1.1	1.0	1.3	1.2	2.1	1.9	1.5	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.8	0.7	0.5
High School	4.8	5.1	4.7	3.6	4.5	3.4	2.0	1.5	1.7	1.6	1.0	1.3	1.1	0.5
Total	3.2	3.3	3.2	2.6	3.4	2.8	1.8	1.0	1.2	1.2	0.8	1.1	0.9	0.5

**Prescription Amphetamine Use** 

. . . . . . . . . . .

Table 25. Percentage of surveyed Florida <a href="https://www.high.com">https://www.high.com</a> youth who used a needle to inject an illegal drug in lifetime—2016 to 2024

		Needle t	o Inject Ill	egal Drug		
	_		Lifetime		_	
		2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
		%	%	%	%	%
Sex						
Female		0.6	0.5	0.6	0.4	0.5
Male		0.8	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.6
Race/Ethnic group						
African American		0.6	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.5
Hispanic/Latino		0.6	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.4
White, non-Hispanic		0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
Age						
11						
12						
13						
14		0.4	0.7	0.6	0.3	0.8
15		0.7	0.5	0.8	0.6	0.7
16		0.9	0.5	0.8	0.6	0.5
17		0.7	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.6
18		0.7	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.2
Grade						
6th						
7th						
8th						
9th		0.6	0.6	0.8	0.4	0.7
10th		1.0	0.7	0.6	0.8	0.5
11th		0.7	0.7	0.9	0.5	0.6
12th		0.7	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.4
Middle School						
High School		0.8	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6
Total						

							Any Illi	cit Drug						
				Lifetime						Р	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	31.6	30.7	29.0	28.7	31.0	27.3	23.3	16.3	16.4	15.1	15.0	15.5	14.0	11.2
Male	31.8	29.4	26.4	25.2	25.6	20.5	17.2	18.0	16.3	14.2	13.4	14.1	10.7	8.3
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	27.5	27.9	26.1	24.7	25.8	21.5	18.6	14.9	14.4	12.6	13.4	13.6	11.6	9.9
Hispanic/Latino	30.4	29.7	26.9	25.7	26.5	21.6	16.9	16.3	15.7	14.4	12.8	13.4	11.0	7.3
White, non-Hispanic	33.8	31.3	28.6	28.4	29.6	26.1	22.2	18.0	17.5	15.4	15.1	15.3	13.2	10.6
Age														
11	12.0	9.6	8.0	9.5	10.7	11.8	11.7	5.3	4.7	3.2	4.4	4.7	5.0	5.0
12	14.1	12.9	11.9	12.2	15.3	14.0	13.3	6.7	5.7	5.6	5.6	6.6	5.9	5.3
13	21.0	19.5	17.0	18.8	20.8	17.5	15.5	9.8	8.9	8.0	8.8	9.5	8.4	6.4
14	27.0	26.6	23.7	24.1	26.3	21.1	17.6	14.2	14.3	12.2	12.0	13.0	10.9	8.8
15	35.5	34.0	30.0	29.2	29.5	24.6	21.2	20.4	19.8	16.9	15.6	16.2	12.9	10.4
16	41.4	40.4	37.0	36.4	37.7	28.5	24.0	23.1	21.6	19.8	20.3	20.1	14.7	12.6
17	47.8	46.1	43.1	39.4	39.6	35.5	28.1	26.8	26.6	23.4	21.5	22.0	19.2	13.6
18	49.4	45.0	44.8	41.8	43.7	36.4	29.3	27.1	26.6	24.5	24.5	25.9	20.7	15.1
Grade														
6th	13.2	12.0	10.2	11.0	13.1	13.6	12.2	6.3	5.4	4.6	5.4	5.7	6.2	5.1
7th	19.3	17.3	15.1	16.5	18.3	15.8	15.2	9.3	8.3	7.4	7.6	8.4	7.0	6.2
8th	25.7	24.4	21.6	22.0	24.6	20.6	17.8	13.2	12.3	10.5	10.6	12.2	10.2	8.3
9th	32.8	31.1	27.3	25.9	27.5	21.6	18.1	18.4	17.6	15.2	13.3	13.9	11.4	9.0
10th	38.3	38.4	34.5	34.3	33.6	26.7	22.7	21.4	21.8	19.1	19.3	18.5	14.3	11.5
11th	45.5	42.4	41.3	37.3	40.0	32.6	26.5	25.7	23.5	22.4	20.4	22.9	16.6	13.4
12th	49.4	47.0	44.0	42.3	42.7	37.6	29.6	26.9	27.0	23.7	23.5	23.2	21.2	14.5
Middle School	19.4	17.9	15.7	16.5	18.7	16.7	15.1	9.6	8.7	7.5	7.9	8.8	7.8	6.6
High School	41.0	39.3	36.4	34.8	35.7	29.3	24.0	22.9	22.3	20.0	19.0	19.5	15.7	12.0
Total	31.7	30.0	27.7	26.9	28.3	23.9	20.2	17.2	16.4	14.7	14.3	14.8	12.3	9.7

Table 26. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used any illicit drug in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

Note: In 2008, on the middle school questionnaire, a reduced set of items was used to measure the use of club drugs, cocaine, and hallucinogens. In 2010, this reduced item set was adopted by the high school questionnaire. In 2008, the middle school questionnaire began to measure the illicit use of over-the-counter drugs. These items were added to the high school questionnaire in 2010. In 2011, the high school questionnaire began to measure the use of synthetic marijuana. In 2016, the artificial stimulant "flakka" was added to the high school questionnaire. In 2018, the wording of the depressant use items was changed to more clearly specify non-medical use. In 2020, flakka and steroids were removed and vaping marijuana was added. As a result of these changes, please exercise caution when comparing results from different years.

Table 27. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used *any illicit drug other than marijuana* in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

				Lifetime			U		U	Р	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	19.7	17.9	16.4	15.5	15.9	14.0	11.0	8.6	7.9	7.3	6.5	6.0	5.2	4.1
Male	17.8	16.4	14.2	13.7	12.7	10.4	8.7	7.7	7.0	6.2	5.1	5.0	4.0	3.2
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	13.5	12.8	12.3	12.0	10.9	9.5	7.3	6.7	6.2	5.7	6.0	4.9	4.6	3.5
Hispanic/Latino	18.9	16.9	15.2	13.7	13.1	10.8	8.2	8.5	7.5	7.0	5.3	4.9	4.1	3.1
White, non-Hispanic	20.2	18.5	16.3	15.9	15.6	14.0	11.2	8.0	7.6	6.8	5.8	5.5	5.0	3.8
Age														
11	11.6	8.7	7.5	8.7	9.2	10.4	9.7	5.2	4.3	3.1	4.1	4.1	4.6	4.3
12	12.5	11.2	10.5	10.7	12.2	11.1	10.3	5.9	4.6	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.4	4.3
13	16.3	15.2	12.9	13.8	14.4	12.3	10.5	6.9	6.7	5.8	5.9	6.1	5.2	4.2
14	17.8	16.9	15.3	15.4	14.5	12.6	9.7	8.2	7.9	7.2	6.4	5.9	5.4	4.1
15	19.0	17.9	16.7	15.3	14.0	11.6	10.0	8.8	8.6	7.8	5.7	5.6	4.4	3.9
16	22.0	19.0	17.6	17.0	15.2	12.1	9.3	9.6	8.4	7.7	6.7	5.7	4.2	3.0
17	24.3	22.9	19.0	16.2	15.9	14.4	9.8	9.3	9.1	7.5	6.0	5.2	4.6	2.9
18	24.5	21.8	19.8	18.3	17.7	13.2	9.5	10.0	8.1	8.5	5.9	6.3	4.3	2.6
Grade														
6th	12.1	10.5	9.2	9.8	10.7	11.3	9.5	5.8	4.8	4.2	4.8	4.6	5.0	4.1
7th	15.4	14.0	11.7	13.0	13.5	11.7	10.8	6.7	6.1	5.7	5.6	5.7	4.7	4.6
8th	18.3	16.7	15.1	15.1	15.2	13.0	10.9	8.3	7.6	6.8	6.2	6.6	5.4	4.6
9th	18.8	16.8	15.6	14.4	13.6	11.1	9.2	8.6	7.8	7.2	5.8	5.1	4.5	3.6
10th	19.5	19.5	17.8	17.1	14.3	12.1	8.9	8.8	9.4	7.9	6.7	5.7	4.5	3.1
11th	23.3	20.2	18.6	15.7	16.1	13.0	9.7	9.5	7.8	7.8	5.7	5.3	4.1	3.4
12th	24.9	23.0	19.2	17.6	17.0	13.9	9.9	9.6	9.0	7.7	6.0	5.7	4.4	2.3
Middle School	15.3	13.7	12.0	12.6	13.2	12.0	10.5	6.9	6.2	5.6	5.5	5.7	5.0	4.4
High School	21.5	19.7	17.7	16.2	15.2	12.5	9.4	9.1	8.5	7.7	6.0	5.4	4.4	3.1
Total	18.8	17.1	15.3	14.6	14.3	12.3	9.9	8.2	7.5	6.8	5.8	5.5	4.7	3.7

Any Illicit Drug Other Than Marijuana

Note: In 2008, on the middle school questionnaire, a reduced set of items was used to measure the use of club drugs, cocaine, and hallucinogens. In 2010, this reduced item set was adopted by the high school questionnaire. In 2008, the middle school questionnaire began to measure the illicit use of over-the-counter drugs. These items were added to the high school questionnaire in 2010. In 2011, the high school questionnaire began to measure the use of synthetic marijuana. In 2016, the artificial stimulant "flakka" was added to the high school questionnaire. In 2018, the wording of the depressant use items was changed to more clearly specify non-medical use. In 2020, flakka and steroids were removed and vaping marijuana was added. As a result of these changes, please exercise caution when comparing results from different years.

## 2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

							Alcoho	ol Only						
				Lifetime						Pa	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	22.1	19.5	18.1	16.8	15.3	14.8	14.2	14.7	11.7	11.1	9.0	8.6	7.2	6.9
Male	19.9	17.8	17.0	15.6	14.3	13.8	12.8	12.7	10.1	9.1	7.4	7.0	5.6	5.2
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	18.8	14.6	13.7	12.4	11.3	10.4	8.8	10.3	7.8	7.3	5.1	5.1	3.5	3.4
Hispanic/Latino	23.1	21.5	20.3	18.6	16.5	15.9	14.5	15.1	12.9	10.8	9.1	7.8	6.2	5.8
White, non-Hispanic	21.2	19.4	18.3	17.0	15.9	15.2	15.3	14.9	12.2	11.4	9.6	9.3	7.9	7.5
Age														
11	9.5	8.0	7.3	7.2	8.5	8.9	8.5	4.4	2.8	1.8	1.8	2.8	2.4	2.0
12	13.2	11.6	10.2	9.4	10.6	10.2	9.8	5.0	4.3	3.7	2.8	4.0	3.6	3.2
13	17.2	15.7	14.1	12.8	12.7	12.7	12.8	9.3	7.3	6.1	5.0	5.2	4.7	4.7
14	23.6	19.4	17.8	17.0	15.5	15.2	13.7	12.0	10.2	8.4	7.5	7.1	5.6	5.1
15	24.5	20.8	19.6	17.2	17.1	15.0	13.1	16.3	11.7	10.9	8.2	8.5	6.6	5.6
16	25.5	22.5	20.5	20.1	15.6	17.0	14.9	17.8	15.0	12.0	11.0	9.9	8.4	7.5
17	24.5	23.0	22.9	21.9	18.5	16.0	16.2	20.7	16.4	17.2	13.6	11.4	8.6	9.2
18	23.4	23.9	21.7	19.5	17.2	17.2	17.7	21.6	17.9	18.0	14.2	12.4	10.5	10.3
Grade														
6th	10.7	9.9	8.4	7.6	9.2	8.9	9.1	4.5	3.5	2.9	2.2	3.5	2.8	2.6
7th	16.6	13.3	12.6	11.6	11.9	11.8	11.6	7.9	5.8	4.6	3.7	4.8	4.5	4.2
8th	20.8	18.7	16.3	14.7	14.4	14.2	13.6	11.4	9.4	8.2	7.0	6.4	5.3	5.3
9th	24.4	20.7	19.1	18.9	16.4	15.2	12.5	15.0	11.4	9.5	7.6	7.5	6.0	4.7
10th	25.2	21.1	20.3	17.9	16.4	16.3	14.4	17.0	13.5	11.6	10.1	9.1	6.8	6.7
11th	25.1	23.1	21.4	21.8	16.6	16.8	16.3	18.8	15.2	15.0	12.1	10.1	9.4	8.4
12th	24.1	24.2	23.4	20.7	19.1	16.6	16.7	22.2	18.5	18.3	14.8	13.5	9.9	10.3
Middle School	16.0	14.0	12.5	11.3	11.9	11.7	11.5	7.9	6.3	5.2	4.3	4.9	4.2	4.1
High School	24.7	22.2	21.0	19.8	17.1	16.2	14.9	18.1	14.5	13.4	11.1	10.0	8.0	7.4
Total	21.0	18.6	17.5	16.2	14.8	14.3	13.4	13.7	10.9	10.0	8.2	7.7	6.4	6.0

 Table 28. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used alcohol only in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

Note: In 2008, on the middle school questionnaire, a reduced set of items was used to measure the use of club drugs, cocaine, and hallucinogens. In 2010, this reduced item set was adopted by the high school questionnaire. In 2008, the middle school questionnaire began to measure the illicit use of over-the-counter drugs. These items were added to the high school questionnaire in 2010. In 2011, the high school questionnaire began to measure the use of synthetic marijuana. In 2016, the artificial stimulant "flakka" was added to the high school questionnaire. In 2018, the wording of the depressant use items was changed to more clearly specify non-medical use. In 2020, flakka and steroids were removed and vaping marijuana was added. As a result of these changes, please exercise caution when comparing results from different years.

. . . . . . .

						Alco	hol Or A	ny Illicit I	Drug					
				Lifetime						Р	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	53.6	50.1	46.8	45.2	46.1	42.0	37.3	30.7	27.9	25.8	23.7	23.9	21.0	17.9
Male	51.4	47.0	43.1	40.5	39.6	34.0	29.8	30.2	26.1	22.9	20.4	20.8	16.1	13.4
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	46.1	42.3	39.4	36.8	36.6	31.7	27.2	24.6	21.6	19.4	17.8	18.3	15.0	13.2
Hispanic/Latino	53.3	51.1	46.8	44.0	42.7	37.2	31.2	31.0	28.1	24.7	21.7	21.0	17.0	13.0
White, non-Hispanic	54.9	50.6	46.7	45.3	45.3	41.2	37.3	32.7	29.5	26.6	24.4	24.5	21.0	18.1
Age														
11	21.4	17.4	14.9	16.5	19.0	20.6	20.0	9.6	7.3	5.1	6.2	7.6	7.4	6.9
12	27.2	24.3	21.7	21.3	25.6	24.0	22.9	11.6	9.9	9.0	8.3	10.5	9.3	8.4
13	38.0	35.1	30.8	31.3	33.4	29.9	28.2	19.0	16.1	13.9	13.7	14.5	12.9	11.1
14	50.4	45.9	41.1	40.8	41.6	36.2	31.1	25.9	24.3	20.2	19.3	20.0	16.3	13.8
15	59.8	54.8	49.5	46.2	46.0	39.6	34.2	36.3	31.1	27.4	23.4	24.4	19.3	15.9
16	66.7	62.7	57.4	56.3	52.8	45.4	38.7	40.3	36.1	31.3	30.7	29.7	23.0	19.9
17	72.1	69.0	65.8	61.1	58.0	51.0	44.0	46.8	42.7	40.2	34.3	33.2	27.5	22.5
18	72.6	68.8	66.3	61.1	60.5	53.3	46.6	48.1	44.0	41.7	37.5	37.8	31.0	25.1
Grade														
6th	23.9	21.7	18.2	18.4	22.1	22.4	21.1	10.7	8.9	7.3	7.6	9.1	8.9	7.7
7th	35.8	30.4	27.4	27.7	30.1	27.3	26.6	17.1	14.0	11.9	11.2	13.1	11.3	10.3
8th	46.3	43.0	37.6	36.4	38.8	34.6	31.3	24.5	21.6	18.3	17.5	18.5	15.2	13.7
9th	57.1	51.7	46.2	44.6	43.4	36.6	30.3	33.0	28.6	24.3	20.5	21.1	17.3	13.5
10th	63.4	59.5	54.5	52.0	49.6	42.9	36.9	38.1	34.8	30.2	28.8	27.2	21.1	18.1
11th	70.4	65.5	62.4	58.8	56.3	49.0	42.6	43.9	38.6	36.8	31.9	32.8	25.8	21.5
12th	73.4	71.0	67.4	62.8	61.5	53.9	46.0	48.6	45.1	41.7	37.3	36.3	30.9	24.7
Middle School	35.3	31.7	27.8	27.5	30.4	28.2	26.4	17.4	14.8	12.5	12.1	13.6	11.9	10.6
High School	65.6	61.4	57.2	54.4	52.4	45.3	38.7	40.4	36.3	32.9	29.5	29.1	23.5	19.3
Total	52.5	48.5	44.8	42.9	42.8	38.0	33.5	30.5	27.0	24.3	22.0	22.3	18.5	15.6

Table 29. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used *alcohol or any illicit drug* in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

Note: In 2008, on the middle school questionnaire, a reduced set of items was used to measure the use of club drugs, cocaine, and hallucinogens. In 2010, this reduced item set was adopted by the high school questionnaire. In 2008, the middle school questionnaire began to measure the illicit use of over-the-counter drugs. These items were added to the high school questionnaire in 2010. In 2011, the high school questionnaire began to measure the use of synthetic marijuana. In 2016, the artificial stimulant "flakka" was added to the high school questionnaire. In 2018, the wording of the depressant use items was changed to more clearly specify non-medical use. In 2020, flakka and steroids were removed and vaping marijuana was added. As a result of these changes, please exercise caution when comparing results from different years.

Table 30. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who used *any illicit drug, but no alcohol* in lifetime and past 30 days—2012 to 2024

						7 My III	icit Di ug	, Dui 110 1	nconor					
				Lifetime						P	ast 30 Da	ys		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	5.0	5.9	6.0	6.7	7.9	7.7	7.0	5.7	6.5	6.4	7.2	8.1	7.9	6.2
Male	5.8	6.3	6.5	6.7	7.3	6.8	6.6	6.7	6.9	6.3	6.9	7.2	6.0	4.9
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	7.7	8.3	9.0	8.9	10.5	9.3	8.5	7.7	8.2	7.7	8.9	9.4	7.9	6.8
Hispanic/Latino	4.7	6.0	6.0	6.2	7.6	6.5	5.8	5.8	6.3	6.7	6.7	7.1	6.5	4.2
White, non-Hispanic	4.5	4.8	5.0	5.7	5.9	6.4	6.1	5.3	6.0	5.4	6.2	6.6	6.4	5.4
Age														
11	6.8	6.3	5.3	5.7	6.1	7.6	7.0	4.2	3.6	2.7	3.5	3.1	3.6	4.1
12	6.4	6.3	6.4	6.2	7.3	7.4	7.5	4.6	3.8	4.0	3.9	4.6	4.6	3.8
13	6.6	7.3	6.6	7.8	7.5	7.2	6.5	5.1	5.1	4.8	5.6	5.4	5.4	4.1
14	5.8	7.1	7.0	7.3	8.9	7.4	7.2	6.0	6.1	5.9	6.4	7.8	6.8	5.7
15	5.3	6.3	6.4	7.4	7.8	7.2	7.5	7.5	8.6	7.8	7.9	8.7	7.7	5.8
16	4.5	4.9	6.2	6.7	7.6	7.0	6.1	7.1	8.1	8.0	9.6	10.4	7.9	6.9
17	4.0	5.3	5.8	5.8	7.1	7.5	6.6	7.0	8.8	8.1	9.1	9.4	9.2	7.2
18	4.1	4.5	5.2	5.7	7.9	6.3	6.1	6.6	8.1	7.6	9.4	10.8	9.0	6.7
Grade														
6th	6.5	6.7	6.2	6.1	6.9	8.2	7.0	4.3	3.9	3.5	3.9	4.0	4.6	3.8
7th	6.6	6.6	6.3	7.5	7.3	6.8	7.7	5.4	4.7	4.5	5.1	5.2	5.0	4.1
8th	6.4	7.3	7.0	7.2	8.3	7.3	6.9	6.2	5.9	5.5	5.8	7.2	6.2	5.5
9th	5.4	6.4	6.5	7.2	8.7	7.1	7.0	6.7	7.5	7.4	6.9	8.2	6.9	5.3
10th	5.0	5.7	6.9	7.1	7.4	7.1	6.9	7.0	8.7	8.1	9.6	9.1	8.3	6.4
11th	4.1	5.3	6.1	6.3	8.0	7.4	6.1	7.5	8.6	8.0	8.8	11.1	8.0	7.1
12th	3.5	4.4	4.8	5.6	6.7	6.6	6.0	6.2	7.8	7.7	9.3	9.0	9.8	6.8
Middle School	6.5	6.9	6.5	6.9	7.5	7.5	7.2	5.3	4.8	4.5	4.9	5.5	5.3	4.5
High School	4.6	5.5	6.1	6.6	7.7	7.1	6.5	6.8	8.1	7.8	8.6	9.4	8.2	6.4
Total	5.4	6.1	6.3	6.7	7.6	7.2	6.8	6.2	6.7	6.4	7.1	7.7	6.9	5.6

Any Illicit Drug, But No Alcohol

Note: In 2008, on the middle school questionnaire, a reduced set of items was used to measure the use of club drugs, cocaine, and hallucinogens. In 2010, this reduced item set was adopted by the high school questionnaire. In 2008, the middle school questionnaire began to measure the illicit use of over-the-counter drugs. These items were added to the high school questionnaire in 2010. In 2011, the high school questionnaire began to measure the use of synthetic marijuana. In 2016, the artificial stimulant "flakka" was added to the high school questionnaire. In 2018, the wording of the depressant use items was changed to more clearly specify non-medical use. In 2020, flakka and steroids were removed and vaping marijuana was added. As a result of these changes, please exercise caution when comparing results from different years.

## 2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

. . .

Table 31. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who reported engaging in delinquent behavior in past 12 months: carrying a handgun and selling drugs-2012 to 2024

						D	Delinquen	t Behavio	or					
			Carry	ring a Ha	ndgun					Se	elling Dru	igs		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	1.8	2.7	2.6	3.2	3.6	3.9	3.5	2.8	3.1	3.0	2.7	2.3	1.6	1.1
Male	6.9	7.8	8.3	8.7	8.6	8.1	8.2	7.1	6.6	5.4	5.1	4.2	2.4	2.0
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	4.3	4.8	4.7	5.8	5.7	5.0	4.9	4.3	4.3	3.4	3.3	2.9	1.7	1.7
Hispanic/Latino	3.5	4.0	4.7	5.0	4.9	4.8	3.7	4.7	4.6	4.2	3.3	2.9	1.9	1.0
White, non-Hispanic	4.7	5.9	6.1	6.6	6.7	7.1	7.6	5.6	5.4	4.4	4.3	3.5	2.2	1.8
Age														
11	2.5	2.7	3.5	4.0	5.1	4.4	5.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2
12	3.1	4.3	4.3	4.4	5.1	5.6	6.6	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.6
13	4.3	5.5	5.1	6.3	6.9	7.0	6.2	2.2	2.0	1.6	1.7	2.0	1.1	0.9
14	5.0	5.6	6.0	6.6	6.3	7.0	6.7	4.2	4.0	3.4	3.3	2.5	1.8	1.6
15	4.5	6.3	6.2	6.2	6.1	6.3	5.5	6.1	6.5	5.8	4.8	3.3	2.2	2.1
16	4.6	4.9	5.8	6.4	6.0	5.7	6.1	7.6	7.7	6.2	5.7	4.9	3.0	2.3
17	4.9	5.4	5.7	6.7	5.6	5.5	5.0	8.7	7.8	6.2	6.4	5.3	3.1	2.0
18	4.7	6.2	5.8	5.7	7.8	4.9	4.6	7.3	8.1	7.0	7.1	7.1	3.0	2.2
Grade														
6th	3.0	3.9	4.2	4.4	5.2	5.3	6.4	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.6
7th	4.0	5.3	4.8	5.5	6.3	7.0	6.5	2.0	1.8	1.3	1.2	1.5	1.0	0.9
8th	5.9	6.1	6.1	7.1	7.0	7.6	6.9	4.2	3.8	2.9	2.7	2.7	1.5	1.6
9th	4.5	5.4	6.4	6.0	5.9	6.2	5.6	5.8	5.6	5.4	4.2	2.8	2.0	1.7
10th	4.2	6.0	6.0	6.7	5.9	5.7	5.9	6.7	7.3	6.4	5.7	3.6	2.6	2.2
11th	4.5	5.2	5.8	5.9	5.8	5.5	5.6	7.7	7.7	6.1	5.5	5.9	3.4	2.1
12th	4.6	5.0	4.9	6.3	6.5	4.6	4.2	8.4	7.3	6.4	7.4	6.1	2.7	2.1
Middle School	4.3	5.1	5.0	5.7	6.2	6.7	6.6	2.2	2.1	1.6	1.5	1.6	1.1	1.0
High School	4.5	5.4	5.8	6.2	6.0	5.5	5.4	7.1	6.9	6.0	5.7	4.5	2.7	2.0
Total	4.4	5.3	5.5	6.0	6.1	6.0	5.9	5.0	4.9	4.2	3.9	3.3	2.0	1.6

. . . . . . . . . . . .

. . .

Table 32. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who reported engaging in delinquent behavior in past 12 months: attempting to steal a vehicle and being arrested—2012 to 2024

	Delinquent Behavior Attempting to Steal a Vehicle Being Arrested													
		A	Attemptin	g to Stea	l a Vehicl	e				Be	ing Arres	ted		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	1.2	0.9	0.8	1.2	1.1	1.0	0.8	2.4	2.1	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.3	1.3
Male	2.3	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.2	1.4	4.2	3.5	3.0	2.8	2.7	2.0	2.1
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	2.2	2.1	2.0	2.5	2.2	1.4	1.6	4.7	4.1	3.7	3.7	3.5	2.4	2.9
Hispanic/Latino	1.6	1.1	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.1	0.7	3.1	2.8	2.3	1.9	1.9	1.3	1.2
White, non-Hispanic	1.4	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.1	0.8	1.0	2.8	2.3	1.8	1.7	1.7	1.3	1.4
Age														
11	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.6	0.7
12	1.0	0.6	0.7	0.8	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.2	0.9	1.1	1.0	1.4	1.2	1.0
13	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.5	1.0	1.0	2.5	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.3	1.7	1.5
14	1.6	1.5	1.4	2.2	1.5	1.3	1.4	3.8	2.9	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.2	2.2
15	2.3	1.8	1.7	2.0	1.8	1.3	1.0	4.3	4.1	3.3	2.9	2.3	1.8	2.3
16	2.2	1.9	1.6	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.4	4.5	3.6	3.1	2.8	2.7	1.8	1.9
17	2.4	1.4	1.3	1.0	1.4	1.0	0.9	4.2	3.4	2.5	2.6	2.0	1.7	1.9
18	1.3	1.7	1.6	1.5	2.3	0.8	0.9	3.5	3.6	2.8	2.3	3.0	1.3	1.4
Grade														
6th	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.9	0.9	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.8	1.4	1.2
7th	1.4	0.9	1.1	1.2	1.3	0.8	1.1	2.6	1.8	1.7	2.0	2.1	1.6	1.3
8th	2.0	1.7	1.3	2.1	1.5	1.5	1.3	3.8	3.4	2.9	2.1	2.7	2.1	2.6
9th	2.1	1.4	1.6	2.2	1.7	1.1	1.1	4.4	3.2	3.1	2.9	2.4	1.9	1.9
10th	1.9	1.9	1.8	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.2	3.9	3.9	3.3	3.1	2.3	1.8	1.9
11th	2.2	1.5	1.3	1.0	1.8	1.1	1.2	4.1	3.0	2.7	2.2	2.5	1.6	1.8
12th	1.8	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.4	0.7	0.8	3.4	3.1	2.0	2.4	2.0	1.4	1.4
Middle School	1.4	1.1	1.0	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.2	2.5	2.2	1.9	1.7	2.2	1.7	1.7
High School	2.0	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.1	1.1	4.0	3.3	2.8	2.6	2.3	1.7	1.8
Total	1.8	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.1	1.1	3.4	2.8	2.4	2.3	2.3	1.7	1.7

2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

. . . . . . . . .

 Table 33. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who reported engaging in delinquent behavior in past 12 months: taking a handgun to school and getting suspended—2012 to 2024

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

.

	Delinquent Behavior													
			<b>Faking a</b>	Handgun	to Schoo	l				Getti	ing Suspe	nded	_	_
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	8.6	7.4	7.0	7.3	9.2	8.8	11.2
Male	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.6	15.2	12.9	12.5	11.7	14.1	13.3	15.8
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.4	1.0	0.7	0.8	20.6	18.6	16.4	16.7	20.5	19.1	23.0
Hispanic/Latino	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.4	0.5	11.2	10.3	9.5	8.1	9.5	9.4	11.8
White, non-Hispanic	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	8.7	7.2	6.7	6.7	8.2	8.2	9.3
Age														
11	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.2	8.0	5.5	5.5	7.3	9.0	10.0	11.8
12	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	9.8	8.1	7.8	8.7	11.8	10.2	14.5
13	0.6	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.5	13.6	11.8	11.5	11.4	14.6	14.9	17.0
14	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	14.4	12.2	12.3	12.0	13.9	13.7	17.5
15	0.8	0.9	0.6	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.7	13.0	12.2	11.5	10.4	12.2	11.5	14.7
16	0.9	0.9	1.0	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	11.4	10.9	9.8	8.9	10.0	9.7	11.7
17	0.9	0.8	0.5	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.8	11.3	8.8	8.0	7.5	8.6	8.6	9.2
18	0.8	1.0	0.9	0.9	1.2	0.7	0.3	9.8	7.9	7.6	7.5	10.5	6.8	7.5
Grade			İ											
6th	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	10.7	8.2	8.0	8.7	11.6	12.0	14.7
7th	0.6	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.3	14.0	12.0	11.2	11.4	14.5	13.8	16.6
8th	1.1	0.8	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.5	14.6	12.6	12.6	12.0	15.0	14.7	19.3
9th	0.8	0.7	0.8	1.1	0.6	0.5	0.5	14.1	11.6	12.0	11.4	12.5	11.0	14.5
10th	0.9	1.1	0.9	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	10.7	10.9	9.4	8.8	9.4	9.9	12.1
11th	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.6	1.0	0.3	0.6	10.4	9.2	8.7	6.7	9.4	8.7	9.6
12th	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.4	8.4	6.5	6.1	7.6	8.6	7.1	7.2
Middle School	0.7	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	13.1	11.0	10.6	10.7	13.7	13.5	16.9
High School	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.5	11.1	9.7	9.1	8.7	10.0	9.2	10.9
Total	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.5	11.9	10.3	9.8	9.5	11.6	11.1	13.5

2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

. . . .

. . .

 Table 34. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who reported engaging in delinquent behavior in past 12 months: attacking someone with intent to harm—2012 to 2024

			Delin	iquent Beh	avior		
		Atta	acking Som	eone with	Intent to H	arm	
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex							
Female	6.6	6.1	5.3	5.6	5.8	6.2	5.4
Male	9.2	7.7	6.9	7.3	7.6	7.2	6.7
Race/Ethnic group							
African American	12.0	11.2	10.1	11.3	11.3	10.8	9.5
Hispanic/Latino	6.6	6.3	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.3	4.2
White, non-Hispanic	6.0	4.9	4.4	4.3	4.6	5.1	4.6
Age							
11	4.3	4.3	4.2	4.9	6.5	7.1	6.6
12	6.8	5.5	4.6	6.3	7.8	8.6	8.6
13	8.2	7.3	6.7	8.0	8.6	10.2	8.1
14	9.0	7.5	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.8	8.0
15	9.5	8.5	7.6	6.5	6.8	6.3	5.5
16	8.6	7.6	6.6	5.9	5.2	5.5	4.5
17	6.5	6.7	5.6	5.5	4.8	4.0	3.3
18	7.4	4.7	4.2	5.4	5.3	3.4	2.6
Grade							
6th	6.1	5.5	4.5	6.5	7.8	8.9	8.3
7th	8.3	6.6	6.1	6.7	8.2	9.6	8.7
8th	9.5	8.1	7.8	8.3	8.8	9.3	8.3
9th	9.4	8.3	7.8	6.9	6.8	5.9	6.4
10th	8.0	8.4	6.5	6.0	5.2	5.6	4.5
11th	7.1	6.1	5.7	5.2	5.3	4.7	3.8
12th	6.5	4.6	4.1	5.7	4.6	3.1	2.3
Middle School	8.0	6.7	6.2	7.2	8.3	9.3	8.4
High School	7.8	7.0	6.1	5.9	5.5	4.9	4.3
Total	7.9	6.9	6.1	6.5	6.7	6.7	6.0

2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

. . . . . . . . . . . .

							Early A	ГОD Use						
			More Th	an a Sip o	of Alcoho	l			Dr	inking at	Least Or	nce a Mor	nth	
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	23.9	20.5	18.3	17.1	16.4	15.5	14.3	4.6	3.9	3.7	2.4	2.9	2.3	2.3
Male	26.8	23.2	20.2	18.1	15.4	12.6	12.1	5.4	3.9	3.4	2.5	2.5	2.1	1.7
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	23.3	19.4	17.5	16.4	13.8	10.7	8.8	4.5	3.6	3.9	2.2	2.7	1.7	1.6
Hispanic/Latino	26.2	22.0	19.1	17.1	15.4	14.4	12.2	5.3	4.1	3.1	2.7	2.6	2.2	1.6
White, non-Hispanic	24.2	22.3	19.5	18.2	17.0	14.9	15.1	4.8	3.9	3.3	2.4	2.6	2.5	2.3
Age														
11														
12														
13														
14	35.1	30.3	27.4	27.0	24.8	21.1	18.6	7.0	5.2	4.8	3.3	3.6	3.0	2.8
15	29.3	25.4	21.5	20.0	18.6	16.9	15.8	5.9	4.1	3.8	2.9	2.8	2.6	2.4
16	24.4	20.9	19.0	17.7	15.1	13.8	12.9	4.8	4.0	3.8	2.3	2.5	2.1	1.8
17	21.8	18.8	17.4	13.6	12.3	11.4	11.3	4.0	3.5	2.9	2.3	2.3	1.9	1.8
18	19.0	16.0	13.8	12.9	12.4	8.8	8.1	4.2	3.0	2.7	1.8	3.0	1.1	1.2
Grade														
6th														
7th														
8th														
9th	32.8	27.5	24.3	23.3	21.5	18.6	17.2	7.1	4.9	4.5	3.3	3.3	3.1	2.8
10th	25.1	22.7	18.3	18.1	16.3	14.9	13.4	4.9	4.2	3.3	2.4	2.5	2.0	1.8
11th	22.6	18.9	18.1	15.0	13.0	12.5	12.5	4.0	3.0	3.1	2.1	2.4	2.0	1.8
12th	19.5	17.2	16.0	13.6	12.4	9.7	9.5	3.9	3.3	3.1	2.1	2.5	1.4	1.5
Middle School														
High School	25.4	21.8	19.4	17.6	15.9	14.1	13.2	5.0	3.9	3.5	2.5	2.7	2.2	2.0
Total														

 Table 35. Percentage of surveyed Florida <a href="https://www.highercommunication.org">https://www.highercommunication.org</a> youth who started using alcohol at age 13 or younger—2012 to 2024</a>

. . . . . . . . . . .

Table 36. Percentage of surveyed Florida <a href="https://www.high.com">https://www.high.com</a> youth who started using cigarettes or marijuana at age 13 or younger—2012 to 2024

							Daily A.							
				Cigarette	S					Ι	Marijuan	a		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	13.7	10.7	8.6	6.9	5.6	4.9	4.7	9.5	9.1	9.0	8.0	7.6	7.2	5.8
Male	15.3	13.1	9.8	7.9	6.2	4.9	3.9	13.8	13.6	12.0	10.6	8.8	5.8	4.4
Race/Ethnic group														
African American	10.1	7.4	6.5	5.2	4.0	2.4	2.1	10.3	10.2	10.0	9.5	7.6	5.5	4.2
Hispanic/Latino	13.4	10.5	8.0	6.7	4.5	4.3	3.8	10.8	10.8	10.3	8.9	6.9	5.3	4.2
White, non-Hispanic	16.2	14.1	10.6	8.7	7.5	6.4	5.7	12.0	11.8	10.6	9.2	8.6	7.4	5.9
Age														
11														
12														
13														
14	14.6	12.6	9.5	6.3	6.3	5.2	3.4	12.1	12.8	11.3	11.0	10.3	6.7	6.2
15	14.5	12.1	9.3	7.5	6.0	5.2	5.1	12.5	12.1	11.1	9.3	8.6	6.9	5.4
16	13.6	11.1	9.3	7.3	5.9	4.7	4.4	11.3	11.0	11.2	9.8	7.9	6.6	5.3
17	15.3	12.5	9.5	7.9	5.6	4.8	4.2	11.4	11.3	10.2	8.2	7.1	6.7	4.5
18	14.3	11.3	8.4	6.6	5.4	4.6	3.0	10.7	9.5	8.7	8.8	7.9	5.1	4.2
Grade														
6th														
7th														
8th														
9th	15.7	13.2	9.9	7.0	6.5	5.6	4.2	13.4	12.6	11.9	9.9	9.7	7.1	5.7
10th	13.5	11.6	9.2	8.1	6.0	4.5	4.9	11.7	12.0	11.0	10.1	7.8	6.0	5.2
11th	14.2	11.0	9.6	7.0	5.4	4.8	4.4	11.0	10.3	10.2	8.6	7.9	6.8	5.0
12th	14.4	11.8	8.3	7.5	5.6	4.6	3.6	10.3	10.2	9.0	8.6	7.2	6.0	4.3
Middle School														
High School	14.5	11.9	9.3	7.4	5.9	4.9	4.3	11.7	11.4	10.6	9.3	8.2	6.5	5.1
Total														

Early ATOD Use

## Table 37. Percentage of surveyed Florida high school youth who started vaping nicotine or vaping marijuana at age 13 or younger, 2019 to 2024

						Early A	I OD Use						
		Vaj	oing Nico	tine					Vapi	ing Marij	uana		
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex													
Female	5.0	5.9	8.5	9.6	8.6	10.7		2.6	3.1	4.2	4.8	4.6	5.1
Male	5.4	6.2	6.1	7.0	5.6	6.2		3.0	3.2	3.6	3.6	2.4	3.5
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>													
African American	2.8	3.4	3.2	3.5	3.8	4.8		1.8	2.2	2.1	2.2	3.5	2.7
Hispanic/Latino	5.4	4.8	6.9	7.8	6.6	8.4		2.9	2.8	3.9	4.3	2.7	4.2
White, non-Hispanic	6.6	7.6	9.0	10.7	9.1	10.1		3.5	3.4	4.2	5.0	3.9	5.0
Age													
11													
12													
13													
14	12.4	14.4	14.8	11.5	8.2	11.6		5.1	6.7	6.7	5.1	4.1	5.9
15	7.1	8.6	11.5	10.5	8.5	10.2		3.7	4.1	5.5	5.3	3.6	5.2
16	3.8	4.8	7.5	8.4	6.9	8.1		2.5	2.8	4.5	4.3	3.7	4.5
17	3.6	2.8	3.0	6.6	6.8	7.0		1.8	1.7	1.8	3.5	3.9	3.4
18	2.1	2.6	0.8	4.4	5.8	5.6		1.7	1.6	1.2	2.2	2.1	2.3
Grade													
6th													
7th													
8th													
9th	9.7	11.0	12.1	11.2	8.9	10.3		4.6	5.5	5.6	5.3	4.0	5.5
10th	4.3	6.6	9.8	8.3	7.0	9.1		2.5	3.1	5.4	4.1	3.3	4.5
11th	4.0	3.2	4.4	8.3	6.1	7.5		2.4	2.1	2.5	4.5	4.0	4.2
12th	2.4	2.9	2.0	5.0	6.5	6.5		1.5	1.6	1.5	2.6	2.7	2.8
Middle School													
High School	5.2	6.0	7.3	8.3	7.2	8.4		2.8	3.1	3.8	4.2	3.5	4.3
Total													

Forly ATOD IL

						Perceiv	e Great	Risk of H	arm If:					
	Drin	k One or	More Alc	oholic D	rinks Nea	rly Every	y Day		Smoke a	Pack or I	More of (	<b>Cigarettes</b>	Per Day	
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	46.0	45.4	46.4	49.1	48.4	47.8	51.8	69.7	69.5	68.4	67.6	67.3	66.3	65.8
Male	37.4	39.7	39.3	42.8	43.1	44.0	47.6	67.0	68.9	68.5	66.6	64.5	65.1	63.6
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	43.0	43.9	44.0	46.3	44.5	44.5	47.8	62.5	64.6	64.1	62.1	58.9	58.4	56.5
Hispanic/Latino	43.7	44.9	44.7	47.8	47.6	49.3	52.3	66.4	67.6	65.7	65.7	64.6	64.1	63.6
White, non-Hispanic	38.5	39.6	40.3	43.9	44.7	43.7	48.5	70.8	71.5	71.2	70.1	69.8	69.6	69.6
Age														
11	50.1	53.8	51.2	56.6	55.7	52.4	55.3	70.9	74.0	71.6	71.3	67.6	68.1	64.6
12	47.8	47.2	47.4	51.7	51.3	49.8	52.5	69.1	69.1	69.0	67.4	66.8	65.6	65.0
13	44.8	44.8	44.7	47.8	48.7	46.2	50.6	68.5	67.1	67.6	66.5	65.9	64.3	64.7
14	39.9	41.2	43.7	43.5	42.3	45.8	48.9	66.7	68.5	68.4	66.3	63.6	65.5	64.2
15	38.3	40.7	41.2	45.1	44.2	44.7	48.6	67.8	69.4	67.0	66.4	66.4	65.8	65.2
16	39.1	39.7	40.1	42.5	43.7	44.9	48.4	69.2	69.7	68.8	67.0	67.3	65.4	65.5
17	39.1	39.2	38.7	43.3	43.3	44.8	48.1	68.4	70.2	69.0	68.2	65.8	67.1	64.5
18	37.5	39.9	40.4	41.7	40.4	40.4	49.0	67.3	70.0	69.3	65.3	65.9	66.4	64.2
Grade														
6th	47.4	48.6	48.1	52.8	51.1	49.9	52.6	66.9	68.6	67.8	66.8	64.7	63.9	63.5
7th	44.7	45.2	44.7	48.6	50.2	47.6	50.4	68.3	66.8	66.7	66.4	65.5	64.6	63.7
8th	43.6	43.3	44.1	46.5	46.0	46.0	50.9	67.8	68.6	69.0	67.3	65.9	65.8	65.3
9th	36.7	40.7	42.3	42.4	41.8	44.2	48.0	66.4	69.2	67.0	65.1	63.9	64.8	64.1
10th	40.5	39.8	41.0	43.9	45.2	45.9	49.4	69.7	69.9	68.5	66.6	67.7	65.8	66.4
11th	38.4	40.0	39.4	44.4	43.5	44.5	47.8	69.5	70.2	69.3	68.7	66.7	66.5	64.3
12th	39.8	39.5	39.9	42.3	42.0	43.3	48.8	69.6	70.5	70.6	68.2	67.0	68.7	65.2
Middle School	45.2	45.7	45.7	49.3	49.1	47.8	51.3	67.6	68.0	67.9	66.8	65.4	64.8	64.2
High School	38.8	40.0	40.7	43.3	43.1	44.5	48.5	68.7	70.0	68.8	67.1	66.3	66.4	65.0
Total	41.6	42.5	42.8	45.9	45.7	45.9	49.7	68.3	69.1	68.4	67.0	65.9	65.7	64.7

Table 38. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who perceive great risk of harm in using alcohol or tobacco—2012 to 2024

. . . . . . . . . . .

					Perceiv	e Great I	Risk of H	arm If:					
	Smoke	Marijua	na Once o	or Twice a	a Week			Т	ry Marij	uana Onc	e or Twie	ce	
	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex													
Female	39.2	37.5	35.2	34.1	33.9	38.9	29.1	25.5	24.8	22.8	22.7	22.3	27.3
Male	36.3	35.2	33.7	32.8	34.8	39.2	26.0	25.3	25.0	23.6	23.6	24.6	28.6
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>													
African American	32.5	31.4	29.8	28.2	29.8	33.3	27.1	24.7	23.8	23.2	22.2	23.0	26.4
Hispanic/Latino	38.5	36.2	34.9	35.6	36.6	42.5	29.8	28.1	26.7	25.0	26.1	26.3	32.4
White, non-Hispanic	38.7	38.6	35.9	34.9	34.6	39.8	25.4	23.6	24.3	21.8	21.9	21.5	26.4
Age													
11	70.0	65.1	62.5	57.3	57.8	56.2	46.5	51.7	47.7	44.3	41.2	39.9	41.4
12	60.9	58.8	56.1	52.9	51.5	52.3	43.0	43.0	41.0	38.0	36.3	35.5	36.4
13	50.9	48.4	44.9	44.3	43.7	47.0	36.5	33.7	33.5	29.3	29.8	29.4	33.4
14	37.5	38.3	34.6	32.5	36.2	40.6	28.2	24.1	25.3	22.5	22.0	24.8	29.3
15	30.1	29.0	28.0	26.8	29.3	35.3	21.5	18.4	19.6	17.9	18.4	19.2	25.1
16	24.6	24.3	21.6	21.5	25.6	32.6	19.0	15.8	16.4	15.0	14.6	17.4	23.2
17	20.2	19.5	19.7	21.3	20.9	28.2	17.0	13.6	12.9	14.1	15.3	14.9	20.6
18	21.0	21.4	19.1	17.7	18.2	26.1	16.7	14.8	13.9	13.4	13.1	12.6	19.6
Grade													
6th	62.8	61.0	57.9	53.4	53.0	53.7	43.7	46.3	44.6	41.2	38.1	38.0	38.8
7th	53.9	51.5	50.0	47.6	47.3	48.0	38.4	36.5	35.6	32.6	32.6	31.2	33.7
8th	44.5	42.8	39.4	39.5	39.7	44.7	33.0	28.7	28.4	25.5	26.1	27.4	32.2
9th	31.4	32.4	29.5	27.5	31.5	36.9	22.2	19.9	21.7	19.2	19.1	20.8	26.0
10th	26.6	26.1	24.2	24.1	26.9	34.2	20.8	16.3	17.5	16.3	16.3	17.7	24.7
11th	22.9	21.1	20.8	20.6	22.8	29.4	17.9	15.3	14.2	14.3	14.3	16.0	20.6
12th	19.3	20.0	18.6	19.0	18.6	26.6	15.9	13.1	13.0	13.1	13.9	12.9	19.8
Middle School	53.8	51.7	49.1	46.8	46.5	48.7	38.4	37.1	36.1	33.2	32.3	32.1	34.8
High School	25.4	25.2	23.3	22.9	25.2	31.9	19.4	16.3	16.8	15.8	16.0	17.0	22.9
Total	37.7	36.3	34.4	33.4	34.3	39.0	27.6	25.3	24.9	23.2	23.1	23.5	27.9

 Table 39. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who perceive great risk of harm in smoking marijuana—2012 to 2024

. . . . . . . . . .

Table 40. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who perceive great risk of harm in taking a prescription drug without a doctor's orders or having five or more alcoholic drinks once or twice a week-2012 to 2024

						Perceiv	e Great I	Risk of H	arm If:					
	Tal	ke a Presc	ription <b>E</b>	<b>Prug with</b>	out a Doc	ctor's Orc	lers	Five	or More	Alcoholi	c Drinks (	Once or T	<b>Fwice a V</b>	Veek
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024		2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	71.9	72.3	70.0	69.5	69.4	69.3	69.3		57.7	58.4	61.3	60.4	58.3	60.8
Male	65.5	70.3	67.3	65.9	65.8	66.5	65.6		51.7	51.2	54.9	54.1	54.8	57.5
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	67.4	66.0	63.3	61.7	60.8	60.1	59.6		55.4	55.4	56.4	55.0	53.6	55.7
Hispanic/Latino	67.3	69.3	67.2	66.7	66.4	67.4	66.7		54.1	53.5	57.9	56.3	57.3	59.4
White, non-Hispanic	69.7	74.2	71.5	70.8	71.5	71.6	71.8		53.6	54.1	58.4	57.9	56.2	60.1
Age														
11		76.0	72.8	74.1	72.3	71.4	70.6		66.8	63.4	68.3	65.6	65.3	64.8
12		72.6	69.0	69.2	69.4	67.5	67.1		60.8	60.0	64.7	63.6	62.2	64.2
13		70.7	69.0	66.9	68.4	66.2	66.5		57.6	57.7	60.8	60.8	57.8	60.7
14	70.3	71.4	69.0	66.2	66.6	67.7	67.0		55.3	55.8	57.7	55.7	57.7	59.3
15	69.5	71.1	67.9	66.0	66.3	67.3	67.3		52.9	52.5	56.7	56.2	55.7	58.6
16	68.2	70.2	67.7	66.5	67.4	68.2	68.4		51.9	52.5	53.7	54.4	54.0	58.0
17	68.4	70.6	68.0	68.6	67.1	68.3	67.4		49.5	50.4	55.3	53.1	53.1	55.3
18	66.6	69.8	68.1	68.2	65.4	68.5	68.2		46.9	49.7	51.9	50.4	49.8	54.3
Grade														
6th		71.9	68.9	70.0	68.9	67.9	67.7		61.1	59.6	64.4	61.8	61.4	62.5
7th		70.4	68.3	67.4	69.1	66.2	66.2		57.6	57.8	61.8	62.3	59.7	61.5
8th		72.7	70.0	67.1	67.7	66.9	67.1		57.5	56.6	60.1	59.2	58.3	61.0
9th	67.7	71.2	68.2	65.4	66.3	67.8	66.6		53.5	53.9	55.5	55.4	55.5	58.4
10th	69.8	70.5	67.8	66.5	66.7	68.1	68.0		52.8	52.4	55.7	54.8	55.0	58.9
11th	68.2	71.2	68.2	68.0	67.1	67.5	68.2		51.0	51.6	55.3	54.4	54.6	56.5
12th	68.6	70.0	68.3	68.7	67.1	70.1	68.2		47.7	51.0	53.3	51.9	51.5	55.2
Middle School		71.7	69.1	68.2	68.5	67.0	67.0		58.8	58.1	62.1	61.1	59.7	61.6
High School	68.6	70.8	68.2	67.1	66.8	68.4	67.7		51.4	52.3	55.0	54.2	54.2	57.3
Total		71.2	68.5	67.6	67.6	67.8	67.4		54.6	54.7	58.0	57.2	56.6	59.1

Table 41. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who perceive great risk of harm in vaping nicotine or vaping marijuana, 2019to 2024

					I CI CCI	Gical	IVISK OL H	ai III 11.					
		Vaj	oing Nico	tine					Vapi	ing Marij	uana		
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex													
Female	38.5	46.7	41.3	42.8	48.0	49.5		36.9	44.1	39.6	41.0	45.6	48.0
Male	36.5	43.1	43.0	44.5	50.7	51.1		36.1	41.5	40.4	42.6	46.7	48.6
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>													
African American	37.7	47.3	43.9	43.0	49.9	47.3		34.2	42.0	40.2	39.6	44.5	43.9
Hispanic/Latino	40.1	44.9	39.4	43.4	46.2	51.0		39.0	43.6	39.4	43.0	47.1	50.2
White, non-Hispanic	36.0	43.6	43.1	43.4	50.4	51.5		36.5	42.9	41.1	41.6	45.4	49.3
Age													
11	56.2	60.1	56.9	59.2	58.2	59.6		63.7	64.3	61.2	63.0	61.6	62.7
12	46.8	54.2	51.3	52.4	55.1	56.9		51.6	57.2	55.4	56.7	57.4	59.2
13	41.1	48.1	44.7	46.2	49.8	53.6		44.1	50.1	46.5	48.3	52.2	55.1
14	36.0	41.6	39.1	44.1	49.1	50.3		37.0	40.2	38.3	43.6	47.2	49.7
15	32.2	41.6	37.2	39.5	47.6	47.9		29.3	38.2	33.8	36.7	42.8	44.8
16	31.0	39.6	36.5	40.0	44.9	47.8		25.3	34.6	31.2	35.0	39.8	42.7
17	34.7	40.9	38.2	38.4	47.4	45.1		28.1	33.6	31.1	31.1	39.1	39.2
18	32.5	39.0	42.3	36.4	51.7	45.6		25.1	32.3	32.3	28.6	38.4	37.9
Grade													
6th	51.3	55.2	54.9	54.2	55.1	57.5		57.6	58.9	57.4	58.2	58.0	60.0
7th	40.2	51.0	46.6	48.2	51.0	53.6		44.2	53.6	49.5	51.9	53.5	55.5
8th	40.1	45.2	41.9	45.3	50.0	53.0		41.8	45.5	43.1	46.1	48.6	53.2
9th	33.4	40.9	37.7	41.2	45.5	48.7		32.1	37.5	36.1	38.7	42.4	46.7
10th	31.5	40.3	34.9	40.5	47.1	48.2		26.5	36.3	30.1	36.5	40.4	44.4
11th	31.7	41.0	37.9	38.2	46.0	44.9		25.3	34.2	31.8	31.7	39.8	39.3
12th	34.2	39.5	41.9	37.8	50.6	46.5		27.3	32.2	32.0	29.6	38.2	39.0
Middle School	43.9	50.4	47.7	49.1	52.1	54.6		47.9	52.6	49.9	51.9	53.3	56.2
High School	32.7	40.5	38.0	39.5	47.1	47.1		27.9	35.1	32.5	34.3	40.3	42.5
Total	37.5	44.8	42.2	43.6	49.3	50.3		36.5	42.8	40.1	41.8	46.0	48.2

Perceive Great Risk of Harm If:

Table 42. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who think it would be wrong for someone their age to drink alcohol regularly or smoke cigarettes—2012 to 2024

			Drink A	lcohol R	egularly					Smo	ke Cigar	ettes		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	70.5	72.7	74.1	75.9	77.4	78.6	82.6	86.1	88.7	91.1	92.6	93.8	93.2	94.3
Male	70.3	73.8	75.2	76.7	79.2	82.0	85.0	85.1	88.5	91.0	92.1	93.3	94.1	94.8
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	75.8	77.9	78.8	79.1	83.1	84.6	87.2	91.0	92.6	93.7	94.5	95.4	95.6	96.7
Hispanic/Latino	70.8	72.6	74.8	76.6	79.5	82.1	85.1	87.9	89.8	91.4	92.9	94.3	94.7	94.5
White, non-Hispanic	67.5	70.1	72.2	74.5	75.2	76.9	81.3	82.0	85.7	89.5	90.9	92.0	92.1	93.2
Age														
11	94.1	96.3	96.4	94.4	94.4	94.4	96.0	97.7	98.3	98.4	98.1	97.9	97.9	98.5
12	92.1	92.8	92.9	92.5	91.8	93.7	93.4	96.1	96.7	97.1	96.9	97.5	97.5	97.5
13	84.4	87.1	87.2	86.2	87.5	88.0	90.1	93.2	94.1	95.1	95.0	95.1	95.7	96.4
14	74.8	78.1	79.8	79.9	80.9	82.6	87.1	89.1	91.8	92.9	93.7	94.4	94.6	95.8
15	64.8	69.2	71.8	74.3	75.8	79.1	82.4	85.1	88.6	91.1	91.9	93.4	93.7	94.3
16	58.6	61.6	65.5	67.6	70.8	73.7	78.6	81.8	85.7	89.3	91.5	92.5	92.1	93.9
17	51.3	54.7	56.8	60.9	65.1	68.9	74.3	74.6	80.3	86.0	88.8	90.9	90.5	91.0
18	49.2	50.1	53.6	58.5	62.0	65.0	70.8	67.2	72.4	78.3	81.6	85.8	87.0	88.8
Grade														
6th	93.2	94.3	94.5	93.5	92.9	93.9	94.6	96.8	97.0	97.7	97.2	97.5	97.5	98.0
7th	86.8	88.9	89.3	88.6	89.2	90.0	91.5	94.0	94.7	95.7	95.7	96.1	96.4	96.9
8th	78.0	81.3	82.7	82.9	84.1	85.2	88.3	89.9	92.2	93.3	93.9	94.6	95.2	96.2
9th	66.5	72.1	74.4	76.4	77.9	81.0	85.0	85.9	89.7	91.1	93.2	93.6	93.8	95.0
10th	61.5	63.9	68.2	70.6	72.2	76.0	80.0	83.2	87.0	90.3	91.5	93.5	93.1	93.9
11th	54.2	58.5	60.2	63.4	68.4	70.7	75.6	77.9	82.8	87.2	89.9	91.2	91.0	91.9
12th	49.2	49.8	53.3	57.9	61.6	65.2	71.9	69.2	74.4	81.5	84.3	87.7	88.1	89.8
Middle School	86.1	88.2	88.8	88.4	88.7	89.6	91.4	93.6	94.7	95.6	95.6	96.1	96.4	97.0
High School	58.3	61.7	64.5	67.2	70.3	73.5	78.3	79.5	83.9	87.7	89.8	91.6	91.6	92.7
Total	70.4	73.2	74.7	76.3	78.3	80.4	83.8	85.6	88.6	91.0	92.3	93.5	93.6	94.5

Think It Would Be Wrong for Someone Their Age To:

. . . . . . . . . .

Table 43. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who think it would be wrong for someone their age to smoke marijuana or use other illicit drugs—2012 to 2024

			Smo	ke Marij	uana	it out a	e wrong		0110 11101	Use Ot	her Illicit	t Drugs		
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	78.9	75.2	74.4	72.9	73.9	77.0	84.6	96.0	95.2	95.8	96.2	95.9	96.4	97.8
Male	74.3	72.8	73.3	72.8	75.4	79.7	85.8	94.4	94.5	94.7	95.1	94.6	96.1	97.1
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	77.1	74.0	73.7	72.9	73.6	77.1	83.4	96.1	96.1	96.1	96.5	96.0	96.3	97.8
Hispanic/Latino	79.8	75.6	75.8	74.8	78.6	82.9	88.9	94.9	94.4	94.5	95.5	95.4	96.6	97.4
White, non-Hispanic	74.6	72.4	73.1	71.8	73.2	76.1	83.9	95.0	94.5	95.1	95.5	95.0	96.0	97.4
Age														
11	98.0	98.2	98.0	96.9	97.0	97.2	98.0	98.9	99.4	99.3	99.0	98.5	99.1	99.1
12	95.6	94.8	94.8	93.9	93.8	95.7	96.3	98.3	98.5	98.3	98.2	98.5	98.8	98.5
13	89.3	88.4	88.4	86.3	87.2	89.6	92.9	96.9	97.0	97.2	96.8	97.0	97.4	98.0
14	80.4	78.0	78.2	76.0	78.5	83.0	89.0	95.7	95.6	96.0	95.9	96.2	96.7	97.8
15	71.3	68.9	68.7	68.0	70.2	76.9	84.6	94.4	94.4	94.7	95.5	94.8	96.4	97.1
16	65.7	60.9	62.4	60.1	62.6	68.5	78.4	93.3	93.0	93.2	94.6	93.5	95.4	97.1
17	60.4	55.4	55.3	55.0	57.5	60.8	74.0	93.0	91.2	92.8	93.5	92.5	93.8	96.3
18	58.2	53.4	54.2	52.2	52.7	58.2	70.2	92.3	90.4	92.2	92.6	90.9	93.2	96.1
Grade														
6th	96.8	96.4	96.3	95.1	95.5	96.2	97.3	98.6	98.8	98.7	98.4	98.6	98.9	99.0
7th	90.9	90.2	90.8	89.3	90.0	92.7	94.0	97.2	97.1	97.7	97.3	97.5	97.8	98.1
8th	83.2	81.5	82.1	80.9	82.6	85.1	89.9	95.6	96.1	95.9	96.2	96.4	97.0	97.5
9th	73.4	72.2	71.6	71.4	73.0	79.7	87.2	95.1	95.1	95.2	96.0	95.7	96.4	97.7
10th	68.3	63.9	64.5	63.0	65.7	73.1	81.7	93.4	93.1	94.0	94.9	93.8	96.4	97.2
11th	62.4	58.4	58.1	56.9	59.5	63.2	75.4	93.7	92.3	92.4	93.9	93.1	94.3	96.3
12th	58.4	52.0	53.6	51.7	53.4	57.3	70.5	92.1	90.4	92.5	92.3	91.3	93.1	96.1
Middle School	90.3	89.4	89.7	88.5	89.4	91.2	93.7	97.1	97.4	97.5	97.3	97.5	97.9	98.2
High School	66.1	62.2	62.3	60.9	63.2	68.7	79.0	93.6	92.9	93.6	94.3	93.5	95.1	96.9
Total	76.6	74.0	73.8	72.8	74.6	78.3	85.2	95.2	94.8	95.2	95.6	95.3	96.3	97.4

Think It Would Be Wrong for Someone Their Age To:

• • • • • • • • • • • •

. . .

. . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . . .

Table 44. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who think it would be wrong for someone their age to vape nicotine or vape marijuana, 2019 to 2024

		Va	pe Nicoti	ine	iii oulu D	e wrong	one rnen	Var	oe Mariju	ana		
	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex												
Female	 81.2	83.7	80.2	82.4	86.6	88.3	79.5	81.7	79.8	81.3	84.6	87.2
Male	80.6	85.0	84.9	87.5	90.7	91.6	80.0	82.8	82.4	84.9	87.6	89.4
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>												
African American	87.1	89.5	89.0	87.3	91.8	91.6	83.6	85.7	86.1	84.4	88.5	88.9
Hispanic/Latino	83.8	86.8	82.8	86.0	88.1	91.5	82.2	84.8	83.6	86.2	87.8	90.6
White, non-Hispanic	76.4	80.7	79.1	82.9	87.8	88.2	77.2	79.6	77.5	80.7	84.1	86.8
Age												
11	95.4	96.0	95.6	96.0	95.4	97.0	97.3	97.2	96.1	97.2	96.4	98.3
12	91.1	93.2	92.1	93.9	94.0	95.5	94.0	95.1	95.2	96.0	96.4	96.6
13	86.8	88.1	85.9	89.1	91.0	92.8	90.4	89.9	89.2	90.8	92.2	93.7
14	81.5	84.8	81.7	86.0	88.3	90.8	82.6	84.3	83.4	86.5	88.5	90.8
15	77.4	82.4	81.4	83.7	88.3	88.9	75.6	79.6	80.7	81.9	83.7	87.5
16	75.9	80.2	78.2	80.6	86.7	87.9	71.3	74.2	72.5	76.1	81.4	83.9
17	74.4	78.8	76.3	78.6	85.9	85.7	68.6	72.0	68.8	70.8	78.1	80.5
18	69.4	73.2	74.7	74.4	83.6	83.0	62.5	67.2	66.4	68.0	76.7	77.0
Grade												
6th	94.1	94.5	94.4	94.8	94.4	96.1	95.9	96.1	95.9	96.4	96.9	97.4
7th	88.1	90.0	88.0	90.7	91.3	94.0	91.5	91.9	92.0	93.0	92.6	95.0
8th	83.9	86.1	83.0	87.0	88.7	91.5	87.4	86.9	85.8	88.0	88.5	91.6
9th	78.7	83.6	82.4	84.7	87.4	90.1	77.6	82.0	82.1	83.9	84.4	89.3
10th	76.0	80.7	79.0	83.1	87.4	88.1	73.1	75.6	74.4	80.0	82.1	85.7
11th	75.1	79.6	76.4	78.6	86.5	86.6	68.0	73.1	70.9	72.1	80.6	81.5
12th	70.3	75.1	75.0	75.3	84.4	83.8	64.3	68.4	66.3	67.5	75.7	77.6
Middle School	88.7	90.2	88.4	90.7	91.5	93.8	91.6	91.6	91.2	92.4	92.7	94.7
High School	75.1	79.9	78.3	80.6	86.5	87.2	70.9	75.0	73.7	76.2	81.0	83.7
Total	81.0	84.4	82.7	84.9	88.7	90.0	79.8	82.2	81.2	83.1	86.0	88.3

Think It Would Be Wrong for Someone Their Age To:

2024 Florida Youth Substance Abuse Survey

. . . . . . . . . .

.....

Table 45. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who reported that their friends feel it would be wrong to smoke tobacco or drink alcohol regularly—2014 to 2024

		Sm	oke Toba	icco				Drink A	Icohol R	egularly		
	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex												
Female	89.4	91.1	92.1	92.1	91.5	93.2	84.0	85.6	87.1	87.6	87.9	91.3
Male	86.7	89.5	90.2	90.6	91.9	93.1	81.0	82.9	83.7	85.7	88.0	90.2
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>												
African American	92.8	93.1	93.8	93.5	93.8	95.3	85.9	86.5	87.8	88.9	89.7	92.8
Hispanic/Latino	89.4	91.5	92.1	93.0	92.9	93.9	81.9	84.4	85.3	86.5	88.4	91.4
White, non-Hispanic	85.0	88.3	89.2	89.3	89.9	91.5	80.7	82.8	84.2	85.4	86.6	89.4
Age												
11	98.1	98.0	97.6	97.1	98.1	97.7	96.6	96.9	95.7	95.4	96.0	96.6
12	95.7	96.4	96.0	96.2	95.9	96.7	93.8	94.5	93.7	94.1	93.9	94.9
13	94.4	94.0	93.0	93.3	93.5	94.9	89.9	89.9	89.4	89.7	90.3	92.8
14	89.8	91.8	91.7	91.6	91.6	94.2	83.1	85.6	85.0	87.2	87.2	91.0
15	87.7	90.3	91.0	90.8	91.4	92.3	79.1	81.5	83.7	83.9	86.5	89.7
16	84.5	87.6	89.4	89.1	89.7	91.5	76.6	79.0	80.7	82.8	85.1	88.4
17	79.7	85.2	87.4	87.5	87.6	89.7	73.6	76.6	79.6	81.4	83.9	88.0
18	75.2	80.4	83.9	84.8	87.7	89.7	71.7	74.8	78.9	80.2	84.6	87.1
Grade												
6th	96.7	97.1	96.9	96.5	97.0	97.5	94.8	95.4	94.8	94.5	94.9	96.0
7th	93.8	94.7	94.4	94.3	94.2	95.1	90.6	91.4	90.7	91.2	91.5	93.3
8th	91.8	92.5	91.8	92.4	92.3	94.1	85.3	87.1	87.0	88.2	88.2	91.2
9th	87.6	90.7	91.1	90.8	91.6	93.5	80.4	83.0	84.1	85.5	86.9	90.1
10th	86.3	88.3	90.4	90.0	90.3	91.8	77.2	80.0	81.3	82.9	85.7	89.5
11th	81.9	86.0	88.7	88.8	88.3	90.2	75.0	78.1	80.1	82.6	84.0	87.7
12th	76.0	82.2	84.3	85.2	87.6	89.7	72.5	74.1	79.0	80.1	84.5	87.3
Middle School	94.2	94.8	94.4	94.4	94.5	95.6	90.3	91.3	90.9	91.3	91.4	93.5
High School	83.3	87.0	88.7	88.8	89.5	91.4	76.5	79.0	81.2	82.8	85.3	88.7
Total	88.0	90.3	91.1	91.3	91.7	93.1	82.5	84.2	85.3	86.6	87.9	90.7

Friends Feel It Would Be Wrong for You To:

Note: These questions were modified in the 2013 survey. Instead of assessing peer disapproval, previous versions asked respondents "what are the chances you would be seen as cool." As a result, a direct comparison between these data and older survey results is not possible.

. . . . .

. . . . . . . . .

Table 46. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who reported that their friends feel it would be wrong to smoke marijuana or use prescription drugs not prescribed to you—2014 to 2024

	Smoke Marijuana						Use	Rx Drugs	Not Pres	scribed to	You		
		2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex													
Female		72.8	72.6	71.5	73.0	76.0	83.5	93.8	93.4	94.1	93.7	94.5	96.0
Male		70.2	71.3	70.6	73.6	79.1	84.7	92.6	92.2	92.3	92.6	94.2	95.5
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>													
African American		71.6	72.3	71.8	72.9	76.8	83.7	94.0	93.3	93.4	93.5	94.4	95.7
Hispanic/Latino		72.1	74.0	72.6	76.6	81.4	87.2	92.6	91.9	93.3	93.4	94.7	96.2
White, non-Hispanic		70.6	71.2	69.7	71.9	75.5	82.6	93.1	93.1	93.2	93.2	94.2	95.3
Age													
11		97.8	97.7	96.8	95.9	97.3	97.2	98.5	98.0	97.1	96.8	97.5	97.8
12		93.8	94.2	93.3	93.1	94.0	95.6	97.2	96.7	96.4	96.8	96.1	96.9
13		87.5	86.3	83.9	85.5	88.4	92.2	96.1	95.4	94.4	94.1	94.7	96.3
14		73.3	75.8	72.4	76.3	80.6	87.6	93.3	93.7	92.4	93.3	93.2	96.2
15		65.2	66.5	66.2	67.9	75.7	82.4	92.0	91.6	92.2	91.7	94.0	94.5
16		58.0	59.0	58.4	60.1	68.2	77.4	91.1	89.4	91.8	91.4	94.1	95.2
17		52.9	53.4	53.1	56.2	60.3	71.8	89.8	90.0	91.7	90.9	92.7	94.5
18		51.6	52.9	52.0	54.7	60.8	71.7	88.5	90.4	91.3	91.1	93.8	95.7
Grade													
6th		95.7	96.1	95.2	94.6	95.3	97.0	97.6	97.4	97.0	96.8	97.0	97.7
7th		89.0	89.3	88.1	88.6	90.9	93.1	96.1	95.9	95.0	95.1	95.2	96.2
8th		78.8	80.3	77.5	80.4	83.3	88.7	94.4	94.0	93.1	93.7	93.1	95.9
9th		67.4	68.7	68.6	71.5	77.9	85.1	92.2	92.4	92.8	92.4	93.6	95.5
10th		61.1	61.7	61.5	62.9	72.1	80.2	92.0	90.7	91.6	91.6	94.2	95.0
11th		54.9	55.8	55.1	57.1	63.0	73.5	90.1	89.6	91.5	91.0	92.9	94.6
12th		50.6	51.9	50.4	54.6	59.0	70.6	89.0	89.3	91.1	90.8	93.9	95.2
Middle School		87.9	88.6	87.0	87.9	89.7	92.9	96.1	95.7	95.0	95.2	95.1	96.6
High School		59.0	59.9	59.1	61.8	68.4	77.6	90.9	90.6	91.8	91.5	93.7	95.1
Total		71.5	72.0	71.0	73.3	77.6	84.1	93.1	92.7	93.2	93.1	94.3	95.7

Friends Feel It Would Be Wrong for You To:

Note: These questions were modified in the 2013 survey. Instead of assessing peer disapproval, previous versions asked respondents "what are the chances you would be seen as cool." As a result, a direct comparison between these data and older survey results is not possible.

. . . . .

. . . . . .

Table 47. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who reported that their friends feel it would be wrong to vape nicotine or vape marijuana, 2019 to 2024

	Vape Nicotine						20 1110	Vape Marijuana						
		2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024		2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
		%	%	%	%	%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female		75.8	78.3	75.3	77.6	81.9	84.7		76.0	78.9	77.5	78.7	81.4	84.8
Male		75.5	80.3	81.0	83.8	86.7	89.0		77.1	79.5	81.0	82.8	84.8	87.5
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American		86.0	87.7	84.2	85.2	88.6	89.3		82.6	84.5	83.5	83.5	85.9	87.5
Hispanic/Latino		78.9	81.4	79.7	81.8	84.0	88.4		78.1	80.9	81.4	83.0	84.6	88.6
White, non-Hispanic		68.8	74.3	75.2	77.4	82.8	84.8		73.2	76.1	76.6	78.1	81.1	84.3
Age														
11		94.5	95.3	94.0	94.8	93.4	95.2		96.2	96.5	96.1	96.9	95.4	97.1
12		88.0	91.4	90.3	90.2	91.4	93.6		91.8	93.8	93.7	93.4	94.1	95.4
13		83.4	84.8	82.8	85.6	87.2	90.7		86.7	87.7	86.8	88.7	89.5	92.5
14		74.5	79.8	77.1	80.6	83.9	87.8		78.8	81.4	81.2	83.2	84.5	88.7
15		70.5	75.6	76.8	78.5	82.4	85.2		72.1	75.4	77.5	79.2	80.3	84.4
16		67.9	72.7	70.6	75.6	80.7	84.1		65.6	69.7	69.8	73.5	77.3	81.1
17		68.3	69.8	70.5	72.4	81.0	80.6		66.0	66.3	68.1	67.3	75.8	77.1
18		65.2	69.0	70.0	73.7	80.2	80.4		61.8	66.0	64.3	67.9	73.7	76.4
Grade														
6th		92.3	93.6	92.9	92.5	92.4	94.6		95.0	95.2	95.1	95.0	95.3	96.7
7th		85.0	87.6	85.7	87.1	87.1	91.7		88.4	90.4	90.1	90.7	89.5	93.5
8th		77.7	82.3	79.7	82.9	85.0	88.4		81.2	84.4	83.8	85.3	85.2	89.5
9th		72.1	77.0	75.5	79.4	82.0	87.5		74.8	78.2	78.7	80.8	81.5	87.2
10th		68.2	73.7	73.7	77.5	80.9	84.3		67.8	71.4	72.6	77.0	77.8	82.8
11th		68.6	71.3	70.7	72.9	81.3	81.5		65.3	67.6	68.1	68.9	76.8	77.7
12th		64.6	68.2	69.3	72.2	80.0	80.1		62.5	65.0	65.2	66.5	73.1	75.9
Middle School		85.1	87.8	86.0	87.4	88.2	91.5		88.2	90.0	89.6	90.2	90.0	93.2
High School		68.4	72.7	72.4	75.6	81.1	83.5		67.7	70.7	71.3	73.6	77.5	81.1
Total		75.7	79.3	78.3	80.7	84.2	86.9		76.6	79.2	79.3	80.8	83.0	86.2

Friends Feel It Would Be Wrong for You To:

. . . . . . . . . . .

Table 48. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who reported that their parents feel it would be wrong to drink alcohol regularly, smoke cigarettes, smoke marijuana, or use prescription drugs not prescribed to them, 2024

	Drink Alcohol Regularly	Smoke Cigarettes	Smoke Marijuana	Use Prescription Drugs Not Prescribed to Them
	%	%	%	%
Sex				
Female	96.8	98.5	94.0	97.9
Male	96.5	98.6	94.6	98.3
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>				
African American	97.1	98.9	94.3	97.8
Hispanic/Latino	97.0	98.7	96.8	98.1
White, non-Hispanic	96.1	98.3	93.0	98.4
Age				
11	98.5	99.2	99.1	98.6
12	98.3	98.9	98.6	98.4
13	97.4	98.8	97.2	98.4
14	97.5	98.8	96.0	98.5
15	96.4	98.2	93.6	97.0
16	95.6	98.6	91.5	98.2
17	95.7	98.4	90.9	98.0
18	94.2	97.5	87.6	97.9
Grade				
6th	98.4	99.1	98.9	98.8
7th	97.9	98.9	97.5	98.3
8th	97.1	98.8	96.0	98.3
9th	96.6	98.4	95.0	97.7
10th	96.0	98.2	92.5	97.4
11th	95.4	98.6	91.3	98.2
12th	95.3	98.0	88.7	98.1
Middle School	97.8	98.9	97.4	98.4
High School	95.8	98.3	92.0	97.9
Total	96.7	98.6	94.3	98.1

Parents Feel It Would Be Wrong for You To:

. . . . . . . .

Table 49. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who think it would be wrong for their parents to drink alcohol regularly, smoke cigarettes, smoke marijuana, or use prescription drugs not prescribed to them, among <u>middle school</u> youth, 2024

	Drink Alcohol Regularly	Smoke Cigarettes	Smoke Marijuana	Use Prescription Drugs Not Prescribed to Them
	%	%	%	%
Sex				
Female	80.1	86.0	88.2	96.1
Male	82.8	89.6	91.7	97.3
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>				
African American	87.4	91.7	90.3	96.7
Hispanic/Latino	85.4	90.5	93.8	97.1
White, non-Hispanic	74.8	84.2	88.1	96.7
Age				
11	84.4	91.7	94.8	97.3
12	81.1	88.0	92.6	96.8
13	81.0	86.9	88.6	96.7
14	80.3	86.0	85.6	96.4
15				
16				
17				
18				
Grade				
6th	83.0	90.2	93.8	97.3
7th	81.5	87.0	90.4	96.6
8th	79.9	86.1	85.8	96.2
9th				
10th				
11th				
12th				
Middle School	81.4	87.7	89.9	96.7
High School				
Total				

Think It Would Be Wrong for Their Parents To:

.....

. . .

. . . . . . . . .

	School Sports	Organized Sports Outside of School	School Band	School Club(s)	Community Club(s)
	%	%	%	%	%
Sex					
Female	35.6	29.5	11.7	35.8	13.6
Male	40.9	37.0	11.7	21.6	9.0
Race/Ethnic group					
African American	47.5	31.7	11.2	24.1	10.7
Hispanic/Latino	33.5	28.6	9.9	25.3	10.7
White, non-Hispanic	36.3	36.3	12.3	31.9	11.5
Age					
11	35.9	47.1	17.9	25.7	9.8
12	37.4	47.1	18.2	21.9	9.4
13	38.3	42.3	16.4	22.0	8.2
14	40.4	35.0	12.1	24.3	9.5
15	41.1	30.2	9.0	28.4	10.4
16	38.6	25.5	8.3	33.6	13.7
17	35.3	21.8	7.1	36.6	13.8
18	36.2	22.4	6.9	38.9	16.2
Grade					
6th	37.6	48.0	17.5	23.3	9.8
7th	38.5	42.5	17.8	20.8	8.3
8th	38.3	40.4	14.7	22.9	9.2
9th	40.8	30.8	9.0	25.4	9.5
10th	40.5	26.9	8.5	32.1	12.3
11th	37.2	23.4	7.4	36.9	13.7
12th	33.9	21.3	7.2	39.1	16.1
Middle School	38.2	43.6	16.7	22.3	9.1
High School	38.2	25.7	8.1	33.2	12.8
Total	38.2	33.3	11.7	28.6	11.2

# Table 50. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth reporting participation in extracurricular activities, 2024

.....

	Skipped School Because of Bullying	Was Kicked or Shoved	Was Taunted or Teased	Victim of Cyber Bullying	Physically Bullied Others	Verbally Bullied Others	Cyber Bullied Others
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex							
Female	13.7	36.6	64.0	36.7	15.9	30.0	14.6
Male	4.7	34.3	53.2	21.6	18.9	31.8	12.2
Race/Ethnic group							
African American	6.6	31.5	52.4	24.9	21.4	32.8	15.1
Hispanic/Latino	7.1	28.1	50.6	23.0	13.9	26.1	10.0
White, non-Hispanic	11.5	40.0	64.9	34.0	15.9	31.3	13.7
Age							
11	8.3	50.2	71.3	25.2	23.3	36.1	11.9
12	9.2	49.6	70.3	28.5	24.0	36.8	13.2
13	10.6	45.1	66.5	31.1	24.0	38.6	15.1
14	9.5	38.5	61.8	30.2	20.7	33.7	15.6
15	9.2	32.5	55.3	30.3	16.2	29.5	14.1
16	9.2	26.1	51.4	29.0	12.9	26.9	13.1
17	8.4	23.9	48.7	27.5	10.2	23.4	11.0
18	7.9	23.0	47.9	27.7	8.7	22.4	10.5
Grade							
6th	9.3	49.5	70.4	27.3	23.8	37.2	12.6
7th	10.0	48.1	68.1	30.0	24.8	38.4	14.6
8th	10.6	42.2	65.3	31.6	23.7	36.4	16.5
9th	8.8	33.2	56.1	29.6	16.8	30.2	14.1
10th	8.9	28.2	53.1	28.9	13.3	26.7	13.0
11th	9.0	24.9	48.9	28.3	11.8	24.7	12.0
12th	7.7	22.9	48.3	27.4	8.2	22.8	10.9
Middle School	10.0	46.6	67.9	29.6	24.1	37.4	14.6
High School	8.6	27.4	51.7	28.6	12.6	26.2	12.5
Total	9.2	35.5	58.5	29.0	17.5	30.9	13.4

Table 51. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth reporting involvement in bullying behavior, 2024

....

. . . . . . . . . . .

	Bought in a Store	Bought in a Restaurant, Bar or Club	Bought at a Public Event	Someone Bought it for Me	Someone Gave it to Me	Took it from a Store	Took it from a Family Member	Some Other Way
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex								
Female	10.1	3.5	0.6	7.0	43.9	1.3	15.2	18.4
Male	17.7	2.8	1.9	7.3	37.3	3.1	9.5	20.2
Race/Ethnic group								
African American	9.2	3.4	2.0	4.3	46.2	3.2	11.7	20.1
Hispanic/Latino	10.5	5.9	1.5	2.8	38.3	2.3	14.4	24.4
White, non-Hispanic	16.1	2.2	0.6	10.1	41.3	1.7	11.5	16.4
Age								
11								
12								
13								
14	7.6	0.9	2.5	2.6	43.0	4.5	22.2	16.8
15	4.4	3.5	0.8	4.8	41.0	3.2	22.7	19.6
16	10.0	2.6	1.1	8.9	42.7	1.6	12.5	20.7
17	18.2	2.7	1.7	7.4	42.4	0.8	9.0	17.9
18	21.0	4.6	0.6	7.9	36.9	3.1	6.2	19.7
Grade								
6th								
7th								
8th								
9th	6.2	2.5	1.9	4.4	36.4	4.1	21.6	22.8
10th	7.4	2.6	1.1	6.7	42.2	2.3	17.9	20.0
11th	14.4	2.5	0.7	7.3	46.4	2.1	9.0	17.5
12th	20.1	4.5	1.3	8.5	38.3	1.0	8.1	18.2
Middle School								
High School	13.4	3.2	1.2	7.1	41.1	2.1	12.8	19.2
Total								

Table 52. Usual source of alcohol within the past 30 days among surveyed Florida high school youth who drank, 2024

Note: Percentages total to 100% across each row. Rounding can produce totals that do not equal 100%.

....

...

. . . . . . . . . .

	My Home	Another Person's Home	Car or Other Vehicle	Restaurant, Bar or Club	Public Place	Public Event	School Property	Some Other Place
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex								
Female	44.6	31.4	1.6	5.3	5.4	2.6	0.9	8.3
Male	41.3	29.0	3.1	6.1	5.0	3.4	2.3	9.9
Race/Ethnic group								
African American	44.1	23.8	3.0	3.9	6.3	5.4	6.0	7.7
Hispanic/Latino	43.4	25.5	2.1	7.6	6.5	3.5	0.9	10.5
White, non-Hispanic	41.7	34.3	1.8	5.0	4.8	2.4	0.9	9.1
Age								
11								
12								
13								
14	52.5	24.7	2.4	1.6	4.9	1.6	1.9	10.2
15	47.9	26.9	2.6	1.9	4.1	3.9	1.8	10.9
16	45.9	28.2	3.3	5.7	4.9	2.8	1.4	7.9
17	40.9	34.7	1.2	5.7	5.8	1.9	1.6	8.3
18	35.1	32.8	1.6	10.2	6.2	3.9	0.9	9.2
Grade								
6th								
7th								
8th								
9th	49.2	25.2	2.7	1.1	3.8	3.4	1.2	13.4
10th	46.4	25.9	2.2	4.6	6.3	2.9	2.2	9.4
11th	41.8	33.7	3.1	5.4	4.1	2.7	1.1	8.0
12th	39.2	33.3	1.2	8.7	6.0	2.8	1.4	7.4
Middle School								
High School	43.3	30.3	2.2	5.6	5.2	2.9	1.5	9.0
Total								

Table 53. Usual drinking location within the past 30 days among surveyed Florida high school youth who drank, 2024

Note: Percentages total to 100% across each row. Rounding can produce totals that do not equal 100%.

.

Table 54. Number of drinks consumed, per day, on the days students drank in the past 30 days, among surveyed Florida <u>high</u> <u>school youth who drank</u>, 2024

	1	2	3	4	5 or More
	%	%	%	%	%
Sex					
Female	34.8	25.2	17.8	7.0	15.1
Male	30.9	20.0	17.6	7.1	24.5
Race/Ethnic group					
African American	41.3	19.5	22.2	4.0	13.0
Hispanic/Latino	33.1	27.5	18.0	5.0	16.4
White, non-Hispanic	28.5	22.9	18.2	8.5	22.0
Age					
11					
12					
13					
14	35.9	26.9	16.7	8.7	11.8
15	42.8	19.9	16.7	6.0	14.6
16	30.9	26.4	18.3	6.8	17.5
17	29.1	23.5	19.8	8.4	19.2
18	33.5	18.9	16.0	5.7	25.9
Grade					
6th					
7th					
8th					
9th	38.4	25.0	17.7	6.3	12.6
10th	36.1	24.7	15.6	5.4	18.2
11th	32.1	21.1	20.5	9.6	16.7
12th	29.8	22.4	17.1	6.2	24.4
Middle School					
High School	33.2	23.0	17.8	7.0	19.0
Total					

Note: Percentages total to 100% across each row. Rounding can produce totals that do not equal 100%.

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Table 55. Percentage of surveyed Florida <u>high school</u> youth who reported <u>riding</u> in a vehicle within the past 30 days driven by someone who had been drinking alcohol or using marijuana—2012 to 2024

	Drinking Alcohol							ľ		Usir	ng Mariju	iana						
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024				
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%				
Sex																		
Female	22.8	20.1	17.5	15.1	16.2	16.0	14.1	25.5	24.4	23.7	24.4	24.0	20.6	16.7				
Male	19.9	16.2	15.3	13.4	13.3	11.2	10.7	25.3	22.7	21.7	21.5	20.2	15.1	13.0				
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>																		
African American	18.3	14.8	14.7	12.5	11.6	10.1	8.8	27.0	27.1	26.2	25.4	26.7	20.0	16.1				
Hispanic/Latino	22.0	19.0	17.2	14.2	15.4	13.8	11.6	23.5	20.6	19.9	19.8	18.0	15.2	10.5				
White, non-Hispanic	22.2	19.4	16.7	15.0	15.6	14.9	14.3	25.0	23.3	21.6	22.5	21.3	18.3	16.2				
Age																		
11																		
12																		
13																		
14	18.7	16.8	15.6	15.2	14.8	15.6	12.1	13.0	14.8	14.3	16.4	16.4	12.9	11.1				
15	20.9	17.8	17.1	14.5	15.9	14.9	14.0	21.5	19.1	18.9	18.7	18.5	15.8	13.8				
16	20.6	17.2	15.2	13.7	14.8	13.1	12.7	26.0	23.6	22.6	23.8	22.0	17.6	14.7				
17	22.1	19.3	16.7	14.8	13.6	12.8	11.0	30.5	28.7	27.2	25.8	25.0	20.5	15.8				
18	23.7	18.9	17.0	13.7	14.6	11.1	11.3	31.6	28.9	27.9	29.0	28.6	21.9	18.2				
Grade																		
6th																		
7th																		
8th																		
9th	21.3	18.2	17.2	15.4	15.8	15.6	13.1	19.9	17.5	16.9	17.7	17.2	14.3	12.9				
10th	20.0	18.0	15.6	14.2	14.8	13.8	13.7	22.5	22.7	21.9	22.3	20.4	16.3	14.0				
11th	21.3	17.8	16.3	13.9	13.9	12.8	12.0	29.5	26.1	24.6	24.2	24.6	19.9	16.1				
12th	23.1	18.6	16.3	13.9	14.4	11.6	10.5	31.0	29.3	28.1	28.0	26.7	21.4	16.5				
Middle School																		
High School	21.4	18.1	16.4	14.3	14.7	13.5	12.4	25.4	23.5	22.7	22.9	22.1	17.8	14.8				
Total																		

Riding in a Vehicle Driven by Someone Who Had Been:

. . . . . . . . .

. . .

. . . . . . . . . . . .

Table 56. Percentage of surveyed Florida <u>high school</u> youth who reported <u>driving</u> a vehicle within the past 30 days after drinking alcohol or using marijuana—2012 to 2024

		Drinking Alcohol							Using Marijuana					
	2012 %	2014 %	2016 %	2018 %	2020 %	2022 %	2024 %	2012 %	2014 %	2016 %	2018 %	2020 %	2022 %	2024 %
Sex														
Female	7.4	6.2	5.0	3.8	3.6	3.2	2.1	8.8	9.6	9.1	8.7	8.2	6.7	4.5
Male	8.8	6.8	5.8	4.9	4.6	3.4	2.8	13.4	12.2	11.4	10.1	9.8	6.1	4.3
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	6.4	4.8	5.0	3.7	3.2	2.5	1.8	11.1	10.0	10.3	9.4	9.6	7.0	4.8
Hispanic/Latino	8.0	6.7	5.5	4.1	4.1	2.3	2.0	9.4	10.2	9.5	7.7	7.5	4.3	2.9
White, non-Hispanic	8.8	7.4	5.6	4.8	4.4	4.3	2.9	11.8	11.4	10.4	10.1	9.3	7.2	5.0
Age														
11														
12														
13														
14	3.1	2.6	1.9	1.5	2.0	1.1	1.0	3.0	4.5	4.3	3.0	2.2	2.8	2.0
15	5.1	4.2	3.4	3.1	2.7	2.1	1.4	6.5	7.1	6.0	5.3	5.7	3.9	3.0
16	8.1	6.1	5.0	3.8	3.4	3.2	2.5	11.8	10.1	9.7	9.7	9.1	6.5	4.3
17	10.4	8.6	7.1	5.9	5.4	4.2	3.0	15.0	15.1	13.9	12.5	11.7	8.5	5.8
18	12.8	10.5	8.9	7.2	7.2	5.6	4.0	17.0	16.5	16.5	15.8	16.0	10.0	6.1
Grade														
6th														
7th														
8th														
9th	5.2	3.8	3.1	2.5	2.5	2.1	1.4	6.2	6.5	5.8	4.6	4.2	3.7	2.4
10th	6.0	5.8	4.5	3.9	2.9	2.2	2.1	8.8	9.6	8.5	7.8	7.3	5.0	4.0
11th	9.8	7.3	5.9	4.6	4.4	4.0	3.0	14.3	12.5	11.8	11.4	11.5	7.3	4.9
12th	12.4	10.2	8.5	6.8	6.9	5.3	3.5	16.5	16.1	15.7	14.5	13.8	9.9	6.3
Middle School														
High School	8.1	6.6	5.4	4.4	4.1	3.3	2.4	11.2	10.9	10.3	9.5	9.0	6.4	4.4
Total														

Driving a Vehicle After:

. . . .

.....

. . . . . . . . . . . .

Table 57. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who reported drinking alcohol, smoking marijuana, or using another drug to get high <u>before or during school</u> in the past 12 months, 2024

	<b>Drinking Alcohol</b>	Smoking Marijuana	Using Another Drug
	%	%	%
Sex			
Female	3.7	6.8	2.3
Male	2.4	5.0	1.8
Race/Ethnic group			
African American	2.4	5.8	2.3
Hispanic/Latino	2.6	5.0	1.9
White, non-Hispanic	3.3	6.3	1.9
Age			
11	1.5	0.9	0.5
12	1.6	1.2	1.0
13	2.6	3.4	2.4
14	3.7	5.8	2.2
15	3.8	7.3	2.5
16	4.0	9.0	2.5
17	3.2	9.2	2.2
18	2.8	7.7	1.7
Grade			
6th	1.5	1.1	0.9
7th	2.5	2.5	1.8
8th	3.8	5.6	2.7
9th	3.5	5.7	2.1
10th	3.6	8.2	2.4
11th	3.7	9.6	2.7
12th	2.7	8.3	1.7
Middle School	2.6	3.1	1.8
High School	3.4	7.9	2.2
Total	3.1	5.9	2.1

. . . .
Table 58. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who have talked with a parent or guardian in the past 12 months about the dangers of taking a prescription drug that was not prescribed to you—2018 to 2024

	Talked with a Parent about Prescription Drug Abuse									
			2018	2020	2022	2024				
			%	%	%	%				
Sex										
Female			25.2	26.1	22.9	22.4				
Male			23.7	25.1	21.6	20.2				
Race/Ethnic group										
African American			20.4	20.4	16.5	16.4				
Hispanic/Latino			26.2	26.9	24.5	21.7				
White, non-Hispanic			25.7	27.6	24.1	23.6				
Age										
11			28.6	27.4	24.2	22.3				
12			27.0	26.4	24.1	21.7				
13			25.1	26.3	22.4	22.0				
14			24.9	27.3	23.8	21.4				
15			25.2	26.5	23.4	21.9				
16			24.0	24.9	22.2	22.7				
17			22.1	22.6	18.9	19.7				
18			19.9	23.6	18.9	16.6				
Grade										
6th			27.8	27.7	24.3	22.1				
7th			24.6	25.1	22.3	21.4				
8th			25.6	26.7	22.9	22.1				
9th			25.3	27.5	23.9	21.0				
10th			25.5	24.9	22.5	22.7				
11th			22.1	24.7	20.5	21.8				
12th			20.5	22.4	18.8	17.4				
Middle School			26.0	26.5	23.1	21.9				
High School			23.4	24.9	21.5	20.8				
Total			24.5	25.6	22.2	21.2				

. . . . . . .

•

Table 59. Percentage of surveyed Florida <a href="https://www.high.com">https://www.high.com</a> products that contain <a href="https://www.beard.about.or">Delta-8</a>THC or Delta-10 THCor kratom2024

	Heard about products that contain Delta-8 THC or Delta-10 THC	Used products that contain Delta-8 THC or Delta-10 THC	Heard about products that contain kratom	Used products that contain kratom
	%	%	%	%
Sex				
Female	27.0	9.3	8.5	1.2
Male	27.6	8.2	8.8	1.1
Race/Ethnic group				
African American	19.9	6.2	4.7	0.8
Hispanic/Latino	19.3	6.0	5.6	0.9
White, non-Hispanic	36.4	11.5	12.6	1.3
Age				
11				
12				
13				
14	19.7	4.7	7.9	0.6
15	23.4	6.4	7.7	0.8
16	28.5	9.7	9.1	0.9
17	31.7	10.4	9.0	1.5
18	31.5	11.4	9.7	1.9
Grade				
6th				
7th				
8th				
9th	20.2	5.1	7.7	0.6
10th	25.8	8.0	8.1	0.9
11th	30.7	10.5	9.2	1.2
12th	33.1	11.6	9.8	1.8
Middle School				
High School	27.3	8.7	8.7	1.1
Total				

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . . . . .

Table 60. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who "agree" or "strongly agree" with statements indicating impulsiveness or a lack of self-control, 2024

	Do what brings me pleasure now	More concerned with the short run	Getting in trouble is exciting	Excitement more important than security	People better stay away from me when I'm angry	I get upset when I have a disagreement
	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex						
Female	31.4	27.2	26.7	23.6	27.3	48.8
Male	27.0	22.9	25.2	25.8	21.1	31.2
Race/Ethnic group						
African American	32.2	28.3	24.3	21.8	29.3	40.4
Hispanic/Latino	28.0	26.3	24.7	24.6	21.2	36.5
White, non-Hispanic	27.5	22.0	26.5	25.8	21.8	41.0
Age						
11	31.6	29.6	23.5	25.0	29.3	51.0
12	32.1	28.5	25.2	24.7	29.6	48.4
13	30.1	26.9	27.8	27.5	26.9	46.3
14	28.8	26.0	27.9	26.1	24.7	42.1
15	27.7	23.9	26.0	24.5	22.1	37.5
16	28.8	23.9	25.9	23.2	21.5	34.5
17	27.6	21.7	25.2	23.7	20.4	32.6
18	28.3	21.6	24.0	22.1	21.7	31.3
Grade						
6th	30.7	28.7	24.0	24.6	29.9	48.3
7th	32.1	28.3	26.9	27.0	28.4	47.3
8th	29.4	26.4	29.6	27.6	27.1	45.4
9th	27.6	25.2	25.3	24.0	22.1	38.3
10th	28.0	23.2	25.2	23.8	20.9	35.3
11th	28.2	22.6	25.7	22.3	20.9	33.2
12th	28.4	21.3	25.0	23.6	20.5	32.0
Middle School	30.7	27.7	26.9	26.5	28.4	47.0
High School	28.0	23.1	25.3	23.4	21.1	34.8
Total	29.2	25.1	26.0	24.7	24.2	39.9

Lack of Self-Control

. . . . . . .

. . .

	 Hours of Sleep on a School Night									
		2018	2020	2022	2024					
Sex										
Female		6.9	6.8	6.7	6.9					
Male		7.0	6.9	7.0	7.1					
Race/Ethnic group										
African American		6.9	6.9	6.8	7.0					
Hispanic/Latino		6.9	6.8	6.8	7.0					
White, non-Hispanic		7.0	6.9	6.9	7.0					
Age										
11		8.2	7.9	7.9	8.0					
12		7.9	7.7	7.7	7.7					
13		7.5	7.3	7.3	7.4					
14		7.0	6.9	6.9	7.0					
15		6.7	6.6	6.6	6.8					
16		6.4	6.4	6.4	6.6					
17		6.3	6.2	6.2	6.4					
18		6.2	6.2	6.2	6.3					
Grade										
6th		8.0	7.8	7.8	7.9					
7th		7.6	7.5	7.4	7.5					
8th		7.3	7.1	7.2	7.3					
9th		6.7	6.6	6.7	6.8					
10th		6.5	6.5	6.5	6.7					
11th		6.3	6.3	6.3	6.5					
12th		6.2	6.2	6.2	6.3					
Middle School		7.6	7.5	7.4	7.6					
High School		6.4	6.4	6.4	6.6					
Total		6.9	6.9	6.9	7.0					

Table 61. Average number of hours of sleep on a school night reported by surveyed Florida youth-2018 to 2024

Note: In 2022, two additional response options, "11 hours" and "12 or more hours," were added.

		Symptoms of Depression												
		Someti	mes I thi	nk that li	fe is not v	vorth it		At times I think I am no good at all						
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	28.0	30.3	31.1	35.9	39.5	43.0	35.1	41.0	46.4	46.2	49.9	55.8	57.5	48.5
Male	16.6	15.3	15.8	20.5	21.9	23.2	18.9	24.2	24.9	25.2	29.6	33.5	34.5	30.2
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	20.7	20.2	22.1	27.1	29.9	33.0	27.9	27.7	30.9	33.0	35.4	39.7	41.8	35.5
Hispanic/Latino	21.5	22.5	22.8	27.2	29.2	30.9	24.3	32.6	35.7	35.6	39.6	43.9	46.3	38.2
White, non-Hispanic	21.3	22.9	23.6	27.8	30.7	33.0	27.0	32.3	35.8	35.7	40.0	46.2	46.4	40.5
Age														
11	16.8	15.1	16.7	22.7	27.3	31.4	29.2	30.8	31.2	32.8	36.2	43.5	48.5	44.7
12	18.9	19.6	19.9	23.3	28.1	30.8	27.6	31.5	32.6	32.7	36.4	43.8	47.1	42.4
13	22.1	24.2	24.0	28.4	31.4	33.6	29.3	31.7	36.7	35.4	40.4	45.9	47.5	42.2
14	24.2	25.0	26.0	30.4	31.3	36.4	28.2	33.9	37.2	37.6	40.7	45.2	47.5	39.9
15	24.4	26.3	25.9	29.3	32.0	34.3	27.9	34.8	38.3	38.1	40.3	45.2	45.3	38.2
16	23.9	23.7	25.1	30.4	31.4	34.4	25.8	34.0	37.4	37.2	42.1	45.9	46.5	37.1
17	21.9	22.2	22.8	30.6	31.7	33.4	24.2	31.4	34.5	34.9	42.4	44.6	44.7	36.4
18	21.3	20.0	23.1	26.6	31.2	28.2	23.1	30.4	31.8	33.9	35.7	41.8	41.5	36.2
Grade														
6th	18.6	18.3	18.8	23.5	28.6	31.7	28.2	31.0	32.4	32.8	36.4	43.6	48.2	43.1
7th	21.5	22.5	22.4	26.3	29.8	33.0	28.7	32.3	34.4	34.9	38.1	45.2	47.2	42.3
8th	23.6	24.5	25.8	29.7	31.3	34.3	29.5	33.0	37.2	36.0	40.3	45.2	46.5	41.1
9th	24.2	25.9	25.8	29.4	30.9	34.2	27.4	34.6	38.1	37.8	41.4	44.7	45.7	38.5
10th	24.3	25.2	25.5	29.5	31.8	35.3	26.3	34.7	37.9	37.9	40.8	45.6	46.0	37.5
11th	22.1	22.2	23.2	29.6	31.8	34.2	25.2	32.2	35.8	35.6	41.4	45.9	46.2	37.1
12th	20.6	20.5	22.7	29.5	31.1	30.0	23.0	29.7	32.5	34.4	39.5	42.2	42.8	35.3
Middle School	21.2	21.8	22.3	26.5	29.9	33.0	28.8	32.1	34.7	34.5	38.2	44.7	47.3	42.2
High School	22.9	23.6	24.4	29.5	31.4	33.5	25.5	32.9	36.3	36.5	40.8	44.6	45.2	37.1
Total	22.2	22.8	23.5	28.2	30.7	33.3	26.9	32.6	35.6	35.7	39.7	44.7	46.1	39.3

## Table 62. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who reported symptoms of depression—2012 to 2024

Note: Table shows percentage of students who answered "yes" or "YES!"

. . . . . . . . . . . .

						Syr	nptoms o	f Depress	sion					
	Al	l in all, I	am inclin	ed to thir	nk that I a	am a failu	ire	In	the past y	year, felt	depressed	l or sad o	n most da	ays
	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex														
Female	20.3	26.1	27.6	32.1	36.6	38.6	33.4	49.2	51.0	50.5	53.1	57.0	58.4	50.7
Male	13.4	14.4	15.3	18.8	20.8	22.4	20.7	31.0	29.9	29.0	32.2	35.5	36.0	30.9
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>														
African American	13.9	16.1	17.5	21.2	23.7	25.9	23.1	44.1	43.4	42.0	45.2	46.0	47.5	41.1
Hispanic/Latino	16.4	21.2	22.6	25.8	29.4	30.7	26.1	43.2	43.2	41.9	43.9	47.6	47.9	39.6
White, non-Hispanic	16.3	20.2	21.7	26.1	29.6	31.2	28.2	34.6	36.0	36.3	38.6	44.2	44.9	39.4
Age														
11	15.3	16.8	17.7	22.8	27.5	32.5	30.3	38.8	35.3	35.5	37.3	41.2	46.5	41.8
12	16.6	19.0	19.1	22.8	28.2	30.5	29.5	39.4	38.5	37.1	38.2	43.5	44.3	43.6
13	16.9	22.3	21.9	25.8	29.2	32.6	29.8	38.9	40.4	39.5	42.0	45.0	47.4	41.7
14	17.8	21.8	24.3	26.4	28.6	31.4	28.3	41.0	41.7	40.9	42.6	46.5	48.3	40.9
15	17.3	21.3	24.1	27.3	29.5	30.8	26.1	40.9	42.2	41.1	44.0	47.6	47.0	40.6
16	17.9	21.3	21.8	27.8	29.7	31.4	24.6	40.8	41.8	41.2	46.9	48.5	48.5	38.9
17	15.6	18.5	19.9	26.7	27.8	28.9	25.3	39.6	40.8	39.7	44.8	47.9	48.9	39.2
18	14.8	17.0	18.7	21.1	28.7	26.7	23.2	39.1	38.5	39.0	40.7	48.1	46.1	39.7
Grade														
6th	17.0	18.6	18.7	22.8	28.1	32.3	29.4	40.1	39.4	37.1	39.1	43.0	46.2	42.8
7th	16.9	20.6	21.7	24.5	28.6	31.9	30.6	39.2	39.3	39.3	39.9	44.4	46.4	43.0
8th	16.9	22.6	22.5	26.1	29.6	31.1	28.8	40.2	40.5	39.9	42.7	46.1	47.9	42.0
9th	18.4	21.4	24.4	27.4	28.0	30.7	26.5	41.8	42.0	41.3	43.4	46.4	45.8	39.8
10th	17.4	21.7	22.7	26.9	29.9	31.1	25.0	40.8	43.0	41.2	45.2	48.1	47.9	39.0
11th	16.5	19.4	20.1	26.8	29.1	31.1	25.2	39.3	40.5	40.5	45.0	49.0	50.1	40.0
12th	14.3	16.6	19.7	24.1	27.5	26.8	23.8	38.0	37.4	38.1	42.9	46.8	46.9	38.7
Middle School	16.9	20.6	21.0	24.5	28.8	31.7	29.6	39.8	39.7	38.8	40.6	44.5	46.9	42.6
High School	16.8	19.9	21.9	26.3	28.6	30.0	25.1	40.1	40.9	40.3	44.1	47.6	47.7	39.3
Total	16.9	20.2	21.5	25.5	28.7	30.7	27.0	40.0	40.4	39.7	42.6	46.2	47.3	40.7

## Table 63. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who reported symptoms of depression—2012 to 2024

Note: Table shows percentage of students who answered "yes" or "YES!"

. . . . . . . . . . .

	Felt sad or hopeless for 2 or more weeks	Seriously considered attempting suicide	Made a suicide plan	Attempted suicide one or more times	Suicide attempt that required medical care
	%	%	%	%	%
Sex					
Female	38.7	17.8	14.2	10.1	2.3
Male	21.1	8.9	7.5	4.4	1.1
Race/Ethnic group					
African American	28.6	12.5	10.7	8.2	2.0
Hispanic/Latino	29.8	10.8	9.2	6.2	1.5
White, non-Hispanic	29.1	14.3	11.0	6.5	1.3
Age					
11	27.9	12.9	10.3	7.8	1.4
12	29.8	14.5	11.6	8.7	1.8
13	30.7	15.4	13.0	9.7	2.3
14	31.7	14.8	12.6	8.3	1.8
15	31.3	13.6	11.1	7.6	1.9
16	30.0	13.0	10.3	6.4	1.9
17	28.6	11.7	9.0	4.9	1.2
18	26.1	9.0	7.0	3.0	0.9
Grade					
6th	29.4	13.9	10.7	9.0	1.8
7th	30.5	14.7	13.0	9.4	2.4
8th	32.1	15.8	13.0	9.5	2.2
9th	30.7	14.0	11.5	7.5	1.4
10th	29.9	12.6	10.1	6.3	1.8
11th	29.8	12.4	9.7	5.3	1.6
12th	26.5	10.3	7.9	3.9	0.8
Middle School	30.7	14.8	12.3	9.3	2.1
High School	29.3	12.4	9.8	5.8	1.4
Total	29.9	13.4	10.9	7.3	1.7

Table 64. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who reported suicidal ideation or behavior in the past 12 months, 2024

. . . . .

Table 65. Percentage of surveyed Florida youth who have heard the prevention message <u>One Pill Can Kill</u>, would be "very likely" to call the <u>988</u> crisis line when experiencing suicidal thoughts, or would be "very likely" to use <u>phone</u>, <u>text</u>, or <u>chat</u> to talk with a crisis counselor, 2024

	Heard about <u>One Pill Can Kill</u>	"Very likely" to call <u>988</u>	"Very likely" to use <u>phone</u> to contact crisis counselor	"Very likely" to use <u>text</u> to contact crisis counselor	"Very likely" to use <u>chat</u> to contact crisis counselor
	%	%	%	%	%
Sex					
Female	38.6	14.2	18.8	24.1	18.2
Male	34.2	20.7	25.3	23.8	22.9
Race/Ethnic group					
African American	32.7	15.5	22.2	22.6	20.3
Hispanic/Latino	34.2	16.9	22.1	23.3	20.7
White, non-Hispanic	40.0	19.5	22.1	25.3	20.5
Age					
11	30.8	27.0	32.1	29.2	27.1
12	34.0	23.9	29.9	28.8	26.9
13	35.5	19.0	24.9	26.6	22.6
14	33.4	16.2	20.7	22.8	18.6
15	36.6	15.6	19.4	23.4	19.1
16	39.4	14.4	18.5	21.3	17.4
17	39.9	14.0	17.5	21.1	17.8
18	38.6	15.2	18.8	20.6	18.4
Grade					
6th	32.9	25.6	31.6	28.6	27.8
7th	34.2	21.2	26.5	28.5	23.8
8th	34.4	16.2	21.7	23.4	20.3
9th	34.9	16.4	20.7	23.9	18.9
10th	38.2	14.5	18.4	21.1	17.3
11th	39.2	14.2	18.5	21.3	18.4
12th	40.1	14.8	17.6	21.1	17.9
Middle School	33.8	20.9	26.5	26.8	23.9
High School	38.1	15.0	18.8	21.9	18.1
Total	36.3	17.5	22.1	24.0	20.5

	Emotional Abuse	Physical Abuse	Sexual Abuse	Parents Separated or Divorced	Physical Abuse in Household	Substance Abuse in Household	Mental Illness in Household	Incarcerated Household Member	Emotional Neglect	Physical Neglect
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex										
Female	17.8	10.6	7.5	41.5	8.6	26.3	35.0	23.2	30.4	7.2
Male	8.8	7.2	2.3	36.0	5.2	19.3	20.4	20.2	19.7	5.3
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>										
African American	11.1	10.0	4.0	44.2	5.7	12.6	15.9	23.1	25.3	6.8
Hispanic/Latino	12.0	8.3	4.2	35.3	6.0	20.1	22.2	16.5	23.0	5.1
White, non- Hispanic	13.9	7.8	5.6	37.5	7.8	29.8	36.0	24.0	25.0	6.3
Age										
11										
12										
13										
14	11.3	8.3	3.7	35.7	5.4	20.4	25.9	21.7	24.4	3.8
15	14.2	8.9	4.5	38.9	7.0	23.9	28.4	23.4	26.0	6.1
16	13.3	9.6	5.4	38.6	7.0	22.6	28.0	21.8	25.0	6.2
17	13.4	8.7	5.6	40.1	7.3	24.2	28.0	21.0	25.6	7.1
18	12.8	8.0	4.4	39.3	7.4	21.0	27.9	20.1	23.4	6.8
Grade										
6th										
7th										
8th										
9th	12.2	8.1	3.9	37.4	5.8	21.9	26.7	23.1	25.5	5.5
10th	14.2	9.3	5.1	39.8	7.0	23.3	28.1	22.9	25.3	6.0
11th	14.0	9.9	5.4	39.2	7.6	24.0	28.2	20.7	25.8	6.5
12th	12.6	8.3	5.1	38.6	7.4	22.0	28.0	19.9	23.7	7.0
Middle School										
High School	13.3	8.9	4.9	38.7	6.9	22.8	27.7	21.7	25.1	6.2
Total										

Table 66. Percentage of surveyed Florida high school youth who reported adverse childhood experiences (ACEs), 2024

. . . . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

## Table 67. Number of adverse childhood experiences (ACEs) reported by surveyed Florida high school youth, 2024

		1	1	1				1005			
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Sex											
Female	31.9	20.2	13.9	11.2	8.4	5.4	3.6	2.7	1.5	0.8	0.3
Male	43.9	21.1	13.0	8.9	5.5	3.4	2.0	1.1	0.6	0.3	0.2
<b>Race/Ethnic group</b>											
African American	39.4	22.3	13.9	10.2	5.8	3.6	2.1	1.2	0.9	0.5	0.2
Hispanic/Latino	42.0	20.5	14.0	9.7	5.5	3.2	2.6	1.3	0.6	0.4	0.2
White, non- Hispanic	36.1	19.6	12.6	10.3	7.9	5.3	3.4	2.4	1.3	0.8	0.3
Age											
11											
12											
13											
14	38.5	23.5	13.0	9.2	7.0	3.9	2.5	1.1	1.1	0.2	0.1
15	37.4	20.4	13.4	10.4	6.6	4.7	3.1	2.0	1.3	0.5	0.3
16	37.9	20.4	13.6	9.6	7.5	4.4	2.7	1.8	1.1	0.6	0.3
17	37.3	19.7	13.8	10.6	7.5	4.5	2.5	2.1	0.9	0.8	0.3
18	38.7	21.0	12.8	10.3	6.0	4.2	3.6	2.1	0.6	0.3	0.3
Grade											
6th											
7th											
8th											
9th	38.0	21.7	14.1	9.5	6.7	4.0	2.8	1.7	1.1	0.3	0.2
10th	38.0	19.9	13.1	10.1	7.1	5.0	2.8	1.8	1.4	0.6	0.3
11th	37.4	19.9	13.4	10.4	7.6	4.3	3.0	2.0	1.0	0.6	0.3
12th	38.5	21.0	13.1	10.1	6.5	4.3	2.7	2.1	0.7	0.7	0.3
Middle School											
High School	37.9	20.6	13.4	10.0	7.0	4.4	2.8	1.9	1.1	0.5	0.3
Total											

Number of Adverse Childhood Experiences

. . . . . . . . . . . .

Note: Each respondent receives an ACEs score, which is the number of adverse childhood experiences he or she reported. This table shows the frequency distribution of the ACEs score across the sample. Percentages total to 100% across each row. Rounding can produce totals that do not equal 100%.

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

## Table 68. Percentage of <u>Florida</u> youth with elevated protective factor scale scores, 2024

	Middle School	High School	Overall
Family Domain			
Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	55	58	56
Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	49	52	51
School Domain			
School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	49	62	57
School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	45	57	52
Peer and Individual Domain			
Religiosity	43	54	49
Protective Factor Average	48	57	53

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

Note: Because risk is associated with negative behavioral outcomes, it is better to have lower risk factor scale scores, not higher. Conversely, because protective factors are associated with better student behavioral outcomes, it is better to have protective factor scale scores with high values.

## Table 69. Percentage of <u>Florida</u> youth with elevated risk factor scale scores, 2024

	Middle School	High School	Overall
Community Domain			
Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use	40	25	32
Perceived Availability of Drugs	30	13	20
Perceived Availability of Handguns	24	29	27
Family Domain			
Poor Family Management	47	35	40
Family Conflict	40	30	34
School Domain			
Poor Academic Performance	45	45	45
Lack of Commitment to School	76	68	71
Peer and Individual Domain			
Favorable Attitudes toward Antisocial Behavior	54	38	45
Favorable Attitudes toward ATOD Use	27	22	24
Early Initiation of Drug Use	19	11	14
Risk Factor Average	40	32	35

Note: Because risk is associated with negative behavioral outcomes, it is better to have lower risk factor scale scores, not higher. Conversely, because protective factors are associated with better student behavioral outcomes, it is better to have protective factor scale scores with high values.

	Middle School	High School	Overall
Family Domain			
Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	59	54	56
Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	54	55	55
School Domain			
School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	57	60	59
School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	53	58	55
Peer and Individual Domain			
Religiosity	56	62	59
Protective Factor Average	56	58	57

## Table 70. Percentage of youth from the <u>national normative sample</u> with elevated protective factor scale scores

Note: Because risk is associated with negative behavioral outcomes, it is better to have lower risk factor scale scores, not higher. Conversely, because protective factors are associated with better student behavioral outcomes, it is better to have protective factor scale scores with high values.

. . . . . . . . .

. . . . . . .

. . . . . . . . . . . .

	Middle School	High School	Overall
Community Domain			
Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use	42	42	42
Perceived Availability of Drugs	45	45	45
Perceived Availability of Handguns	25	42	34
Family Domain			
Poor Family Management	44	45	45
Family Conflict	42	37	39
School Domain			
Poor Academic Performance	45	48	47
Lack of Commitment to School	47	46	46
Peer and Individual Domain			
Favorable Attitudes toward Antisocial Behavior	40	46	43
Favorable Attitudes toward ATOD Use	39	45	42
Early Initiation of Drug Use	41	46	43
Risk Factor Average	41	44	43

Table 71. Percentage of youth from the national normative sample with elevated risk factor scale scores

Note: Because risk is associated with negative behavioral outcomes, it is better to have lower risk factor scale scores, not higher. Conversely, because protective factors are associated with better student behavioral outcomes, it is better to have protective factor scale scores with high values.

	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
Family Domain							
Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	59	60	60	58	57	53	55
Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	55	55	56	50	50	46	49
School Domain							
School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	50	51	53	54	51	51	49
School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	52	50	49	45	44	45	45
Peer and Individual Domain							
Religiosity	50	47	49	46	42	36	43
Protective Factor Average	53	53	53	51	49	46	48

## Table 72. Percentage of Florida middle school youth with elevated protective factor scale scores—2012 to 2024

Note: Because risk is associated with negative behavioral outcomes, it is better to have lower risk factor scale scores, not higher. Conversely, because protective factors are associated with better student behavioral outcomes, it is better to have protective factor scale scores with high values.

	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
Family Domain							
Family Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	56	58	59	57	57	55	58
Family Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	54	56	56	51	53	49	52
School Domain							
School Opportunities for Prosocial Involvement	61	62	63	64	60	60	62
School Rewards for Prosocial Involvement	61	60	59	55	54	56	57
Peer and Individual Domain							
Religiosity	59	57	57	54	52	46	54
Protective Factor Average	58	59	59	56	55	53	57

## Table 73. Percentage of Florida high school youth with elevated protective factor scale scores—2012 to 2024

Note: Because risk is associated with negative behavioral outcomes, it is better to have lower risk factor scale scores, not higher. Conversely, because protective factors are associated with better student behavioral outcomes, it is better to have protective factor scale scores with high values.

	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
Community Domain							
Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use	38	36	37	38	41	41	40
Perceived Availability of Drugs	40	40	37	35	34	33	30
Perceived Availability of Handguns	23	24	24	24	23	25	24
Family Domain							
Poor Family Management	43	40	40	43	43	47	47
Family Conflict	38	38	38	39	42	43	40
School Domain							
Poor Academic Performance	41	42	42	43	46	48	45
Lack of Commitment to School	48	52	53	60	69	73	76
Peer and Individual Domain							
Favorable Attitudes toward Antisocial Behavior	41	38	39	43	49	52	54
Favorable Attitudes toward ATOD Use	34	32	32	35	35	33	27
Early Initiation of Drug Use	29	25	23	24	24	21	19
Risk Factor Average	38	37	37	38	41	42	40

## Table 74. Percentage of Florida middle school youth with elevated risk factor scale scores—2012 to 2024

Note: Because risk is associated with negative behavioral outcomes, it is better to have lower risk factor scale scores, not higher. Conversely, because protective factors are associated with better student behavioral outcomes, it is better to have protective factor scale scores with high values.

. . .

	2012	2014	2016	2018	2020	2022	2024
Community Domain							
Laws and Norms Favorable to Drug Use	35	33	31	32	32	29	25
Perceived Availability of Drugs	32	31	27	24	20	15	13
Perceived Availability of Handguns	34	37	36	34	31	30	29
Family Domain							
Poor Family Management	41	38	38	37	35	34	35
Family Conflict	35	33	33	34	34	34	30
School Domain							
Poor Academic Performance	44	43	44	43	46	48	45
Lack of Commitment to School	46	52	54	57	63	68	68
Peer and Individual Domain							
Favorable Attitudes toward Antisocial Behavior	38	36	35	36	38	39	38
Favorable Attitudes toward ATOD Use	39	38	36	34	32	29	22
Early Initiation of Drug Use	30	26	22	19	17	13	11
Risk Factor Average	37	37	36	35	35	34	32

## Table 75. Percentage of Florida high school youth with elevated risk factor scale scores—2012 to 2024

Note: Because risk is associated with negative behavioral outcomes, it is better to have lower risk factor scale scores, not higher. Conversely, because protective factors are associated with better student behavioral outcomes, it is better to have protective factor scale scores with high values.

. . .

. . . . . .

# Appendix C The Social Development Strategy



# Appendix D References

- Arthur, M. W., Briney, J. S., Hawkins, J. D., Abbott, R. D., Brooke-Weiss, B. L. & Catalano, R. F. (2007). Measuring risk and protection in communities using the Communities That Care Youth Survey. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 30, 197-211.
- Arthur, M. W., Hawkins, J. D., Pollard, J. A., Catalano, R. F. & Baglioni, A. J. (2002). Measuring risk and protective factors for substance use, delinquency, and other adolescent problem behaviors: The Communities That Care Youth Survey. *Evaluation Review*, 26, 575-601.
- Bachman, J. G., Johnston, L. D., O'Malley, P. M. & Humphrey, R. H. (1986). *Changes in marijuana use linked to changes in perceived risks and disapproval*. (Monitoring the Future Occasional Paper No. 19.) Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research.
- Bachman, J. G., Johnston, L. D. & O'Malley, P. M. (1996). The Monitoring the Future project after twenty-two years: Design and procedures. (Monitoring the Future Occasional Paper No. 38.) Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research.
- Blum, R. W., Beuhring, T., Shew, M. L., Bearinger, L. H., Sieving, R. E. & Resnick, M. D. (2000). The effects of race/ethnicity, income, and family structure on adolescent risk behaviors. *American Journal of Public Health*, 90, 1879-1884.
- Bracht, N. & Kingsbury, L. (1990). Community organization principles in health promotion: A five-state model. In N. Bracht (Ed.), *Health promotion at the community level* (pp. 66-88). Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Bry, B. H., McKeon, P. & Pandina, R. J. (1982). Extent of drug use as a function of number of risk factors. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, *91*, 273-279.
- Catalano, R. F. & Hawkins, J. D. (1996). The social development model: A theory of antisocial behavior. In J. D. Hawkins (Ed.), *Delinquency and crime: Current theories* (pp. 149-197). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Nordenberg, D., Edwards, V., Koss, M. P. & Marks, J. S. (1998). Relationship of Childhood Abuse and Household Dysfunction to Many of the Leading Causes of Death in Adults. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 14, 245-258.
- Glaser, R. R., Van Horn, M. L., Arthur, M. W., Hawkins, J. D. & Catalano, R. F. (2005). Measurement properties of the communities that care youth survey across demographic groups. *Journal of Quantitative Criminology*, 21, 73-102.
- Hawkins, J. D., Arthur, M. W. & Catalano, R. F. (1995). Preventing substance abuse. In M. Tonry & D. Farrington (Eds.), *Building a safer society: Strategic approaches to crime prevention* (Vol. 19, pp. 343-427, Crime and justice: A review of research). Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Hawkins, J. D., Catalano, R. F. & Associates. (1992). *Communities that care: Action for drug abuse prevention* (1<sup>st</sup> ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Hawkins, J. D., Catalano, R. F. & Miller, J. Y. (1992). Risk and protective factors for alcohol and other drug problems in adolescence and early adulthood: Implications for substance abuse prevention. *Psychological Bulletin*, 112, 64-105.
- Miech, R. A., Johnston, L. D., Patrick, M. E., O'Malley, P. M. (2024). Monitoring the Future national survey results on drug use, 1975-2023: Overview and detailed results for secondary school students. Ann Arbor, MI: Institute for Social Research, The University of Michigan.
- Newcomb, M. D. (1995). Identifying high-risk youth: Prevalence and patterns of adolescent drug abuse. In E. Rahdert & D. Czechowicz (Eds.), Adolescent drug abuse: Clinical assessment and therapeutic interventions (NIDA Research Monograph, 156). Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

- Newcomb, M. D. & Felix-Ortiz, M. (1992). Multiple protective and risk factors for drug use and abuse: Cross-sectional and prospective findings. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 564-577.
- Newcomb, M. D., Maddahian, E. & Skager, R. (1987). Substance abuse and psychosocial risk factors among teenagers: Associations with sex, age, ethnicity, and type of school. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, 13, 413-433.
- Pollard, J. A., Hawkins, J. D. & Arthur, M. W. (1999). Risk and protection: Are both necessary to understand diverse behavioral outcomes in adolescence? *Social Work Research*, 23, 145-158.